

DIRECTORATE OF PROSECUTION HIMACHAL PRADESH

**ANNUAL ADMINISTRATION REPORT FOR THE FINANCIAL
YEAR 2006-07**

Chapter-I

WORKING OF DIRECTORATE

Historical background

Before the enactment of Criminal Procedure Code, 1974, Prosecution Agency of the State was part of Police organization in Himachal Pradesh. The officials who were law graduates and manning the agency were appointed as Assistant Police Prosecutors/Prosecuting Inspectors/Prosecuting Sub Inspectors. The Police Prosecutors were under the administrative control of the Superintendent of Police at District Level but over-all control rested with the Inspector General of Police of the State.

Prosecution agency after separation from Police was placed at the disposal of the Law Department in the year 1974 and Cadre of Police Prosecutors was abolished. Regular cadre of prosecutors exists since 1974 and initially consisted of Deputy Director, District Attorney-cum-Public Prosecutor, Assistant District Attorney-cum-Public Prosecutor and Asstt. Public Prosecutor. Later on Asstt. Distt. Attorney was designated as District Attorney and APP as Asstt. Distt. Attorney-cum-Public Prosecutor.

The Directorate of Prosecution came into existence in the year 1974 and Secretary (Law)-cum-Legal Remembrancer was given the charge of post of Director (Prosecution) who used to supervise the working of prosecution agency throughout the State. Administrative control of the Director (Prosecution) was transferred from Law Department to the Home Department in the year, 1996.

Chapter-II

ESTABLISHMENT OF DIRECTORATE OF PROSECUTION

2.1. ESTABLISHMENT OF DIRECTORATE OF PROSECUTION

Directorate was established on 1.4.1974 and at present it has the cadre strength of 167 Prosecutors which consists of one post of Director (prosecution), 3 of Joint Directors, 15 District Attorneys, 34 Deputy District Attorneys, 85 Assistant District Attorneys respectively. 4 Joint Directors, 11 District Attorneys, 9 Deputy District Attorneys and 5 Assistant District Attorneys are posted in other departments.

2.2. REGULAR CADRE OF PROSECUTING OFFICERS

There exists a regular statutory cadre of Prosecuting Officers in the State of Himachal Pradesh and the relevant provisions as contained in section 24 of the Code of Criminal Procedure (Amendment) Act, 2005 are reproduced as under:-

1. "Regular cadre of Prosecuting Officers" means a cadre of Prosecuting Officers which includes therein the post of a Public Prosecutor, by whatever name called and which provides for promotion of Assistant Public Prosecutors, by whatever name called to that post.
2. 'Prosecuting Officer' means a person, by whatever name called, appointed to perform the functions of a Public Prosecutor or Additional Public Prosecutor or an Assistant Public Prosecutor.

2.3. PUBLIC PROSECUTORS.

(A) Classes of Public Prosecutors:

There are following categories of Prosecutors:

- (1) Public Prosecutors/Addl. Public Prosecutors appointed for the High Courts in terms of section 24(1) of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 and the Criminal Procedure Code (Amendment) Act, 2005.
- (2) Public Prosecutor appointed for the District in terms of section 24(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure 1973 and the Code of Criminal Procedure (Amendment) Act, 2005.
- (3) Public Prosecutor/Addl. Public Prosecutor appointed for every district may also be designated as Public Prosecutors or as Addl. Public Prosecutors as the case may be, for another district in terms of section 24(3) of amended CrPC Act, 2005.
- (4) Special Public Prosecutors: Appointed in terms of section 24(8) of the Code of Criminal Procedure (Amendment) Act, 2005 for the purpose of any particular case or class of case.
- (5) Asstt. Public Prosecutors for conducting prosecution in the courts of Magistrates/Executive Magistrates in terms of section 25(1) of code of Criminal Procedure (Amendment) Act, 2005.

2.4. APPOINTMENT OF ASSTT. DISTT. ATTORNEY:

Appointment of Asstt. Distt. Attorneys are made by the Himachal Pradesh Government through Public Service Commission. They are eligible to be promoted as Deputy Distt. Attorneys/public Prosecutors and Distt. Attorneys –cum-Public Prosecutors and Joint Director –cum-Public Prosecutors and Director Prosecution. There exists a regular cadre of Prosecuting officers. Assistant District Attorneys are required to qualify departmental examination for the purpose of promotion as per the R & P Rules notified in this behalf. Departmental Examination is conducted by Himachal Pradesh Institute of Public Administration, Shimla.

2.5. APPEARANCE BY PUBLIC PROSECUTOR

- (1) The Prosecutors detailed in para 2.3 may appear and plead without any written authority before any court in which that case is under inquiry, trial or appeal as also provided in section 301 of the Code.
- (2) If in any such case any private person instructs a pleader to prosecute any person in any court, the public prosecutors or Assistant Public Prosecutor in charge of the case shall act under the directions of the Public Prosecutor or Additional/Assistant Public Prosecutor and may with the permission of the court, submit written arguments after the evidence is closed in the case.

- (3) Any Magistrate under section 302 of the Code of Criminal Procedure inquiring into or trying a case may permit the Prosecution to be conducted by any person other than a public officer not below the rank of Inspector but no person, other than the Advocate General or a Public Prosecutor or Assistant Public Prosecutor shall be entitled to do so without such permission.
- (4) Provided that no police officer shall be permitted to conduct the prosecution if he has taken part in the investigation into the offence with respect to which the accused is being prosecuted.
- (5) Any person conducting the prosecution may do so personally or by a pleader.

Note: It is only when a private advocate is entrusted with an independent charge of case, permission is necessary under section 302 of Code.

2.6. DIRECTORATE OF PROSECUTION:

Newly amended section 25A of the Code of Criminal Procedure (Amendment) Act, 2005 provides for the appointment of the Director Prosecution and establishment of directorate of prosecution set out as under:

- (2) The State Government may establish a Directorate of Prosecution consisting of Director of Prosecution and as many Deputy Directors of Prosecution as it thinks fit.
- (3) A person shall be eligible to be appointed as a Director of Prosecution or a Deputy Director of Prosecution, only if he has been in practice as an advocate for not less than ten years and such appointment shall be made with the concurrence of the Chief Justice of the High Court.
- (4) The Head of the Directorate of Prosecution shall be the Director of Prosecution, who shall function under the administrative control of the Head of the Home Department in the State.
- (5) Every Deputy Director of Prosecution shall be subordinate to the Director of Prosecution.
- (6) Every Public Prosecutor, Additional Public Prosecutor and Special Public Prosecutor appointed by the State Government under sub-section (1), or as the case may be, sub-section(8), of section 24 to conduct cases in the High Court shall be subordinate to the Director of Prosecution.

- (7) Every Public Prosecutor, Additional Public Prosecutor and Special Public Prosecutor appointed by the State Government under sub-section (3), or as the case may be, sub-sections (8), of section 24 to conduct cases in District Courts and every Assistant Prosecutor appointed under sub-section (1) of section 25 shall be subordinate to the Deputy Director of Prosecution.
- (8) The powers and functions of the Director of Prosecution and the Deputy Directors of Prosecution and the areas for which each of the Deputy Directors of Prosecution have been appointed shall be such as the State Government may, by notification, specify.
- (9) The provisions of this section shall not apply to the Advocate General for the State while performing the functions of Public Prosecutor.

2.7. FUNCTIONS OF THE PROSECUTION DEPARTMENT

- (i) Prosecution of criminal cases in the court of Sessions Judge and Judicial Magistrate.
- (ii) Institution and defence of suits or proceedings filed by or against the Government in the court of Distt. Judge, subordinate courts, State Consumer Disputes Redressal Commission, District Consumer Disputes Redressal Forum and before Presiding Officer Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court, Motor Accident Claims Tribunal, Rent Controller etc.
- (iii) Drafting/vetting of plaints/written statements/replies including notices under section 80 CPC on behalf of the State Government and Public servants.
- (iv) To conduct revenue cases before the FC/Divisional Commissioner/Settlement Collectors.
- (v) Opinion to government departments in legal, and service matters.
- (vi) Planning, budgeting, human resource management and infrastructure, management of the Prosecution Department.
- (vii) Scrutiny of police challans and legal opinion to investigating agency.

(viii) Drafting/vetting/filing of civil, criminal and revenue appeals and revisions etc.

2.8. POWERS AND FUNCTIONS OF DIRECTOR (PROSECUTION):

States Govt. vide notification has notified the powers and functions of the Director of Prosecution which are summarized as under:-

1. Director (Prosecution) heads Prosecution agency of the State and exercise the control over Prosecution Department in the matters relating to planning, budget, human resource management and infrastructure management and shall be the Head of the Prosecution Department, while exercising the financial powers under the Himachal Pradesh Financial Rules.
2. Appointing and Disciplinary Authority under provisions of Central Civil Service (Classification, Control and Appeal) Rules, 1965 and Central Civil Service Conduct Rules, 1964 in respect of Class III and IV employees of Prosecution Department shall also rest with the Director Prosecution
3. Director shall be the reviewing authority in the case of Class-I(Gazetted Officers) and accepting authority in the case of Class III employees posted in the Prosecution Department for the purpose of Annual Confidential Reports.
4. The Director of Prosecution is also vested with the powers to,-
 - i) Render necessary guidance advice and clarifications to all the prosecuting officers of the Department from time to time in relation to legal, financial and service matters in accordance with the orders and instructions issued by the Government.
 - ii) Review working of all the Prosecutors/Government Pleaders at the Directorate level besides supervising the Prosecution and to keep liaison with the State Government and its Departments and to tender legal opinion on various matters.

- iii) Ensure compliance on the instructions, which are issued by the State government from time to time, from the prosecutors for effective conduct of government cases.
- iv) Advise Home Department on all matters relating to Prosecution of offences.
- v) Coordinate with Police Department to ensure adequate synergy between investigation and prosecution.
- vi) Conduct inspections of the subordinate offices of the Prosecution Department in the State and issue necessary guidelines to the officers and officials as deemed necessary.
- vii) Sanction earned leave of the Gazetted Officers of the Prosecution Department as per powers delegated and. contained in letter No. Home (Prose.)B (2)1/02 dt. 26.2.07.

2.9. JOINT DIRECTORS (PROSECUTION)

In the Prosecution Department, 3 posts of Joint Director (Prosecution) are sanctioned out of which one post of Joint Director (Prosecution) is in North Zone, Dharamshala. Two Joint Director (Prosecution) are working in the Directorate of Prosecution, Hqrs to aid and assist the Director (Prosecution) in the day to day functioning of the Directorate and the Joint Director (Prosecution) North Zone, Dharamshala is supervising the prosecution work of the District Kangra, Chamba, Hamirpur, Una and also conducting inspection of the same.

The Joint Directors (Prosecution) have been designated as Prosecutors vide Government notification dt. 28.8.1988 who are also required to conduct trial and appear in the courts with in the State of Himachal Pradesh in important cases as and when ordered by the State Government/Director (Prosecution).

2.10. ESTABLISHMENT OF DIRECTORATE OF PROSECUTION:

The Directorate of Prosecution consists of following sections:

1. Administrative Branch
2. Litigation (I) Branch
3. Litigation Cell
4. Accounts Branch.

1. ADMINISTRATIVE BRANCH: Main function of the establishment branch of the Directorate is to deal with the matters relating to maintenance of service record/transfers/posting of the Class III and IV employees of the Prosecution Department, planning, budgeting, human resource management and infrastructure management and also to issue necessary instructions/guidelines to the sub-ordinate offices which are received from the Government from time to time. This section also deals with conducting refresher course for the law officers in the National Institute of Criminology & Forensic Science, Delhi, SVP National Police Academy, Hyderabad and Himachal Pradesh Institute of Public Administration (HIPPA). This branch works under the supervision of Superintendent Grade I.

