


No.EDN(SE)-H(3)B(6)CWP No. 2171/23-Hem Raj (III)
Directorate of School Education
Himachal Pradesh, Lalpani, Shimla-1



Dated: Shimla-171001 the

ORDER

Whereas, the petitioners of CWP No. 16671/2025-Ajay, CWP No. 17043/25-Harsh Kumar, CWP No. 17151/25-Harrish Kumar, CWP No. 16669/25-Gopal Sharma, CWP No. 17041/25-Chaman Lal and CWP No. 16667/25-Usha have approached the Hon'ble High Court of HP for seeking relief in terms of judgment passed by the Hon'ble court in CWP No. 2171 of 2023 -Hem Raj vs. State of HP a/w connected matter decided on 04.09.2023.

The Hon'ble High Court has decided above court cases with the direction to the respondents/competent authority to consider and decide the representations (Annexure P-2/5) of the petitioners. Operative part of the judgment passed in CWP No. 16671/2025-Ajay vs. State of HP is as under:-

3. *Consequently, in view of the above, this Court without going into the merits of the case deems it fit to dispose of the present petition with a direction to the respondents to consider and decide the pending representation (Annexure P-2) of the petitioner expeditiously, preferably within a period of six weeks in light of Hem Raj case (supra). Ordered accordingly. Needless to say, authority concerned, while doing the needful in terms of instant order, shall afford an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner and pass a speaking order thereupon. Liberty is reserved to the petitioner to file appropriate proceedings in appropriate court of law, if he still remains aggrieved. Pending applications, if any, also stand disposed of.*

Operative part of the judgment passed in CWP No. 17043/25- Harsh Kumar vs. State of HP & others is as under:-

3. *Consequently, in view of the aforesaid fair stand adopted by learned Additional Advocate General, coupled with the fact that petitioner has already filed representation for redressal of his grievances (Annexure P-5), this Court, without going into the merits of the case, deems it fit to dispose of the present petition with a direction to the respondents to consider and decide the representation of the petitioners in light of judgment passed by this Court in Hem Raj (supra), expeditiously, preferably within a period of six weeks from today. Ordered accordingly. Needless to say, authority concerned, while doing the needful in terms of instant order, shall afford an opportunity of being heard to the petitioners and pass speaking order thereafter. Pending applications, if any, stand disposed of.*

Operative part of the judgment passed in CWP No. 17151/25-Harrish Kumar vs. State of HP is as under:-

3. *Consequently, in view of the aforesaid fair stand adopted by learned Additional Advocate General, coupled with the fact that petitioner has already filed representation for redressal of his grievances (Annexure P-5), this Court, without going into the merits of the case, deems it fit to dispose of the present petition with a direction to the respondents to consider and decide the representation of the petitioners in light of judgment passed by this Court in Hem Raj (supra), expeditiously, preferably within a period of six weeks from today. Ordered accordingly. Needless to say, authority concerned, while doing the needful in terms of instant order, shall afford an opportunity of being heard to the petitioners and pass speaking order thereafter. Pending applications, if any, stand disposed of.*

Operative part of the judgment passed in CWP No. 16669/25-Gopal Sharma vs. State of HP is as under:-

3. *Consequently, in view of the above, this Court without going into the merits of the case deems it fit to dispose of the present petition with a direction to the respondents to consider*

and decide the pending representation (**Annexure P-2**) of the petitioner expeditiously, preferably within a period of six weeks in light of Hem Raj case (supra). Ordered accordingly. Needless to say, authority concerned, while doing the needful in terms of instant order, shall afford an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner and pass a speaking order thereupon. Liberty is reserved to the petitioner to file appropriate proceedings in appropriate court of law, if he still remains aggrieved. Pending applications, if any, also stand disposed of.

Operative part of the judgment passed in CWP No. 17041/25-Chaman Lal vs. State of HP is as under:-

3. Consequently, in view of the aforesaid fair stand adopted by learned Additional Advocate General, coupled with the fact that petitioner has already filed representation for redressal of his grievances (**Annexure P-5**), this Court, without going into the merits of the case, deems it fit to dispose of the present petition with a direction to the respondents to consider and decide the representation of the petitioners in light of judgment passed by this Court in Hem Raj (supra), expeditiously, preferably within a period of six weeks from today. Ordered accordingly. Needless to say, authority concerned, while doing the needful in terms of instant order, shall afford an opportunity of being heard to the petitioners and pass speaking order thereafter. Pending applications, if any, stand disposed of.

Operative part of the judgment passed in CWP No. 16667/25-Usha vs. State of HP is as under:-

3. Consequently, in view of the above, this Court without going into the merits of the case deems it fit to dispose of the present petition with a direction to the respondents to consider and decide the pending representation (**Annexure P-2**) of the petitioner expeditiously, preferably within a period of six weeks in light of Hem Raj case (supra). Ordered accordingly. Needless to say, authority concerned, while doing the needful in terms of instant order, shall afford an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner and pass a speaking order thereupon. Liberty is reserved to the petitioner to file appropriate proceedings in appropriate court of law, if she still remains aggrieved. Pending applications, if any, also stand disposed of.

