



**ANNUAL GENERAL  
ADMINISTRATION REPORT**  
*AS PER THE PROVISIONS OF SECTION 4(1)(b) OF THE  
RIGHT TO INFORMATION ACT, 2005*

2007-2008

**GOVERNMENT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH  
DEPARTMENT OF HOME  
DIRECTORATE OF PROSECUTION  
HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA**

Website: [www.himachal.nic.in/home/prosecution](http://www.himachal.nic.in/home/prosecution)  
email: [prosecution-hp@mail.nic.in](mailto:prosecution-hp@mail.nic.in)

Block No. 22, SDA Complex, Kasumpti,  
Shimla 171 009 (HP)

## FOREWORD

The Constitution of India has granted the fundamental right of liberty to its people and said right can not be curtailed or interfered with except by rule of law. No one can be punished without giving him an opportunity in accordance with rule of law and in this direction; procedure to conduct prosecution has been provided under the Criminal Procedure Code in order to achieve the aims and objects of law. Regular cadre of Prosecution agency has been established in the State of Himachal Pradesh in the year 1974 on coming into force of the amended Criminal Procedure Code, 1973. Role of this agency is to assist the Administration of Justice and Criminal Justice System.

The laws, rules and procedures are, in the nature of things, general, and it is it difficult to make law, provision for all conceivable eventualities. Fluidity of norms and lack of precision makes the lot of even the most diligent and conscientious public servant difficult. The public servant must be flexible so as to be effective. However, flexibility turns out to be a double edged weapon, and has often served to evade accountability. Strict adherence to the letter of the law on the other hand invites understandable criticism of rigidity, unresponsiveness, or at the very least, of too impersonal an approach.

In these circumstances, limits and safeguards have to be provided enabling service delivery with sufficient creativity but without too much scope for misjudgments, doubts and suspicion. What is required perhaps is the framing of procedures with a far greater attention to detail, seeing that laws and rules are often also interpreted and implemented by public servants who may not be attuned to the policy imperatives underlying the procedures.

Of course, the accountability of the supervisory and senior levels of the administration is the guarantor of the limits and safeguards. The mere prescription of procedures, however, does not mean that they will be followed. It is their proper enforcement and it is the ability of the system to change, modify or add to the procedures to keep up with the passage of time that will ensure flexibility within reasonable limits and safeguards.

In this context, the job of the Public Prosecutor is not always an enviable one. Presenting the results of investigation with all its complexities requires skill and some flair. To be able to convince the court requires not only that the investigation should have been fair, but also that the presentation should be compelling, and that the test of 'guilt beyond reasonable doubt' should be met in the process. Though it is not an easy test to pass, failure to do so is often followed by doubts and suspicions.

The prescription of procedures and the setting down of accountability and its enforcement has to address this central issue and put in such checks and balances that minimize the scope for doubts regarding the diligence and fairness of the Prosecutor. In order to improve the working of the prosecution and to make them more accountable in the day-to-day working this Department has circulated the Prosecution Manual.

It is expected that in case the similar effort is made by the Police to bring out Investigation manual will complete the process of imparting transparency into the system and restore and reinforce the confidence of the public in the essential fairness of the system of administration of criminal justice in this State.

**(Dr. P.C. Kapoor)**  
**Principal Secretary (Home) to the**  
**Government of Himachal Pradesh**

**August, 2008.**

-----

## **PREFACE**

As a result of recent initiatives taken by the Supreme Court of India and Government of India, attention is at last being paid to the system of administration of criminal justice which has to a large extent got subordinated to the development imperatives inherent in a democratic set-up. It is now being realized that proper administration of Criminal Justice is a key ingredient in ensuring that the fruits of democracy reaches to all citizens. As a result, there has been a paradigm shift in planning and budgeting priorities; expenditures on the system of Administration of Justice are no longer seen as being at the cost of development; instead it is being seen as a worthwhile investment to ensure optimum utilization of funds earmarked for development.

It is, however, also a fact that this paradigm shift has so far focused mainly on the Courts and the Policing system, rather ignoring the role of the Prosecutor as an intermediary. The Prosecutor, on the one hand is tasked with presenting fairly and comprehensively the results of the police and investigative effort, and on the other hand, as an officer of the Court, is responsible to help prevent miscarriage of justice. Despite its crucial role in the system, so far the prosecution system is yet to get adequate attention in modernizing itself. Partly it is a result of lack of awareness, but mainly it is because the element of 'soft skill' or knowledge based inputs is very high and planning and budgeting in such matters is difficult unless there are adequate guidelines and monitoring mechanisms to ensure that financial investments are yielding the desired returns. This Manual is intended to fill this gap, in the expectation that planners will be able to adequately fund this sub-sector of the system of Administration of Justice with adequate confidence.