2. LITIGATION BRANCH (I): This branch deals with the maintenance of data of criminal and civil cases, scrutinizing of monthly diaries of the cases received from all districts, issuance/forwarding of directions/instruction of Government from time to time to all the sub-ordinate office in Himachal Pradesh and to monitor conviction rate and keeping record of the inspection of the prosecution agency. This branch also keeps record of the opinion tendered by the officers of the Directorate to other departments and reviews all criminal cases pending in the courts and preparation of Annual Administration Reports. This branch also deals with all the payment pertaining to Supreme Court matters. Litigation branch (i) works under the supervision of the Joint Director (Prosecution) Hqrs of the Directorate.

3. LITIGATION CELL (FOR FILING APPEALS ETC.):

Recently State of Himachal Pradesh has sanctioned six posts of various categories i.e. one District Attorney, one Dy. District Attorney, one senior assistant, one Jr. S Stenographer and two clerks for Litigation Cell in the Directorate of Prosecution for the examination of judgments passed by various courts. In this section the copies of judgment received from Home Department are examined, analyzed and recommended for filing appeal/revision before the high Court. This branch shall also function under the supervision of Joint Director (Prosecution) H Qtr.of this Directorate.

- 4. ACCOUNTS BRANCH:** This branch deals with the accounts matter, processing of medical claims/reimbursement senior officials including pensioners/retirees, store and purchase of the Directorate, preparation and maintenance of pay bills, cash books etc.

2.11 WORKING OF DISTRICT ATTORNEY (REVENUE) H.P:

The Distt. Attorney (Revenue) conducts the revenue cases in the courts of Financial Commissioner (Appeal)/(Revenue)/Divisional Commissioner/State Consumer Disputes Redressal Commission and Settlement Commissioner at Shimla and circuit court of FC and Divisional Commissioner. The aforesaid officer after examining the judgments of these courts submits the record alongwith his opinion and drafts for appeal/REVISION to the department concerned. Distt. Attorney (Revenue) shall also perform any other duty assigned by the Director (Prosecution).

2.12 WORKING OF DISTRICT ATTORNEY (FOREST)

The Distt. Attorney (Forest) conducts the Forest cases before the Special Judge (Forest) in which forest produce is involved. He is also the Office-in-Charge and Drawing and Disbursing Officer and looks after the establishment and accounts section of the office. In addition to this he also does the scrutiny of police challans and examines all cases of

acquittal, discharge and inadequate sentence and sends cases for appeal/revision to the Home Department through District Magistrate. Distt. Attorney (Forest) shall also perform any other duty assigned by the Director (Prosecution).

2.13 WORKING OF DISTT. ATTORNEY, LEGAL CELL AT NEW DELHI.

The District attorney posted at H.P. Legal Cell, New Delhi is functioning as liaison officer between the State Govt. and the Counsels engaged in the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in the State cases. All the SLPs/appeals/cases which are to be filed by the State Govt. in the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India are sent to the Distt. Attorney, Legal Cell for further action in the matter. He is also the Office-In-Charge and functions as Drawing and disbursing Officer. All type of payments including the counsel fee bills are paid through him to the concerned counsels engaged by the State of HP for their appearance in the Hon'ble Supreme court of India. Distt. Attorney shall also perform any other duty assigned by the Director (Prosecution).

Chapter-III

District Prosecution Agency

3.1 District Magistrate: District Magistrate is the head of the district prosecution agency and this affords him a further opportunity of keeping in touch with the work of all courts. It is easy for him to arrange monthly coordination meetings with the Superintendent of Police and Public Prosecutors to watch the progress and results of criminal cases in the courts as per the instructions.

3.2 DISTRICT ATTORNEY: The District Attorneys while functioning as Public Prosecutor /Govt. Pleader works as under:-

(A) Functions and duties

- i) The District Attorney is over all incharge of district prosecution agency and responsible for conducting of state cases including civil, criminal and other miscellaneous matters through out the district and tender opinion on legal matter to the government department, scrutinize and monitor the challans prepare , proposal for filing appeals in civil/criminal matters etc.
- ii) Distt. Attorney shall also perform any duty assigned by the Director (Prosecution).
- iii) District Attorney conducts criminal/civil/revenue/MACT/reference consumer cases pending before the court of District & Sessions Judge.

(B) SUPERVISION & CONTROL:

- i) District Attorney is the controlling officer of all the Law officers of his District and the Ministerial staff in his office and competent to sanction casual leave to the officers and such staff. Except for law officers District Attorney is the competent authority for sanctioning earned up to one month to the ministerial

staff. District Attorney shall also be controlling officer of Dy. Distt. Attorney, Fast Track Courts (FTC).

- ii) District Attorney is also required to review and supervise the working of Deputy Distt. Attorneys Asstt. Distt. Attorneys and give proper guidance to these Law Officers for effective conduct of cases.

(C) COURT WORK & LEGAL OPINION

- i) The Distt. Attorney conducts Criminal/ civil/ revenue/ appeals/ revision/ reference/ MACT/Consumer cases and other Misc. work in the court of Distt. & Session judge & District Consumer Redressal Forum as the case may be on behalf of the State of HP.
- ii) The State Govt. may also direct the District Attorney to conduct any particular case of public importance in any court with in the District..
- iii) District Attorney also tenders legal opinion on various matters to the Deputy Commissioner, Superintendent of Police and to different departments in the District.
- iv) District. Attorney in each State case decided by of District & Sessions Judge, examines feasibility of filing appeal/revision etc. on the receipt of certified copies and submits his recommendation to the State Govt. through Distt. Magistrates accompanying prescribed proformas; and in civil cases after the decision, the cases are examined and the same are sent to the concerned department along with complete record of the case for filing appeal/revision etc. as the case may be.

D) SCRUTINY:

- i) The Distt. Attorneys also scrutinizes police challan relating to Sessions Court and give final opinion in all other sessions trial pertaining to court of Addl.

Sessions Judge/special Judge and fast Track Courts which are submitted to him by the Deputy District Attorneys from time to time.

- ii) District attorney gives final opinion in all the specially reported cases (SRC) in the District and devolves process to monitor the same effectively.
- iii) District Attorney also takes steps for monitoring the Police challan duly scrutinized by the prosecutors, who are posted in the Sub-divisions and are sent, for trial in the Sub-divisional Courts.

E) QUARTERLY MEETINGS :

- i) Quarterly meeting of all the Prosecutors posted in the District are held in the District headquarter by the District Attorney to apprise the Prosecutors about all the important legal issues/judgments/amendments/orders/rules/drafting skill/proposals etc. with which each and every Prosecutor in the State is required to be well equipped.

F) CO-ORDINATION & LIAISON:

- i) District Attorney keeps close liaison with District Magistrate and Superintendent of Police in the District and apprise them about every sensitive and important case pending in the various Courts so that State govt. could also have update knowledge about such important cases.
- ii) Monthly co-ordination meeting with District magistrate and Superintendent of Police are held regularly and attended by the District Attorneys.

3.3 WORKING OF DEPUTY DISTRICT ATTORNEYS

- i) Deputy Distt. Attorneys works under the over all control and supervision of the Distt. Attorney.
- ii) Deputy Distt. Attorney's drafts plaint/written statements/replies and affidavits relating to his court and matters assigned to him by the Distt. Attorney.

- iii) Deputy Distt. Attorney conducts Civil Criminal, Revenue and other misc. work in the Courts of Addl. Distt. & Session Judge. He also looks after the work, which is assigned to him by the Distt. Attorneys and during the leave period of Distt. Attorneys, he attends the court work of Distt. & Sessions Judge and other Administrative and miscellaneous work in addition to his own duties. He also examines decided cases relating to his court on the receipt of certified copies and submits proposal of appeals/revisions etc. and also does scrutiny of police challans assigned to him by the District Attorney and also renders legal opinion on different matters and submits his opinion to the District Attorney concerned.

3.4 WORKING OF DEPUTY DISTT. ATTORNEY IN FAST TRACK COURTS

State Govt. has recently created nine post of Dy. Distt. Attorneys on secondment in the newly created Fast Track Courts at Dharamshala, Una, Chamba, Hamirpur, Ghumarwin, Solan, Kullu and Mandi and they are conducting sessions trials/cr. Appeals etc. before the Presiding Officers of the Fast Track Courts and they also examine the decided cases on the receipt of certified copies. After examining the judgment of the court, the officer prefers appeals/revisions and submits papers to the District Attorney for further action. Dy. Distt. Attorney (Fast Track Courts) works under the direct control and supervision of the District Attorney and also attends/conducts other court matter and tenders legal opinion on the matters as may be assigned to him by the District Attorneys. He shall draft plaint/written statements/replies and affidavits relating to his court and matters assigned to him by the Distt. Attorney.

3.5 WORKING OF ASSTT. DISTT. ATTORNEYS AT DISTT. HQRS.

The Asstt. Distt. Attorney works under the over all supervision of Distt. Attorney in the district and conducts cases in the courts of Chief Judicial Magistrates and other judicial Magistrates. He also examines judgments of acquittals, discharge and cases of inadequate

sentence and submits its opinion/reports to the Districts Attorney for taking further action. The aforesaid officer at the Distt. HQ. Conducts Govt. cases in Revenue/Executive courts and takes further action for appeals/revision after decision. Scrutiny of the police challan relating to his court or as marked to him by the District Attorney before its submission to the concerned courts is also carried out by him and also looks after the additional work, which is assigned to him by the Distt. Attorney. He shall draft plaint/written statements/replies and affidavits relating to his court and matters assigned to him by the Distt. Attorney.

3.6 WORKING OF ASSTT DISTT. ATTORNEY AT SUB -DIVISION LEVEL:

The Asstt. Distt. Attorneys is Assistant Public prosecutor for the purpose of section 24 of Cr PC are also posted at the Sub -Divisional level. A.P.P.s conducts the cases in the courts of Adtl. Chief Judicial Magistrates and other judicial magistrates posted in the sub-division. He looks after the state cases and conducts civil, criminal cases etc. and give his opinion on each decided cases ending in acquittal, conviction, discharge etc. and submit the judgment alongwith legal opinion to the Distt. Attorney concerned for taking further action.. The aforesaid officer also appears in the revenue courts/executive courts on behalf of State of HP. He also does the scrutiny of police challans before forwarding the same to the concerned courts. The Asstt. Distt. Attorney is the officer-in-charge of the Prosecution Department at Sub -Division level and also exercises the powers of Drawing and Disbursing Officer. He also looks after the Establishment and account work of the office at Sub-division level. He shall draft plaint/written statements/replies and affidavits relating to his court and matters assigned to him by the Distt. Attorney.

3.7 District Attorney (Litigation Cell) Shimla:

Recently Govt. has established litigation cell in the Directorate for examination of certified copies and legality of judgements in Special Reported Cases & other cases, in-adequacy of sentence and proposal for filing appeal etc. For this purpose one post of Distt.Attorney, one post of Deputy District Attorney, one post of Sr.Asstt., one post of Jr.Scale Stenographer and two posts of Clerks have been created. This Cell will start functioning in the month of April, 2007. Hence, progress for the year 2006-07 is nil.