In compliance to the judgment passed by the Hon'ble High Court in above cases; the petitioners were called for personal hearing in this Directorate on 19.02.2026 before the undersigned and heard in length. During hearing the petitioners requested to decide their representations (Annexure P-2/5). In this regard the said representations of the petitioners have been examined taking into consideration the Notification of the Government issued vide No. EDC-B(15)15/2011 dated 20-08-2022 which says, "all Bachelor of Education (B.Ed.) qualified and Teacher Eligibility Test (TET) passed Shastris and Language Teachers(LTs) are to be designated as Trained Graduate Teachers (Sanskrit) and Trained Graduate Teachers (Hindi) in their respective pay scale structure."

Whereas, the matter of Sh. Hem Raj & others has already been examined at Govt. level in compliance to the judgment passed by the Hon'ble High Court in CWP No. 2171/2023 titled as Hem Raj vs. State of HP, CWP No. 8389/2023 to 8398 of 2023 alongwith all others connected matters and Execution Petition No. 168 & 170 of 2024 and thereafter the Govt. has passed detailed speaking orders on 20.05.2024 and subsequently addendum on 17.09.2024. Operative part of speaking order is as under:-

Thus, after considering the whole matter, I am of considered view that such re-designated TGTs (Sanskrit/Hindi) are entitled to be considered for promotion as Lecturers/Headmasters and thus they are considered for the same. However, tenure or service of such re-designated Sanskrit/Hindi Teachers as TGT shall be considered from the date of their re-designation as TGTs which in this case, is the date of notification dated 20-08-2022. It is pertinent to mention that as per the R&P Rules for the post of Lecturer (School-New) and Headmaster, there is specified period of minimum length of service as TGT (5/8 years) for a candidate to become eligible for promotion. Thus, these teachers shall become eligible for promotion only after completion of


required length of service which is to be counted w.e.f. 20.08.2022, subject to the final outcome of LPA No. 86/2024 (State Vs. Hem Raj)”

Whereas, order passed by the Hon'ble High Court in CWP No. 2171/2023- Hem Raj has been assailed by the Department by way of filing the LPA No. 86/2024 titled as State of HP versus Hem Raj. Now the Hon'ble High Court has stayed the judgment passed in CWP No. 2171/2023 titled as Hem Raj & Others on 17.10.2025. Operative part of the judgment passed by Hon'ble Court in LPA No. 86 of 2024 is under: -

“We stay the operation of judgment dated 04.09.2023 passed in CWP No.2171 of 2023 titled as Hem Raj and others vs. State of H.P and others to this extent, subject to completion of service”.

Whereas, the relief sought by the petitioner(s) is directly dependent upon the judgment dated 04.09.2023 in CWP No. 2171/2023, which is presently under stay by the Hon'ble High Court in LPA No. 86 of 2024 vide order dated 17.10.2025.

Therefore, after considering the whole matter, the claim of the petitioner in above court cases cannot be considered at this stage and shall be decided only after the final outcome of LPA No. 86 of 2024, hence, representation of the petitioners is decided accordingly. Inform all the concerned accordingly.


**Director of School Education
Himachal Pradesh, Shimla-1
May, 2026**

Endst. No:Even Dated
Copy Forwarded for information and n/a to: -

1. The District Attorney, Directorate of School Education H.P. Shimla-01 w.r.t. CWP ibid.
2. The Dy. Directors of School Education (Elementary) **Bilaspur/Lahaul & Spiti/ Mandi** H.P.
3. Sh. Ajay Kumar S/o Sh. Shrawan Kumar R/o, Village Dinak Rara, PO Kanaid, Tehsil Sundernagar, District Mandi, H.P., presently working as Shastri at GMS Dholag u/c GSSS Kalol, District Bilaspur, H.P.
4. Sh. Harsh Kumar S/o Sh. Ramkrishan Chand, R/o Dhadas P/o Ranikotla, Tehsil Sadar and Distt. Bilaspur, H.P, presently posted TGT (Sanskrit), at Government Senior Secondary School (GSSS) Jukhala District Bilaspur, H.P,
5. Sh. Harish Kumar S/o Sh. Krishan Chand, R/o Village-Banan, P.O - Piplughat, Tehsil -Arki, District Solan, H.P., Presently posted TGT (Sanskrit), at GMS Nog u/c Government Senior Secondary School Ghagas, Tehsil Sadar, District Bilaspur, H.P.
6. Sh. Gopal Sharma S/o Sh. Tule Ram R/o, Village Bhalwar, P/o Janjehli, Tehsil Thunag, District Mandi, H.P., presently working as Shastri at GSSS Shodhadhar, District Mandi, H.P.
7. Sh. Chaman Lal aged about 33 years, S/o Sh. Mansa Ram, R/o Ghamrara, Tehsil - Sadar, District - Bilaspur, H.P presently posted TGT (Sanskrit) at Government Middle School Dhaun Kothi, U/C of Senior Secondary School Panjgain, Tehsil, Sadar, District Bilaspur, H.P
8. Smt. Usha w/o Sh. Manoj Kumar R/o, Village Dhanwas P/o Dhangs, Tehsil Pangi, District Chamba, H.P., presently working as LT at GHS Bhujund u/c GSSS Tindi, District Lahaul and Spiti, H.P.
9. The Nodal Officer, IT Cell, Dte. of School Edu. H.P. to upload the same on official website.
10. Guard file.

Directorate of School Education
23 MAY 2026
Himachal Pradesh, Shimla-171001


**Director of School Education
Himachal Pradesh, Shimla-1**