Chapter-IV**Establishment & Vacant Post.****1. Directorate of Prosecution: Total Sanctioned and Vacant posts.**

		Demand No.3(Non-Pnan)			
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.
Sr.No.	Post	Sanctioned post	Filled up	Vacant	Remarks.
1	Director(Prosecution)	1	1	0	
2	Joint Director(Prosecution)	3	3	0	
3	Distt.Attorneys	14	14	0	
4	Dy.Distt.Attorneys	24	17	7	
5	Asstt.Distt.Attorneys	83	52	31	
6	Supdt. Grade-I	1	1	0	
7	Supdt. Grade-II	1	1	0	
8	Personal Assistant	1	1	0	
9	Senior Assistants	17	16	1	
10	Librarian	1	0	1	
11	Junior Scale Stenographer	13	9	4	
12	Steno Typist	3	0	3	
13	Clerks	78	68	10	
14	Drivers	3	3	0	
15	Peons	71	57	14	
16	Chowkidars	3	3	0	
17	Sweeper	1	1	0	
	Total	318	244	74	

		Demand No.3(Plan)			
1	Dy.Distt.Attorney	9	6	3	
2	Clerks	9	7	2	
3	Peons	9	8	1	
4	Total	27	21	6	

		Demand No.31(NON PLAN)			
1	Distt.Attorneys	1	1	-	
2	Dy.Distt.Attorneys	1	-	1	
3	Asstt.Distt.Attorneys	2	2	-	
4	Senior Assistants	1	1	-	
5	Junior Scale Stenographer	1	1	-	
6	Clerks	3	3	-	
7	Peons	3	2	1	
8	Chowidar	1	1	-	
	Total	13	11	2	

Detail of sanctioned strength of daily wages staff (Dwemand No. 3)

1	Peon	4	2	2	
2	Sweeper	6	6	-	
3	Chowkidar	1	1	-	
	Total	11	9	2	

Total 318+27+13+11 = 369

10. Law Officer under Prosecution Department who are working in other departments:**1. State Vigilance & Anticorrption Bureou, H.P. Shimla.**

1. Sh. Balbir Singh Thakur, Joint Director (Prosecution), Shimla.
2. Sh. Jawahar Sharma, Joint Director (Prosecution), Shimla.
3. Sh. S.S. Bhatti, Distt. Attorney, South Zone.
4. Sh. A.K. Pattarwal, Distt. Attorney, North Zone.
5. Sh. R.L.Saini, Distt. Attorney, Central Zone.
6. Sh. M.R. Sharma, District Attorney, Hqrs.
7. Sh. N.S.Verma, Deputy District Attorney,
8. Distt. Attorney, Hqrs. (Vacant),
9. Sh. Kulvir Chauhan, Asstt.Distt.Attorney, S.Z. Shimla.
10. Smt.Gulab Negi, Asstt. Distt. Attorney, S.Z. Shimla.

2. EDUCATION DEPARTMENT:

11. Sh.C.B.Acharya, Distt. Attorney, (Secondary),
12. Sh. R.P.Kashav, Distt. Attorney, (Primary)

3. POLICE TRAINING COLLEGE, DAROH (PALAMPUR):

13. Sh. A.S. Rana, Joint Director (Prosecution).
14. Sh. R.R. Mastana, Distt. Attorney
15. Dy. Distt. Attorney, (vacant).

4. HEALTH & FAMILY WELFARE DEPARTMENT, HP, SHIMLA-9.

16. Sh. J.S. Rana, Dy.Distt. Attorney.

5. RURAL DEVELOPMENT AND PANCHAYATI RAJ, SHIMLA-9:

17. Sh. Mohinder Chauhan, Asstt. Distt. Attorney

6. EXCISE AND TAXATION DEPARTMENT:

18. Sh. P.R.Patiyal ,Distt Attorney.

7. HP PUBLIC WORKS DEPRTMENT:

19. Sh. Purinder Sharma,Dy. Distt. Attorney, Shimla.
20. Sh. Ashok Sugyan,Dy. Distt. Attorney, PWD NZ, D/Shala.
21. Dy .Distt. Attorney, PWD Mandi (Vacant).

8. TOWN AND COUNTRY PLANNING DEPARTMENT:

22. Sh. Giri Raj Singh, Asstt. Distt. Attorney.

9. HP PRISON DEPARTMENT,SHIMLA.

23. Sh. Chander Mohan, Asstt. Distt. Attorney,

10. POLICE HEADQUARTER:

24. Sh. H.S. Rana, Joint Director (Prosecution), Police Hqrs

11. INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL -CUM- LABOUR COURT, DHARAMSHALA.

25. Sh. T.C. Kainthla, Deputy Distt. Attorney, Shimla.
26. Sh. Hardayal Singh, Deputy District Attorney, Shimla.

12. Beas Bhakra Management Board, Nangal.

27. Sh. Tara Chand, District Attorney.

3. Vacant posts

At the end of the year 2005-06, 69 posts under different categories were vacant, wherein 1 post of District Attorney, 2 posts of Dy.Distt. Attorneys, 26 posts of Asstt. Distt. Attorneys, 2 posts of Senior Assistant, 3 posts of Junior Scale Stenographer, 3 posts of Steno typists, one post of Librarian 14 posts of Clerks and 17 posts of Peons were lying vacant.

Out of aforesaid vacant posts during the year 2006-07, 1 post of District Attorney, 17 posts of Assistant District Attorneys, 4 posts of Clerks, 5 posts of

peons have been filled up. At the end of the year 2006-07 following posts are lying vacant:

Sr. No.	Post	Number of posts
1.	Deputy Distt. Attorney	10
2.	Asstt. Distt. Attorney	31
3.	Senior Assistants.	1
4.	Junior Scale Stenographer	4
5.	Steno-Typist	3
6.	Librarian	1
7.	Clerks(Regular)	10
8.	Clerks(Contract basis)	2
9.	Peon(Regular 14, Contract 1 & daily 2)	17
	Total	79

The posts of Librarian, Junior Scale Stenographers, Steno-typist and Clerks are to be filled-up by direct recruitment as per the Govt. instructions. These posts cannot be filled without the prior approval of the Finance Department which is still awaited. However, requisition has been sent to the H.P. Subordinate Services Selection Board, Hamirpur, for filling up the posts Junior Scale Stenographer and steno typist, but so far no name has been sponsored.

Chapter-V

JUDICIAL WORK

1. CRIMINAL CASES:

During the year **2006-07** in the beginning as on **1.4.2006** there were **38021** cases under Indian Penal Code and Special/other laws in various courts in the State of H.P., were pending. **16573** new cases were instituted during the year 2006-07 and in **2176** cases accused were convicted and in **7387** cases accused were acquitted. **289** Cases were withdrawn. In **345** cases proceedings have been abated /stopped due to the death of the accused persons and declaration of accused as proclaimed offender. **4522** Cases were transferred to different courts. **39** Cases were discharged and **1273** cases were compromised. Hence, at the end of the year 2006-07 as on 31.3.2007, **38431** cases were pending in the different courts. The rate of conviction during the year 2006-07 is **23%**. Detailed statement of criminal cases is given in **annexure A-I**.

2. DETAIL RELATING TO CRIMINAL APPEALS/REVISIONS:

During the year, **2006-07** in the beginning there were **803** Criminal appeals/ revision pending in different courts of the State. **801** Criminal Appeals/revisions were instituted during the year 2006-07. **196** Cr. Appeals/revisions were decided against the State and **183** Cr. Appeals/revisions conviction of the accused persons were maintained and as such they were decided in favour of the State. **179** Cases of appeals/revisions were transferred to other courts. **14** Cases were compromised and as such percentage of success to the State during the year **2006-07** is **48%**. Thus the number of appeals/revisions at the end of the year **2006-07** is **1019**. Detailed statement of Criminal Appeals/revisions is attached as **Annexure-2**.

3. JUDICIAL WORK OF CIVIL CASES

During the year, **2006-07** in the beginning as on **1.4.2006**, there were **3865** civil cases pending in various courts of the State **1531** cases were instituted during the year, 2006-07, **980** cases were decided in favour of the State. **138** cases were decided against the State and **30** cases were compromised. **58** Cases were withdrawn from the courts. **369** cases were transferred to other courts. Hence, at the close of the year as on **31.3.2007** number of cases pending is **3821**. The percentage of success in civil cases during the year under reference is **88 %** which is quite encouraging. The detailed statement of civil cases during the year under reference is given in **Annexure A-3**.

4. HP LEGAL CELL, NEW DELHI (SUPREME COURT CASES)

(a) CIVIL MATTERS:

There were **148** cases pending in the beginning of the year, **2006-07**. 46 civil cases were instituted during the year 2006-07. **24** cases were decided in favour of the State and **15** cases were decided against the State. Hence at the end of the year 2006-07, **155** cases remained pending.

(b) CRIMINAL & OTHER MATTERS:

In beginning of the year 2006-07, **77** cases were pending in the Hon' ble Supreme Court of India and **33** cases were presented in the year 2006-07. **7** cases were decided in favour of State and **20** were decided against the State. Hence **83** cases remained pending at the end of the year **2006-07**.

5. PROCEEDINGS UNDER PREVENTIVE SECTIONS OF CR.P.C.

At the beginning of the year **2006-07**, **3887** cases under the preventive sections of Cr.PC were pending. **3217** cases were instituted during the year 2006-07 in the courts of different Executive Magistrates. **15** Cases persons were bound down after furnishing security and **3056** cases were discharged, **83** cases were compromised and **104** cases were transferred. Hence, at the end of the year **2006-07**, **3846** cases were pending in different preventive sections of Cr.PC. Detailed Statement of security cases is attached as **Annexure A-4**. Proceedings under sections 107/109/145 Cr.PC were instituted directly by the Police before the Executive Magistrates as preventive measure to maintain the law and order.

6. REVENUE CASES:

At the beginning of the year **2006-07**, **556** cases were pending. **299** cases were instituted during the year, 2006-07. **292** cases were decided in favour of the State and **27** cases were decided against the State. **17** cases were transferred. Hence, at the end of year 2006-07, **519** cases were pending.

7. FOREST CASES AT SHIMLA

At the beginning of the year, **2006-07**, **15** cases were pending before the Special Judge (Forest) Shimla. No case was instituted during the year. **One** case was convicted and **8** Cases were acquitted and in **3** cases untraced report was prepared. Hence, **3** cases were pending at the close of the year **2006-07**.

Chapter-VI

OTHER WORK

1. TRAINING PROGRAMME:

In addition to this, various courses on financial administration, service matters, Court procedure on CrPC, revenue laws, Course on human rights, Good Governance and E-mail/internet, Protection of Consumer Rights Act, Civil Misconduct were attended by the Officers of this department at HIPA. During the year many law officers were also sent for refresher courses on criminal law in the Institute of Criminology and Forensic Science at New Delhi.

2. PUBLIC ACCOUNTS COMMITTEE/AUDIT PARAS/INSPECTIONS

During the year 2005-06 there was no para pending with Public Accounts Committee pertaining to this Department. At the end of the year 2005-06 there were **24** reports and **48** paras pending for compliance. Out of the aforesaid pending reports/paras during the year 2006-07, **2** reports and **7** new paras /audit notes of the department and were made. During the year **2006-07**, **4** paras / audit test notes have been settled. Hence, at the end of the year **2006-07** only **26** reports and **51** paras/Audt Test Notes remained pending for settlement. During the year **2006-07** the steps were taken by the department for the settlement of the paras stated in the audit reports.

3. REGARDING RULES AND REGULATIONS:

The recruitment and promotion rules for all the categories of employees have been finalised.

4. MEETING OF DEAPRTMENTAL PROMOTION COMMITTEE:

During the year 2006-07, 5 meetings of departmental promotion committee were held, 2 for giving benefits of Assured Career Progression Scheme to eligible Class III/IV employees, 3 for promotion of Senior Assistant and Clerks.

5. SENIORITY LISTS:

This department has released the seniority lists of all the Class III and IV employees.

6. CONFIDENTIAL REPORTS:

The confidential reports for the year 2005-06 of Class III employees of this department have been completed.

7. USE OF HINDI

Almost all the work of this department except legal work is being done in Hindi. However, some legal work was also done in **Hindi**.

8. BUDGET

The detail of the amount, which is received from the Government on different sub Heads for the year 2005-06 under demand No. 3 and 31 is attached as Annexure **A-5** for perusal. Under this department, except Directorate other 39 offices are included under demand No. 3 and 31 offices fall under the demand No. 31.

During the year 2006-07 under the sub head Office Expenses less amount was allotted and the amount allotted was not sufficient to cope up with the pending bills of this Directorate. It is, therefore necessary that sufficient funds be provided under the above mentioned sub head for the smooth functioning of this Directorate.

9. DIFFICULTIES:

This department is not having sufficient ministerial staff to cope up with the day to day work. At the Head quarter level only one Asstt. 2 Clerks, one Junior Scale Stenographer, 2 peons are posted with the Distt. Attorneys whereas at Dharamshala, Chamba, Hamirpur, Una, Bilaspur, Solan, Nahan, Shimla, Kullu and Mandi, the number of Law Officers are more than 5 and 6 and require more supporting staff. Thus the Law Officers are facing great hardship and work of the department is also suffering. The private advocates always have sufficient staff to assist them, but as compared to the private advocates the law officers of this department have no sufficient manpower to assist them. In this department one post of junior scale stenographer had been sanctioned for each district head quarter level. However, at the sub divisional level there is no post of stenographer and as such the work of this department is also suffering at sub divisional level in the absence of stenos. The post of steno is very much essential for the smooth and effective functioning of the offices at the sub divisional level.

It is, therefore, necessary that for the proper functioning of the work of this department, one post of clerk with each law officer at District Level and one post of Steno at each Sub Divisional level should be sanctioned.

At the District HQ level the Distt. Attorney is the office-in-charge. He looks after the work of establishment/financial matters etc. In addition to legal works and mostly remains busy in the courts. Hence, the post of Superintendent, Grade II is very essential for the smooth working of office of District Attorneys at the Distt. Level. At the Distt. level, law officers have been attached with the judicial courts and they remained busy in the judicial work for whole day and as such the cases before the Revenue courts/Executive Magistrate are not attended to. It is essential that in each district HQ and sub divisional level additional post of Asstt. Distt. Attorney is created so that work may not suffer. This department has taken steps for the creation of above posts in the past, many times with the Government and is still under consideration with the Govt.

In addition to this, it is pointed out that presently law officers/officials at the District level/sub divisional level have not been provided suitable official/residential accommodation. This matter also requires urgent attention.

10. ACHIEVEMENTS OF THE DEPARTMENT

In the current financial year 3 posts of Deputy District Attorney & 7 posts of Assistant District Attorneys has been created in the office District Superintendent of Police to scrutinize the cases/legal advise etc. 5 posts of Deputy District has also been created in prosecution department for scrutinizing the cases,legal advise and to give opinion in cases.During the year under reference one post of District Attorney, one post of Deputy District Attorney, one post of Senior Assistant, one post of Junior Scale Stenographer and two posts of clerks have also been created in the prosecution department for litigation cell. This Department has provided computers at Distt. Hqrs and Sub Divisional Level which will help in monitoring of court cases and will increase the efficiency of Law Officers as the computers have become most indispensable accessory in the modern office working.

11 PROSPECTIVE PLAN OF THE DEPARTMENT.

The Department is considering providing Law Officers in the office of Supdt. of Police to tender legal advice at the stage of investigation which will improve the quality of investigation and increase the rate of conviction in criminal cases.

In order to protect the state interest in revenue cases pending before the revenue courts, the Department has also sent a proporsal to the Govt. to provide Law Officers exclusively for these courts which will facilitate the early disposal of revenue cases and the property of the state worth crores of rupees will be protected. In addition to this, since there are large number of court cases of the Department of Education and Health, pending adjudication in the courts & additional Law officers are required to process and lookafter these cases, for this the Department is considering to provide additional staff to deal with the court cases and

to protect the interest of State. Efforts are afoot to increase the rate of conviction by applying latest technology i.e. Forensic science etc., in the prosecution of criminla cases.

12 INSPECTION:

Periodic inspections of the offices of District Prosecution agency and sub divisional level prosecution agency are carried out by the Director (Prosecution) & Joint Director (Prosecution). The heads of the District Prosecution Agency have been instructed to inspect the office of Prosecution agencies in the Sub Division level within their jurisdiction.

13 RIGHT TO INFORMATION ACT.

During the year **2006-07** no application under the Right to Information Act has been received in the department. Hence, report in the matter is Nil.

14. Conviction Rate

2.13.1.1 IN TRIAL COURTS:

Conviction rate in criminal cases conducted before different Trial Courts in H.P. w.e.f. 1.4.2006 to 28.2.2007 figured at 23%. For the corresponding period during last preceding year it was at 16 %. Therefore, there is marked improvement in conviction rate and further steps are being taken to enhance the same by issuing directions to the prosecutors of this Directorate to increase their performance and efficiency accordingly.

2.13.1.2 In Appellate Courts

In criminal appeals/revisions preferred/instituted by the State of H.P. before the different appelatte Courts of Ld. Sessions Judge/Additional Sessions Judge/Fast Track Courts respectively against the judgment of acquittals/orders passed by the Judicial Magistrates, the success rate of acceptance of appeal/revision in favour of State of H.P., comes to 48 % which is quite encouraging and shows the effective performance by the Prosecution agency.

15

GENERAL

On the whole, the work of the prosecution agency in the State during the year **2006-07** has been found satisfactory. Efforts are being made to streamline the functioning of the Prosecution Department with a view to achieve a higher level of conviction rate so that HP becomes number one state in the field.

**Joint Director (Prosecution),
Himachal Pradesh.**

DETAIL OF SANCTIONED STRENGTH OF STAFF ANNEXURE- A
DEMAND NO. 3(NON PLAN)

Sr. No.	Category of post	Number of sanctioned posts	Number of posts filled up	Number of vacant posts	Remarks
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.
1.	Director Prosecution	1	1	0	
2.	Joint Director Prosecution	3	3	0	
3.	Distt. Attorneys	14	14	0	
4.	Dy. Distt. Attorneys	24	17	7	
5.	Asstt. Distt. Attorneys	83	52	31	
6.	Supdt. Grade I	1	1	0	
7.	Supdt. Grade II	1	1	0	
8.	Personal Asstt.	1	1	0	
9.	Senior Asstts.	17	16	1	
10.	Librarian	1	0	1	
11.	Junior Scale Stenographers	13	9	4	
12.	Steno typists	3	0	3	
13.	Clerks	78	66	12	
14.	Drivers	3	3	0	
15.	Peons	71	54	17	
16.	Chowkidars	3	3	0	
17.	Sweeper	1	1	0	
	Total	318	239	79	

DEMAND NO. 3(PLAN)

Sr. No.	Category of post	Number of sanctioned posts	Number of posts filled up	Number of vacant posts	Remarks
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.
1	Dy. Distt. Attorney	9	6	3	
2	Clerks	9	7	2	
3	Peons	9	9	-	
	Total	27	22	5	

DEMAND NO. 31(NON PLAN)

Sr. No.	Category of post	Number of sanctioned posts	Number of posts filled up	Number of vacant posts	Remarks
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.
1	Distt. Attorneys	1	1	-	
2	Dy. Distt. Attorneys	1	-	1	
3	Asstt. Distt. Attorneys	2	2	-	
4	Senior Asstts.	1	1	-	
5	Junior Scale Stenographers	1	1	-	
6	Clerks	3	3	-	
7	Peons	3	2	1	
8	Chowkidar	1	1	-	
	Total	13	11	2	

DETAIL OF SANCTIONED STRENGTH OF DAILY WAGES STAFF (DEMAND NO. 3)

1.	Peon	4	2	2	
2.	Sweeper	6	6		
3.	Chowkidar	1	1	-	
	Total	11	9	2	

ANNUAL REPORT FOR THE YEAR 2006-2007 STATEMENT OF CRIMINAL CASES (1.4.2006 TO 31.3.2007)

Sr No	Name Of The Court	Pending at the Beginning of the Year(1.4.06)	No. of Cases Instituted	Convicted	Acquitted	Discharged.	Compromised.	Transferred.	Proceeding Dropped	Withdrawn.	PO	Others.	Total Disposal	Balance at the end of Year (31.3.07)	Rate Of Conviction
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.	13.	14	16	17
1	Bilaspur	4034	1099	54	461	0	61	114	20	33	0	0	743	4390	11%
2	Chamba	2612	675	105	454	0	76	75	41	2	2	0	755	2532	19%
3	Hamirpur	2041	791	138	622	2	104	80	29	14	0	0	989	1843	18%
4	Kangra	9146	4004	330	1779	6	243	1980	126	28	0	15	4507	8643	16%
5	Kinnaur	443	147	14	45	0	14	4	4	5	15	1	102	488	24%
6	Kullu	1473	852	264	460	0	68	157	24	47	2	1	1023	1302	36%
7.	Lahul &Spiti	128	93	3	13	0	81	2	1	2	0	0	102	110	19%
8	Mandi	5336	2185	278	949	3	143	393	18	34	10	0	1828	5693	23%
9	Shimla	3227	2529	249	891	14	116	894	19	38	30	0	2251	3505	22%
10	Sirmour	1420	939	69	379	1	25	139	5	3	0	0	621	1738	15%
11	Solan	3750	1949	446	640	4	265	571	9	53	46	0	2034	3665	41%
12	Una	4411	1262	221	678	9	60	110	48	22	7	0	1155	4518	25%
	TOTAL	38021	16525	2171	7371	39	1256	4519	344	281	112	17	16110	38436	23%

STATEMENT OF CRIMINAL CASES FOR THE YEAR 2006-07.

Sr No	Name Of The Court	Pending at the Beginning of the Year(1.4.06)	No. of Cases Instituted	Convicted	Acquitted	Discharged.	Compromised.	Transferred.	Proceeding Dropped	Withdrawn.	PO	Others.	Total Disposal	Balance at the end of Year (31.3.07)	Rate Of Conviction
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.	13.	14	16	17
1	Bilaspur	4034	1099	54	461	0	61	114	20	33	0	0	743	4390	11%
2	Chamba	2612	675	105	454	0	76	75	41	2	2	0	755	2532	19%
3	Hamirpur	2041	791	138	622	2	104	80	29	14	0	0	989	1843	18%
4	Kangra	9146	4004	330	1779	6	243	1980	126	28	0	15	4507	8643	16%
5	Kinnaur	443	147	14	45	0	14	4	4	5	15	1	102	488	24%
6	Kullu	1473	852	264	460	0	68	157	24	47	2	1	1023	1302	36%
7.	Lahul &Spiti	128	93	3	13	0	81	2	1	2	0	0	102	110	19%
8	Mandi	5336	2185	278	949	3	143	393	18	34	10	0	1828	5693	23%
9	Shimla	3227	2529	249	891	14	116	894	19	38	30	0	2251	3505	22%
10	Sirmour	1420	939	69	379	1	25	139	5	3	0	0	621	1738	15%
11	Solan	3750	1949	446	640	4	265	571	9	53	46	0	2034	3665	41%
12	Una	4411	1262	221	678	9	60	110	48	22	7	0	1155	4518	25%
	TOTAL	38021	16525	2171	7371	39	1256	4519	344	281	112	17	16110	38436	23%

STATEMENT REGARDING CRIMINAL APPEALS/REVISIONS FOR THE YEAR 2006-07.

Sr. No.	District	Pending at beginning of the Year 1.4.05	Instituted During the Year 2005-06	In Favour Of the State	Against The State	Comp romised	Transfer	Ors.	Total Disposal	Pending At the Close of The Year	Percentage of Success
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
1	Distt. Bilaspur	59	35	3	35	-	20	1	59	35	8%
2	Distt. Chamba	24	21	9	12	4	0	2	27	18	43%
3	Distt. Hamirpur	23	96	22	6	4	10	-	42	77	79%
4	Distt. Kangra	214	182	25	40	-	31	1	97	299	38%
5	Distt. Kinnaur at Rampur	7	14	2	1	-	0	-	3	18	67%
6	Distt. Kullu	12	44	10	9	-	1	-	20	36	53%
7	Distt. Shimla	181	117	29	20	-	71	-	120	178	59%
8	Distt. Mandi	91	155	24	24	3	9	6	66	180	50%
9	Distt. Sirmaur	48	21	5	2	3	0	1	11	58	71%
10	Distt. Solan	79	61	45	31	-	37	2	115	25	59%
11	Distt. Una	65	55	9	16	-	0	-	25	95	36%
	Total	803	801	183	196	14	179	13	585	1019	48%

**JOINT DIRECTOR PROSECUTION,
HIMACHAL PRADESH.**

ANNEXURE A-3.

**DIRECTORATE OF PROSECUTION
HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA-9****ANNUAL ADMINISTRATION REPORT FOR THE YEAR 2005-2006
STATEMENT REGARDING CIVIL CASES W.E.F. 1.4.2006 TO 31.3.2007**

Sr. No.	Name of The court	Pending at the begin- ing of the year. (1.4.2006)	Instituted during the year 2006-2007	No. of Cases decided in favour of the State	Decided against the State	Comp-romised	Trans -fer	Withdr awl.	Total Disp -osed	Pending At the Close Of the Year (31.3.07)	Percentage of success during the year
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.
1.	Distt.Bilaspur	383	117	120	3	3	3	6	135	365	98%
2.	Distt.Chamba	88	52	34	6	0	4	5	49	91	85%
3.	Distt.Hamirpur	552	126	155	13	3	11	0	182	496	92%
4.	Distt Kullu	172	145	23	6	4	86	0	119	198	79%
5.	Distt.Kinnaur	58	25	6	3	0	1	0	10	73	67%
6.	Distt.Kangra	627	169	118	23	0	69	13	223	573	84%
7.	Distt.L & S	8	2	1	0	0	0	0	1	9	100%
8.	Distt Mandi	381	195	126	22	0	17	14	179	397	85%
9.	Distt.Shimla	478	340	130	13	13	66	16	238	580	91%
10.	Distt.Sirmaur	170	92	54	11	1	81	0	147	115	83%
11.	Distt.Solan	442	175	128	23	6	31	4	192	425	85%
12.	Distt.Una	506	93	85	15	0	0	0	100	499	85%
	Total	3865	1531	980	138	30	369	58	1575	3821	88%

**JOINT DIRECTOR PROSECUTION,
HIMACHAL PRADESH**

Sr. No.	Name of the court	Pending at the beginning of the year 1.4.2006	No. of cases instituted during the year	No. of cases bound down	Discharged	Transferred	Compromised	No. of cases pending at the close of the year 31.3.07
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.
1	District Bilaspur	142	101	-	161	-	-	82
2	District Chamba	24	74	3	46	-	24	25
3	District Hamirpur	358	358	-	351	-	-	365
4	District Kullu	94	68	-	59	-	-	103
5	District Kinnaur	170	41	-	131	-	5	75
6	District Kangra	1004	651	-	545	1	11	1098
7	District Shimla	547	252	-	198	21	22	558
8	District Mandi	700	853	2	822	-	-	729
9	District Sirmaur	350	349	-	278	-	-	421
10	District Solan	304	163	10	159	82	12	204
11	District L&S	1	2	-	1	-	-	2
12	District Una	193	305	-	305	-	9	184
	TOTAL	3887	3217	15	3056	104	83	3846

**JOINT DIRECTOR PROSECUTION,
HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA**

DEMAND NO. 3.**Annexure-A-5.**

STATEMENT SHOWING THE SANCTIONED BUDGET AND ACTUAL EXPENDITURE (EXCESS & SURRENDER) UNDER MAJOR HEAD
 2014-ADMN. OF JUSTICE, 114-LEGAL ADVISORS AND COUNSELS, 02-OTHER LAW OFFICERS (NON PLAN) FOR THE YEAR
 2006-07 PERTAINING TO THE DIRECTORATE OF PROSECUTION, HIMACHAL PRADESH.

Sr. No.	SOE	Sanctioned budget	Supplementary /additionality	Total	Actual expenditure Upto 31.3. 2006	Excess (+)	Surrender (-)
1	2	3	4	5	6	7.	8.
1	SALARY	37485000	3500000	40985000	44581844	3596844	0
2	WAGES	755000	0	755000	571438	0	183562
3	TE	371000	0	371000	363490	0	7510
4	LIVERY	25000	0	25000	25000	0	0
5	OE	1730000	640000	2370000	2199673	0	170327
6	MR	478000	422000	900000	921715	21715	0
7	RRT	111000	87000	198000	193381	0	4619
8	PUBLICATION	1000	9000	10000	9032	0	968
9	PP & SS	1526000	0	1526000	1526000	0	0
10	OTHERS	1000	0	1000	0	0	1000
11	MV	314000	325000	639000	638956	0	44
12	TTE	40000	74000	114000	115990	1980	0
	Total	4,28,37,000	50,57,000	4,78,94,000	5,11,46,519	36,20,539	3,68,030

Annexure A-5.

DEMAND NO. 3.

STATEMENT SHOWING THE SANCTIONED BUDGET AND ACTUAL EXPENDITURE UNDER MAJOR HEAD 2014-ADMN. OF JUSTICE, 114-LEGAL ADVISORS AND COUNSELS, 02-OTHER LAW OFFICERS (PLAN) FOR THE YEAR 2006-07 PERTAINING TO THE DIRECTORATE OF PROSECUTION, HIMACHAL PRADESH.

Sr. No.	SOE	Sanctioned budget	Supplementary /additionality	Total	Actual expenditure Upto 31.12.05	Excess	Surrender
1	2		4	5	6	7	8
1	SALARY	2600000	-	2600000	2511543	-	88457
2	WAGES	1200000	-	1200000	921855	-	278145
3	TE	30000	-	30000	41011	11011	0
4	LIVERY	45000	-	45000	10000	-	35000
5	OE	500000	-	500000	462866	-	37134
6	MR	40000	-	40000	31971	-	8029
7	R.R.T.	300000	-	300000	26145	-	273855
8	Publication	10000	-	10000	0	-	10000
9	OTHER CHARGES	20000	-	20000	0	-	20000
10	TTE	20000	-	20000	8092	-	11908
	Total	4765000	-	4765000	4013483	11011	762528

**Director (Prosecution),
Himachal Pradesh.**

Annexure A-5.

DEMAND NO. 31

**STATEMENT SHOWING THE SANCTIONED BUDGET AND ACTUAL EXPENDITURE (EXCESS & SURRENDER)
UNDER MAJOR HEAD 2014-ADMINISTRATION OF JUSTICE,(796)-TRIBAL AREA SUB PLAN-03- EXPENDITURE
ON OTHER LAW OFFICERS (Non Plan) FOR T HE YEAR 2006-07 PERTAINING T O THE DIRECTORATE OF
PROSECUTION, HIMACHAL PRADESH.**

Sr. No.	SOE	Sanctioned budget	Additionality	Total	Expenditure	Excess (+)	Surrender (-)
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.
1.	SALARY	1576000	-	1576000	1517401	-	58599
2.	Wages	33000	-	33000	30283	-	2717
3.	TE	26000	-	26000	14871	-	11129
4.	OE	66000	50000	116000	121930	5930	0
5.	MR	11000	60000	71000	44239	-	26761
6.	TTE	3000	-	3000	2931	-	69
	Total:-	1715000	110000	1825000	1731655	5930	99275

**Director (Prosecution)
Himachal Pradesh.**

Annexure A-4

Directorate of Prosecution,
HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA-9

ANNUAL ADMINISTRATION REPORT FOR THE YEAR 2006-2007.
STATEMENT REGARDING CASES U/s 107/109/110/145 Cr PC

Sr. No.	Name of the court	Pending at The beginning Of the year 1.4.2006	No. of cases Instituted During the Year	No. of cases Bound down	Discharged	Transferred	Compromised	No. of cases pending at the close of the year 31.3.2007
1.	2.	3.	4.	.5.	6.	7.	8.	9.
1.	DISTRICT BILASPUR							
1.	SDM BLP	33	74	-	39	-	-	68
2.	SDMGhumarwin	109	27	-	122	-	-	14
	Total	142	101	-	161	-	-	82
2.	DISTRICT CHAMBA							
1.	SDM Chamba	15	69	3	44	-	22	15
2.	SDM Dalhausie	9	5	0	2	-	2	10
	Total	24	74	3	46	-	24	25
3.	DISTRICT HAMIRPUR							
1.	SDM HMR	139	75	-	124	-	-	90
2.	SDM Naduan	4	52	-	27	-	-	29
3.	SDM Badsar	34	52	-	30	-	-	56
4.	TEHSILDAR HMR	85	70	-	67	-	-	88
5.	Tehs. Nadaun	26	20	-	22	-	-	24
6.	Tehs. Sujampur	18	34	-	22	-	-	30
7.	Tehs. Bhorang	30	50	-	33	-	-	47
8.	Tehs. Badsar	22	5	-	26	-	-	1
	Total	358	358	-	351	-	-	365
4.	DISTRICT KULLU							
1.	SDM KLU	62	38	-	31	-	-	69
2.	SDM MNL	8	16	-	20	-	-	4
3.	SDM Anni	24	14	-	8	-	-	30
	Total	94	68	-	59	-	-	103
5.	DISTRICT KINAUR							
1.	SDM Kalpa	170	41	-	131	-	5	75

6.	DISTRICT KANGRA							
1.	SDM D/SHALA	191	254	0	236	0	0	209
2.	SDM Dehra	369	12	0	24	0	0	357
3.	SDM Kangra	122	111	0	23	0	0	210
4.	SDM Palampur	124	69	0	93	0	3	97
5.	SDM Nurpur	113	60	0	39	1	8	125
6.	SDM Baijnath	38	129	0	82	0	0	85
7.	SDM Jawali	47	16	0	48	0	0	15
	Total	1004	651	0	545	1	11	1098
7.	DISTRICT SHIMLA							
1.	SDM(U) SML	174	125	-	50	9	9	231
2.	SDM Theog	26	37	-	16	6	6	35
3.	SDM Rohru	198	84	-	120	-	-	162
4.	SDM Chopal	48	6	-	12	6	7	29
5.	SDM Rampur	101	0	-	0	-	-	101
	Total	547	252	-	198	21	22	558
8.	DISTRICT MANDI							
1.	SDM MND Sadar	233	296	-	335	-	-	194
2.	SDM S/NGR	45	175	2	169	-	-	49
3.	SDM J/NGR	215	95	-	61	-	-	249
4.	SDM S/GHAT	100	170	-	171	-	-	99
5.	SDM Karsog	42	26	-	10	-	-	58
6.	SDM Gohar	65	91	-	76	-	-	80
	Total	700	853	2	822	-	-	729
9.	DISTRICT SIRMAUR AT NAHAN							
1.	SDM Nahan	150	160	-	170	-	-	140
2.	SDM Poanta	151	136	-	50	-	-	237
3.	SDM Rajgarh	49	53	-	58	-	-	44
	Total	350	349	-	278	-	-	421
10.	DISTRICT SOLAN							
1.	SDM Solan	71	44	10	44	3	12	46

2.	SDM K/GHAT	44	16	-	14	33	-	13
3.	SDM Arki	78	93	-	86	46	-	39
4.	SDM Nalagarh	111	10	-	15	0	-	106
	Total	304	163	10	159	82	12	204
11.	DISTRICT LAHUL & SPITI AT KULLU							
1.	SDM L& S	1	2	-	1	-	-	2
12.	DISTRICT UNA							
1.	SDM Una	109	185	-	143	-	9	142
2.	SDM Amb	84	120	-	162	-	-	42
	Total	193	305	-	305	-	9	184

Annexure A-4

STATEMENT REGARDING CASES U/s 107/109/110/145 CrPC FOR THE YEAR 2006-07.

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.
1.	District Bilaspur	142	101	-	161	-	-	82
2.	District Chamba	24	74	3	46	-	24	25
3.	District Hamirpur	358	358	-	351	-	-	365
4.	District Kullu	94	68	-	59	-	-	103
5.	District Kinnaur	170	41	-	131	-	5	75
6.	District Kangra	1004	651	-	545	1	11	1098
7.	District Shimla	547	252	-	198	21	22	558
8.	District Mandi	700	853	2	822	-	-	729
9.	District Sirmaur	350	349	-	278	-	-	421
10.	District Solan	304	163	10	159	82	12	204
11.	District L & S	1	2	-	1	-	-	2
12.	District Una	193	305	-	305	-	9	184
	TOTAL	3887	3217	15	3056	104	83	3846

***DIRECTOR PROSECUTION,
HIMACHAL PRADEH***

DIRECTORATE OF PROSECUTION
HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA-9

ANNUAL ADMINISTRATION REPORT FOR THE YEAR 2006-2007
STATEMENT REGARDING CIVIL CASES W.E.F. 1.4.2006 TO 31.3.2007

Annexure A-3.

Sr. No.	Name of The court	Pending At the Beginning Of the year (1.4.2006)	Instituted During The year 2006-2007	No. of Cases Decided in Favour Of the State	Decided Against the State	Compromised	Transfer.	Withdrawl.	Total Disposal	Pending At the Close Of the Year	Percentage of success during the year
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.
1.	DISTRICT BILASPUR										
1.	Distt. Judge BLP	56	23	18	2	-	3	-	23	56	90%
	ASJ-FTC, BLP	20	10	5	0	1	-	-	6	24	100%
2.	SSJ BLP	54	13	10	0	-	-	-	10	57	100%
3.	Sub Judge BLP	68	13	27	1	-	-	-	28	53	96%
4	Sub Judge, Ghumarwin.-I	113	26	34	-	1	-	4	39	100	100%
	S.J. Ghumarwin-II	72	32	26	-	1	-	2	29	75	100%
5	Total	383	117	120	3	3	3	6	135	365	98%
2.	DISTRICT CHAMBA										
1.	Distt. Judge Chamba	3	5	1	0	-	2	-	3	5	100%
2.	ASJ-FTC.	4	5	2	1	-	-	-	3	6	67%
3.	Sr. Sub Judge	30	14	17	3	-	-	1	21	23	85%
4.	Sub Judge	36	19	8	1	-	1	2	12	43	89%
5.	Sub judge, Dalhousie.	15	9	6	1	-	1	2	10	14	86%
	Total	88	52	34	6	-	4	5	49	91	85%
3.	DISTRICT HAMIRPUR										
1.	Distt. Judge HMR	42	22	35	8	-	5	-	48	16	81%
2.	ADJ(FTC)	37	4	3	1	-	-	-	4	37	75%

3.	Sr. Sub Judge HMR	73	15	35	3	-	-	-	38	50	92%
4.	Sub Judge I HMR	113	18	28	0	-	3	-	31	100	100%
5.	Sub Judge II HMR	87	12	6	1	1	-	-	8	91	86%
6.	Sub Judge III HMR	89	10	20	-	-	3	-	23	76	100%
7.	Sub Judge ,Barsar	26	20	15	-	-	-	-	15	31	100%
8.	Sub Judge Nadaun	85	25	13	-	2	-	-	15	95	100%
	Total	552	126	155	13	3	11	-	182	496	92%
4.	DISTRICT KULLU										
1.	Distt. Judge KLU	3	65	2	2	-	10	-	14	54	50%
2.	ADJ-FTC, Kullu.	140	32	1	0	0	66	-	67	105	100%
2.	Sr. Sub Judge KLU	8	11	0	0	4	4	0	8	11	50%
3.	Sub Judge KLU	13	19	8	3	-	5	-	16	16	73%
4.	Sub Judge, Anni	5	3	4	0	-	1	-	5	3	100%
5.	Sub Judge, Manali.	3	15	8	1	-	0	-	9	9	89%
	Total	172	145	23	6	4	86	-	119	198	79%
5.	DISTRICT KINNAUR										
1.	Distt. Judge KNR	44	22	5	3	-	1	-	9	57	63%
2.	Sr. Sub Judge KNR	14	3	1	0	-	-	-	1	16	100%
	Total	58	25	6	3	-	1	-	10	73	67%
6.	DISTRICT KANGRA										
1.	Distt. Judge D/Sala	22	24	13	4	-	5	-	22	24	76%
2.	Addl. Distt. Judge I	64	14	5	0	-	1	2	8	70	100%
3.	Addl. Distt. Judge II	29	9	3	2	-	0	-	5	33	60%
4	Addl. Distt.Judge(FTC)	92	0	4	1	-	54	-	59	33	80%
5	Sr. Sub Judge D/sala	41	17	11	0	-	6	2	19	39	100%
6	Sub judge (I) D/Sala	15	9	4	1	-	-	1	6	18	80%
7	Sub judge(II)D/Sala	22	2	0	0	-	0	1	1	23	-
8	Sub Judge I PLP	31	10	19	2	-	-	-	21	20	90%
9	Sub Judge II, PLP	39	12	11	2	-	-	-	13	38	84%
10	Sub Judge (I) NPR	24	8	2	1	-	-	-	3	29	80%
11	Sub Judge (II) NPR	48	5	4	1	-	2	1	8	45	80%
12	Sub Judge Baijnath	18	7	7	2	-	1	4	14	11	78%
13	Sub Judge (I) Dehra	18	8	6	1	-	-	-	7	19	86%
14	Sub Judge (II) Dehra	22	8	4	2	-	-	1	7	23	67%
15	Sub Judge (I) KNG	27	9	6	0	-	-	-	6	30	100%
16	Sub Judge (II)KNG	33	4	6	0	-	-	-	6	31	100%
17	Sub Judge Jawali	57	11	8	2	-	-	1	11	57	80%
18	SJ Indora	25	12	5	2	-	-	-	7	30	71%
	Total	627	169	118	23	-	69	13	223	573	84%

7.	DISTRICT LAHUL & SPITI AT KULLU										
1	Sr. Sub Judge L&S At Kullu	8	2	1	-	-	-	-	1	9	100%
		8	2	1	-	-	-	-	1	9	100%
8.	DISTRICT MANDI										
1.	Distt. Judge MND	28	23	18	10	-	-	-	28	23	64%
2.	Addl. Distt. Judge	34	9	9	3	-	-	-	12	31	75%
3.	ADJ-FTC-MND	17	6	9	1	-	-	-	10	13	90%
4.	Sr. Sub Judge.	21	6	7	1	-	-	-	8	19	88%
5.	Sub Judge (I) MND	23	11	6	0	-	-	-	6	28	100%
6.	Sub Judge (II) MND	25	8	8	1	-	-	-	9	24	89%
7.	Sub Judge (III) MND	21	9	5	0	-	-	-	5	25	100%
8.	Sub Judge-(IV)MND	17	5	7	0	-	-	-	7	15	100%
9.	Sub Judge ,SNR-1	88	29	18	1	-	16	14	49	68	95%
10	Sub Judge SNR-II	0	19	0	0	-	-	-	0	19	-
11	Sub Judge-J/Ngr.	46	18	14	0	-	-	-	14	50	100%
12	Sub Judge S/.Ghat	40	39	13	3	-	1	-	17	62	81%
13	Sub Judge Karsog	3	7	4	0	-	-	-	4	6	100%
14	Sub judge, Gohar	18	6	8	2	-	-	-	10	14	80%
	Total	381	195	126	22	-	17	14	179	397	85%
9.	DISTRICT SHIMLA										
1.	Distt. Judge SML	18	29	10	3	2	6	2	23	24	77%
2.	Distt. Judge (Forest)	18	6	6	-	3	0	-	9	15	100%
3.	Addl.Distt.Judge,SML	83	30	16	4	1	35	1	57	56	80%
4.	ADJ(FTC)	101	73	17	-	-	0	-	17	157	100%
5	Sr. Sub Judge SMI	44	16	9	-	-	5	2	16	44	100%
6	Sub judge (I) SMI	25	18	7	-	-	0	-	7	36	100%
7.	Sub Judge (II)SML	28	2	7	-	2	2	2	13	17	100%
8	Sub Judge (III)	29	3	4	-	-	0	2	6	26	100%
9.	Sub Judge (IV)	29	6	4	-	1	0	2	7	28	100%
10	Sub Judge (V)	30	2	3	-	-	0	-	3	29	100%
	Sun Judge (VI)	0	33	4	-	-	1	-	5	28	100%
11.	Sub Judge Theog	26	31	11	3	3	3	2	22	35	79%
12	Sub Judge (I)Rohru	10	20	7	2	1	11	3	24	6	78%
13.	Sub Judge (II) Rogru	12	34	8	1	-	2	-	11	35	89%
14.	Sub Judge Chopal	3	9	4	-	-	0	-	4	8	100%
15.	Sub judge, Rampur	9	13	6	-	-	1	-	7	15	100%
16.	Sub Judge Jubbal	10	6	3	-	-	0	-	3	13	100%
17.	Sub Judge-Jubbal atTheog	3	9	4	-	-	0	-	4	8	100%
	Total	478	340	130	13	13	66	16	238	580	91%

10.	DISTRICT SIRMAUR AT NAHAN										
1.	Distt. Judge	33	12	8	4	-	25	-	37	8	67%
2.	Add. Distt. Judge	54	6	6	2	-	45	-	53	7	75%
3.	Sr. Sub Judge NHN	20	23	11	3	-	-	-	14	29	79%
4.	Sub Judge NHN	9	28	9	2	-	-	-	11	26	82%
5.	Sub Judge Poanta I	10	10	8	-	1	-	-	9	11	100%
6.	Sub Judge Poanta II	18	3	3	-	-	-	-	3	18	100%
7.	Sub Judge Rajgarh	26	10	9	-	-	11	-	20	16	100%
	Total	170	92	54	11	1	81	-	147	115	83%
11.	DISTRICT SOLAN										
1.	Distt. Judge, SLN	13	19	12	3	-	5	-	20	12	80%
2.	Addl. Distt. Judge	23	8	13	5	-	-	1	19	12	72%
3.	ADJ-FTC-SLN	0	10	2	0	0	0	0	2	8	100%
4.	Sr. Sub Judge, SLN	73	0	20	0	0	0	-	20	53	100%
5.	Sub Judge SLN	83	0	6	6	-	-	2	14	69	50%
6.	Sub Judge K/ghat	37	12	17	1	0	0	0	18	31	94%
7.	Sub judge N/garh	73	23	23	1	-	-	-	24	72	95%
8.	Sub judge Arki	54	29	13	3	1	-	-	17	66	81%
9.	Sub Judge Kasauli(SD)	86	32	15	4	3	26	1	49	69	79%
10.	Sub Judge Kasauli(JD)	0	42	7	0	2	-	-	9	33	100%
	Total	442	175	128	23	6	31	4	192	425	85%
12	DISTRICT UNA										
1.	Distt. Judge, Una	36	24	16	2	-	-	-	18	42	89%
2.	Addl. Distt. Judge	55	8	11	2	-	-	-	13	50	85%
3.	Addl. Distt. Judge(FTC)	80	5	3	0	-	-	-	3	82	100%
4.	Sr. Sub Judge, Una	65	12	14	1	-	-	-	15	62	93%
5.	Sub Judge (I) Una	77	5	15	3	-	-	-	18	64	83%
6.	Sub Judge (II) Una	62	3	11	2	-	-	-	13	52	85%
7.	Sub Judge(III)Una	56	8	4	0	-	-	-	4	60	100%
8.	Sub Judge (I) Amb	34	11	5	4	-	-	-	9	36	56%
9.	Sub Judge (II) Amb	41	17	6	1	-	-	-	7	51	86%
	Total	506	93	85	15	-	-	-	100	499	85%

Statement of Civil Cases for the year 2006-07(w.e.f. 1.4.06 to 31.3.2007)

Annexure A-3.

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.
1	Distt Bilaspur	383	117	120	3	3	3	6	135	365	98%
2	Distt. Chamba	88	52	34	6	0	4	5	49	91	85%
3	Distt. Hamirpur	552	126	155	13	3	11	0	182	496	92%
4	Distt. Kullu	172	145	23	6	4	86	0	119	198	79%
5	Distt. Kinnaur	58	25	6	3	0	1	0	10	73	67%
6	Distt. Kangra	627	169	118	23	0	69	13	223	573	84%
7	Distt. L & S	8	2	1	0	0	0	0	1	9	100%
8	Distt. Mandi	381	195	126	22	0	17	14	179	397	85%
9	Distt. Shimla	478	340	130	13	13	66	16	238	580	91%
10	Distt. Sirmaur	170	92	54	11	1	81	0	147	115	83%
11	Distt. Solan	442	175	128	23	6	31	4	192	425	85%
12	Distt. Una	506	93	85	15	0	0	0	100	499	85%
	Total	3865	1531	980	138	30	369	58	1575	3821	88%

***DIRECTOR PROSECUTION,
Himachal Pradesh.***

Annexure A-2

DIRECTORATE OF PROSECUTION
HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA-9

STATEMENT REGARDING CRIMINAL APPEALS/REVISIONS FOR THE YEAR 2006-07

Sr. No.	Name of the court	Pending at beginning Of the Year (1.4.2006)	Instituted during the Year 2006-2007	In favour of the State	Against the State	Compromised	Transfer	Ors.	Total Disposal	Pending at the Close of the Year	Percentage of Success
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
1.	DISTRICT BILASPUR										
1.	Session Judge BLP	49	20	1	28	-	17	-	46	23	3%
2.	FTC Ghumarwin	10	15	2	7	-	3	1	13	12	22%
	Total	59	35	3	35	-	20	1	59	35	8%
2.	DISTRICT CHAMBA										
1.	Session Judge Chb	8	14	4	5	1	-	-	10	12	44%
2.	FTC Chamba	16	7	5	7	3	-	2	17	16	42%
	Total	24	21	9	12	4	-	2	27	18	43%
3.	DISTRICT HAMIRPUR										
1.	Session Judge HMR	7	71	16	3	4	10	-	33	45	84%
2.	ASJ-FTC	16	25	6	3	-	-	-	9	32	67%
	Total	23	96	22	6	4	10	-	42	77	79%
4.	DISTRICT KANGRA										
1.	Session Judge KNG	38	94	10	22	-	26	-	58	74	31%
2.	ASJ -I KNG	69	19	5	6	-	3	-	14	74	45%
3.	ASJ- II KNG	31	53	7	10	-	2	1	20	64	41%
4.	ASJ- FTC	76	16	3	2	-	0	-	5	87	60%
	Total	214	182	25	40	-	31	1	97	299	38%
5.	DISTRICT KINNAUR AT RAMPUR										
1.	Session Judge RMP	7	14	2	1	-	-	-	3	18	67%
6.	DISTRICT KULLU										
1.	Session Judge KLU	12	30	7	7	-	1	-	15	27	50%
2.	ASJ-FTC	0	14	3	2	-	-	-	5	9	60%
	Total	12	44	10	9	-	1	-	20	36	53%

7.	DISTRICT SHIMLA										
1.	Session Judge SML	77	42	17	10	-	39	-	66	53	63%
2.	ASJ SML	64	44	12	9	-	32	-	53	55	57%
3.	ADJ(FTC)	40	31	0	1	-	0	-	1	70	0%
	Total	181	117	29	20	-	71	-	120	178	59%
8.	DISTRICT MANDI										
1.	Session Judge MND	23	68	10	9	3	6	0	28	63	53%
2.	ASJ MND	44	79	8	9	-	0	3	20	103	47%
3.	ASJ(FTC)	24	8	6	6	-	3	3	18	14	50%
	Total	91	155	24	24	3	9	6	66	180	50%
9.	DISTRICT SIRMAUR AT NAHAN										
1.	Session Judge NHN	19	7	2	1	3	-	-	6	20	67%
2.	ASJ NHN	29	14	3	1	-	-	1	5	38	75%
	Total	48	21	5	2	3	-	1	11	58	71%
10.	DISTRICT SOLAN										
1.	Session, Judge SLN	17	41	18	15	-	14	2	49	9	54%
2.	ASJ SLN	56	15	27	9	-	23	-	59	12	75%
3.	ASJ (FTC)	6	5	-	7	-	-	-	7	4	0%
	Total	79	61	45	31	-	37	2	115	25	59%
11.	DISTRICT UNA										
1.	Session Judge Una	14	25	4	1	-	-	-	5	34	80%
2.	ASJ Una	16	26	3	2	-	-	-	5	37	60%
3.	ASJ-FTC	35	4	2	13	-	-	-	15	24	13%
	Total	65	55	9	16	-	-	-	25	95	36%

Annexure A-2

STATEMENT REGARDING CRIMINAL APPEALS/REVISIONS FOR THE YEAR 2006-07.

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.
1.	Distt. Bilaspur	59	35	3	35	-	20	1	59	35	8%
2.	Distt. Chamba	24	21	9	12	4	0	2	27	18	43%
3.	Distt. Hamirpur	23	96	22	6	4	10	-	42	77	79%
4.	Distt. Kangra	214	182	25	40	-	31	1	97	299	38%
5.	Distt. Kinnaur at Rampur	7	14	2	1	-	0	-	3	18	67%
6.	Distt. Kullu	12	44	10	9	-	1	-	20	36	53%
7.	Distt. Shimla	181	117	29	20	-	71	-	120	178	59%
8.	Distt. Mandi	91	155	24	24	3	9	6	66	180	50%
9.	Distt. Sirmaur	48	21	5	2	3	0	1	11	58	71%
10.	Distt. Solan	79	61	45	31	-	37	2	115	25	59%
11.	Distt. Una	65	55	9	16	-	0	-	25	95	36%
	Total	803	801	183	196	14	179	13	585	1019	48%

*DIRECTOR PROSECUTION,
HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA-9.*

Annexure A-I

STATEMENT OF CRIMINAL CASES W.E.F. 1.4.2006 TO 31.03.2007 SHOWING RATE OF CONVICTION & PERFORMANCE OF LAW OFFICERS

Sr No	Name of the court	Name of the Law Officer	No. of cases pending at the beginning of month	Instituted during month	Convicted during month	Acquitted during month	Discharged during month	Compromised during month	Transferred during the month	Proceedings dropped	withdrawn	PO	Others.	Total disposal	Cases pending at the close of month	Rate of conviction
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17
1.	DISTRICT BILASPUR HP															
1.	Session judge	AK Patterwal DA	96	45	2	19	0	0	39	0	0	0	0	60	81	10%
2.	CJM	NC Ghai DDA	1034	452	34	135	0	21	35	8	12	0	0	245	1241	20%
3.	JMIC BIp	CS Bhatia ADA	1465	192	2	148	0	23	17	6	8	0	0	204	1453	1%
4.	ACJM Gwin	Vinod Bhardwaj ADA	838	261	10	53	0	7	9	1	5	0	0	85	1014	16%
5.	JMIC Gwin	Vinod Bhardwaj ADA	592	107	5	104	0	10	10	5	6	0	0	140	559	5%
6.	ASJ FTC Gwin	Anil Sood DDA	9	42	1	2	0	0	4	0	2	0	0	9	42	33%
	Total		4034	1099	54	461	0	61	114	20	33	0	0	743	4390	11%
2.	DISTRICT CHAMBA HP															
1.	Session J	RD Sharma DA	50	50	5	31	0	0	37	1	0	0	0	74	26	14%
2.	CJM	Rajesh ADA	756	254	17	176	0	47	19	9	1	0	0	269	741	9%
3.	JMIC	Rajesh ADA	735	139	12	116	0	21	7	10	1	0	0	167	707	9%
4.	SDJM Dalhousie.	Bhuvnesh, ADA	1046	193	47	115	0	8	12	21	0	1	0	204	1035	29%
5.	FTC	Harwinder Singh DDA	25	39	24	16	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	41	23	60%
	Total		2612	675	105	454	0	76	75	41	2	2	0	755	2532	19%

3.	DISTRICT HAMIRPUR															
1.	Sessions J	Vinod Kumar Chaudharty DA	18	30	4	16	1	0	19	0	0	0	0	40	8	20%
2.	FTC	GC Rana DDA	1	14	1	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	8	14%
3.	CJM	AK Nadda, ADA	373	168	58	87	1	42	11	8	2	0	0	209	332	40%
4.	JMIC I	AK Nadda ADA	417	73	11	132	0	5	18	1	4	0	0	171	319	8%
5.	JMIC II	Eklabya, ADA	280	135	12	118	0	17	10	1	4	0	0	162	253	9%
6.	JMIC III	D.S.Parmar, ADA	411	115	28	102	0	20	7	15	2	0	0	174	352	22%
7.	JMIC Badsar	Rajesh Verma, ADA	98	111	7	77	0	13	6	3	0	0	0	106	103	8%
8.	JMIC Nadaun	Deepak Aggarwal, ADA	443	145	17	84	0	7	9	1	2	0	0	120	468	17%
	Total		2041	791	138	622	2	104	80	29	14	0	0	989	1843	18%
4.	DISTRICT KANGRA AT DHARAMSHALA															
1.	Sessions J	NK Sharma DA	61	110	9	39	0	0	79	0	0	0	0	127	44	19%
2.	ASJ I	KK Sharma DDA	95	26	4	18	0	0	42	0	0	0	0	64	57	18%
3.	ASJ II	BK Marwah DDA	49	33	0	14	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	15	67	0%
4.	CJM	Sanjeev Katoch, ADA	171	137	50	69	0	9	8	0	0	0	0	136	172	42%
5.	JMIC I	Sandeep ADA	122	195	38	73	0	13	10	2	0	0	1	137	180	34%
6.	JMIC II	Do	107	5	0	1	0	1	56	0	0	0	0	58	54	0%
7.	ACJM Palampur	SS Pathania, ADA	675	239	52	104	0	46	76	3	3	0	0	284	630	33%
8.	JMIC Palampur	Kuldeep Sen, ADA.	426	113	13	94	1	30	6	5	7	0	1	157	382	12%
9.	ACJM Nurpur	Ashok Kumar, ADA	621	674	8	138	0	8	555	24	3	0	0	736	559	5%

10.	JMIC Nurpur	Ashok Kumar ADA	872	1254	1	22	0	13	1092	3	0	0	0	1131	995	4%
11.	ACJM Dehra	RD Chaudhary, ADA	466	190	26	116	0	27	7	9	4	0	0	189	467	18%
12.	JMIC Dehra	RD Chaudhary ADA	385	202	20	81	1	16	10	7	4	0	0	139	448	20%
13.	ACJM Kangra	JC Chandel, ADA	848	158	14	144	0	20	17	11	6	0	2	214	792	9%
14.	JMIC Kangra	RK Kaushal ADA	859	149	47	116	2	18	9	7	1	0	0	200	808	29%
15.	ACJM Bajjnath	Bhuvnesh Awasthi,ADA	94	117	11	70	0	12	7	1	0	0	3	104	107	14%
16.	ACJM Jawali	Bhishm Jwal ADA	1116	175	19	403	2	12	1	21	0	0	4	462	829	5%
17.	JMIC Indora	Vijay Rehalia, ADA	2149	177	4	253	0	18	3	32	0	0	4	314	2012	2%
18.	FTC	PK Haziri DDA	30	50	14	24	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	40	40	37%
	Total		9146	4004	330	1779	6	243	1980	126	28	0	15	4507	8643	16%
5.	DISTRICT KINNAUR															
1.	Session judge	Amar Prakash, Distt. Attorney	83	60	0	3	0	0	0	0	3	1	0	7	136	0%
2.	CJM KNR at Peo	HS Negi, Asstt. Distt. Attorney	360	135	19	58	0	31	7	5	10	18	0	148	347	25%
	Total		443	195	19	61	0	31	7	5	13	19	0	155	483	24%
6.	DISTRICT KULLU															
1.	Session J	BS Pathania DA	54	77	6	19	0	0	48	0	0	0	0	73	58	24%
2.	FTC	RP Kashav DDA	26	51	6	31	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	40	37	16%
3.	CJM	Mahesh Sen DDA	487	287	48	103	0	21	76	8	8	0	0	264	510	32%
6	ACJM	Ajit Thakur DDA	714	93	79	191	0	39	14	14	39	0	0	376	431	29%
5.	JMIC	Arvind Malhotra	97	222	114	102	0	2	7	0	0	2	0	227	92	53%

	Manali	ADA														
6.	JMIC Ani	Umesh Sharma,AD A	95	122	11	14	0	6	11	0	0	0	1	43	174	44%
	Total		1473	852	264	460	0	68	157	24	47	2	1	1023	1302	36%
7.	DISTRICT LAHOUL & SPITI AT KULLU															
1.	CJM L & S at Kullu.	Ajit Thakur DDA	128	93	03	13	0	81	2	1	2	-	-	102	119	19%
8.	DISTRICT MANDI															
1.	Sessions J	RP Raina DA	73	91	19	12	0	0	53	0	0	0	0	84	80	61%
2.	ASJ	VK Behl DDA	100	37	14	10	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	26	111	58%
3.	FTC	JK Lakhanpal DDA	65	22	13	24	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	37	50	35%
4.	CJM Mandi	RC Bakshi DDA	419	172	65	93	1	12	9	0	3	1	0	184	407	41%
5.	JMIC I	VK Sharma ADA	415	101	9	62	0	2	0	1	0	0	0	74	442	13%
6.	JMIC II	Sharad Lagwal ADA	447	106	12	82	0	3	0	2	0	0	0	99	454	13%
7.	JMIC III	Sanjeev Rana ADA	534	114	10	86	0	11	3	2	4	4	0	120	528	10%
8.	JMIC IV	Do	396	21	0	44	0	6	58	0	1	3	0	112	305	0%
9.	JMIC-I Sunder Ngr	Monika Malhotra DDA	1166	331	70	126	1	26	233	4	5	0	0	465	1032	36%
10.	JMI-II, SNR	-Do-	0	458	5	3	0	4	1	3	0	0	0	16	442	63%
11.	JMIC Karsog	Mukta Kashyap ADA	109	130	6	85	0	10	11	1	0	2	0	115	124	7%
12.	JMIC Gohar	R.K. Bharwal DDA	304	172	14	97	0	27	8	0	2	0	0	148	328	13%
13.	JMIC Sarkaghat	KK Sharma DDA	727	223	25	91	1	16	8	0	9	0	0	150	800	22%
14.	JMIC Joginder	NS Chauhan ADA	581	207	16	134	0	26	9	3	10	0	0	198	590	11%

	Ngr															
	Total		5336	2185	278	949	3	143	393	18	34	10	0	1828	5693	23%
9.	DISTRICT SHIMLA															
1.	Session J	Roop Lal Saini.DA	25	47	5	14	0	0	34	5	0	0	0	58	14	26%
2.	ASJ	SS Kaushal DDA	53	28	6	7	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	17	64	46%
3.	SJ Forest	K.S.Thakur, ADA	12	3	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	11	50%
4.	CJM	Atma Ram, ADA	258	138	26	88	1	5	55	0	2	1	0	178	218	23%
5.	JMIC I	Jagdsih Kanwar ADA	276	105	4	44	1	4	13	0	4	2	0	72	309	8%
6.	JMIC II	R.S.Parmar, ADA	345	129	18	84	0	0	131	2	3	3	0	241	233	18%
7.	JMIC III	Gulab Devi, ADA	310	231	14	81	0	12	115	2	7	2	0	233	308	15%
8.	JMIC IV	AnoopSharma/Ms .Sheetal,ADA	263	81	11	55	1	2	78	2	3	0	0	152	192	17%
9.	JMIC V	Ritu Malik, ADA.	304	214	29	17	0	5	160	0	1	5	0	217	301	63%
10.	JMIC VI	Sudeep, ADA	0	238	7	20	0	3	24	1	3	1	0	59	179	26%
11.	Juvenile Court	Jagdish Kanwar.ADA	167	78	6	24	0	2	4	0	3	0	0	39	206	20%
12.	JMIC-I Rohru.	Suresh Hetta ADA	81	181	20	33	3	4	79	0	0	0	0	139	123	35%
13.	JMIC Rohru	Do	79	258	30	55	8	21	143	0	0	0	0	257	80	32%
14.	JMIC Theog	Anup Sharma, ADA	356	156	18	130	0	12	0	7	0	7	0	174	338	12%
15.	JMIC Chopal	Rajeshwar Dutt, ADA.	49	110	2	14	0	3	2	0	5	0	0	26	133	13%
16.	JMIC Jubbal	H.S.Negi, ADA.	98	57	14	51	0	3	2	0	0	0	0	70	85	22%
17.	JMIC Jubbal at Theog	-do- ADA	46	3	7	38	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	45	4	16%
18.	SDJM	LS Negi,DDA.	498	456	27	131	0	40	49	0	7	9	0	263	691	17%

	Rampur															
19.	FTC-SML	Ajmer Singh DD	07	16	03	03	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	07	16	50%
	Total		3227	2529	249	891	14	116	894	19	38	30	0	2251	3505	22%
10.	DISTRICT SIRMAUR AT NAHAN															
1.	Session J	Rajender Sharma, DA	63	21	2	11	0	-	21	0	0	0	0	34	50	15%
2.	ASJ	CB Acharya DDA	31	24	2	4	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	48	33%
3.	CJM	S.P.Garg, ADA	188	164	11	107	0	4	62	1	0	0	0	185	167	8%
4.	JMIC I Nahan	Do	98	151	6	69	0	3	3	2	2	0	0	85	164	8%
5.	JMIC Paonta	Umesh Mohan ADA	375	474	41	110	0	8	12	2	0	0	0	173	676	27%
6.	JMIC II Paonta	Kulbhushan, ADA	552	22	1	13	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	21	553	7%
7.	ACJM Rajgarh	M.K. Sharma ADA	113	83	6	65	0	3	41	0	1	0	0	116	80	8%
	Total		1420	939	69	379	1	25	139	5	3	0	0	621	1738	15%
11.	DISTRIC SOLAN															
1.	Sessions J	MR Sharma DA	30	51	10	18	0	0	27	1	0	0	0	56	25	35%
2.	ASJ	NL Sen ADA & P.Thakyr DDA	42	22	11	16	0	0	21	0	0	0	0	48	16	41%
3.	ASJ FTC	Ashwani Dhiman Dy.DA	21	21	1	19	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	20	22	5%
4.	CJM	PC Thakur DDA	505	482	106	81	0	18	21	0	5	1	0	232	755	57%
5.	JMIC	PCThakur,DDA /L.S.Negi,ADA	551	51	15	102	0	9	1	0	8	11	0	146	456	13%
6.	ACJM KASAULI	MK Sharma & NL Sen,ADA	896	233	50	102	0	5	414	2	2	16	0	591	538	33%
7.	JMIC KSL	KapilSharma ADA	0	413	1	7	0	1	42	0	0	4	0	55	358	13%
8.	ACJM	Surinder Negi	323	63	15	103	2	21	3	2	7	7	0	160	226	12%

	KANDAGHA T	ADA														
9	ACJM ARKI	BN Shandil ADA	419	198	20	95	1	60	18	1	16	1	0	212	405	17%
10	SDJM NALAGARH	Sanjay Chauhan & S.Attri, ADA	963	415	217	97	1	151	24	3	15	6	0	514	864	69%
	Total		3750	1949	446	640	4	265	571	9	53	46	0	2034	3665	41%
12.	DISTRICT UNA															
1.	Sessions J	Raghuvir Singh DA	33	20	1	7	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	9	44	13%
2.	ASJ	GS Rathore,DDA	23	17	7	3	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	13	27	70%
3.	ASJ FTC	KS Verma DDA	24	12	7	20	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	27	9	26%
4.	CJM	JS Rana ADA	918	236	89	168	0	8	45	8	6	2	0	326	828	
35.	ACJM I	SK Bharwal ADA	806	177	22	47	6	12	24	8	7	0	0	126	857	32%
6.	JMIC II	JS Rana ADA	1091	204	28	107	2	15	20	14	3	3	0	192	1103	21%
7.	JMIC III	SK Bharwal ADA	598	225	23	107	0	5	3	14	3	1	0	156	667	18%
8.	PMJJ UNA	SK Bharwal ADA	314	81	7	28	1	12	0	0	2	0	0	50	345	20%
9.	ACJM AMB	CS Bhatia,ADA	370	156	26	85	0	2	12	2	0	0	0	127	399	23%
10.	JMIC II Amb	-do- ADA	234	134	11	106	0	6	4	1	0	1	0	129	239	9%
	Total		4411	1262	221	678	9	60	110	48	22	7	0	1155	4518	25%

Annexure A-1STATEMENT OF CRIMINAL CASES (COURT AND LAW OFFICER WISE) FOR THE PERIOD WEF 1.4.06 to 31.03.2007.

Sr No	Name of the court	Name of the Distt. Attorney.	No. of cases pending at the beginning of month	Institute d during month	Convict ed during month	Acquitte d during month	Dischar ged during month	Compr omised during month	Transfe rred during the month	Proceedi ngs dropped	withdra wn	PO	Oth ers.	Total dispo sal	Cases pendin g at the close of month	Rate of convi- ction
1	2	3	4	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.	13	14.	15.	16.	17.
1.	Bilaspur	AK Patterwal	4034	1099	54	461	0	61	114	20	33	0	0	743	4390	11%
2.	Chamba	RD Sharma	2612	675	105	454	0	76	75	41	2	2	0	755	2532	19%
3.	Hamirpur	Vinod K. Chaudhary	2041	791	138	622	2	104	80	29	14	0	0	989	1843	
4.	Kangra	NK Sharma	9146	4004	330	1779	6	243	1980	126	28	0	15	4507	8643	
5.	Kinnaur	Vacant	443	195	19	61	0	31	7	5	13	19	0	155	483	24%
6.	Kullu	BS Pathania	1473	852	264	460	0	68	157	24	47	2	1	1023	1302	36%
7.	Lahul &Spiti	Ajit Thakur DDA	128	93	3	13	0	81	2	1	2	0	0	102	119	19%
8.	Mandi	RP Raina	5336	2185	278	949	3	143	393	18	34	10	0	1828	5693	23%
9.	Shimla	Roop Lal Saini.	3227	2529	249	891	14	116	894	19	38	30	0	2251	3505	22%
10	Sirmour	Rajender Sharma.	1420	939	69	379	1	25	139	5	3	0	0	621	1738	15%
11	Solan	M.R. Sharma.	3750	1949	446	640	4	265	571	9	53	46	0	2034	3665	41%
12	Una	Raghubir Singh	4411	1262	221	678	9	60	110	48	22	7	0	1155	4518	25%
	TOTAL		38021	16573	2176	7387	39	1273	4522	345	289	116	16	16163	38431	23%