Recently, the Department has brought out its Prosecution Manual, which is coinciding with major legislative reforms in criminal justice. The Criminal Procedure Code (Amendment) Act, 2005 has brought about several changes, including a statutory Directorate of Prosecution and the Criminal Procedure Code (Amendment) Bill, 2006 promises more major reforms, based mainly on the Malimath Committee on reforms of the criminal justice system.

The Department is attending the various meetings with the Police Department, Law Department, and Forensic Science and taking the number of valuable comments and suggestions of all other departments into account for the improvement of the working of the Prosecution Department. The participation of the Police Department is particularly significant in the context of ongoing policing reforms and the recent enactment of the HP Police Act, 2007. The legislation, which is largely based on Govt. of India's Model Act professionalizes investigation. The improvement in the standard of investigation and coordination between the police and prosecution will improve the rate of success in the trial courts and will provide substantial justice to the poor victims and downtrodden people.

All suggestions for improvement are most welcome and may be addressed either to Director of Prosecution or Principal Secretary (Home), Govt. of Himachal Pradesh. All out efforts will be made in due course to implement the valuable suggestions.

**(H.S. Rana)**  
**Director of Prosecution**  
**Himachal Pradesh**

**August, 2008.**

## CONTENTS

Chapter	Particulars	Page Nos.
I	Particulars of organization of Prosecution Department, Himachal Pradesh, functions & duties.	1 to 4
II	Powers and duties of officers and officials of Prosecution Department.	5-9
III	Procedure followed in decision making process, including channels of supervision and accountability.	10 to 11
IV	Norms set for discharge of functions.	12 to 13
V	Rules, regulations, instructions, manuals and records held by Department.	14 to 17
VI	Statement of categories of documents held by offices.	18
VII	Particulars of arrangement for consultation with members of public in relation to formulation of policy etc.	18
VIII	State of boards, councils, committees and other bodies etc.	18
IX	Directory of officers and officials.	19 to 23
X	Monthly remuneration received by each of officer and officials	24
XI	Budget allocated to each of its agency, indicating particulars of all plans etc.	25 to 27
XII	Manner of execution of subsidy programmes, including the amounts allocated and the details etc.	28
XIII	Particulars of recipients of concessions, permits or authorizations	28
XIV	Details in respect of information available in electronic form.	28
XV	Particulars of facilities available to citizens for obtaining information including the working hours of library or reading room etc.	28
XVI	Names, designations and other particulars of the Public Information officers.	29 to 31
XVII	Any other information	32 to 39
XVIII	Details regarding criminal cases <b>(Annexure A-1)</b>	40 to 47
XIX	Details regarding criminal appeals/revisions <b>(Annexure A-2)</b>	48 to 50
XX	Details regarding civil cases <b>(Annexure A-3)</b>	51 to 55
XXI	Details of cases under section 107/109/110/145 CrPC <b>(Annexure A-4)</b>	56 to 58
XXII	Budget Allocation <b>(Annexure A-5)</b>	59 to 62

## **Chapter I**

### **THE PARTICULARS OF ITS ORGANIZATION, FUNCTIONS AND DUTIES:**

#### **1. Historical Background:**

Before the enactment of Criminal Procedure Code, 1974, Prosecution Agency of the State was part of Police organization in Himachal Pradesh. At that time prosecution in the State was being conducted by Police Prosecutors who were posted as Prosecuting Sub Inspector/ Prosecuting Inspectors/ Prosecuting Deputy Superintendent of Police. They were conducting prosecution in cases of the State. The Police Prosecutors were under the administrative control of the Superintendent of Police at District Level but over-all control rested with the Inspector General of Police of the State.


After coming into force the new Criminal Procedure Code, 1973, the provisions for the appointment of Assistant Public Prosecutors and Public Prosecutors were made under Section 24 & 25 of Cr.P.C., 1973. Regular cadre of prosecutors came into existence in the year, 1974 as the earlier cadre of Police Prosecutors was abolished keeping in view of the provisions contained U/s 25(2) Cr.P.C. wherein it is provided that no Police Officer shall be eligible to be appointed as Assistant Public Prosecutor. The intention of the legislation while separating the prosecution department from the Police Department clearly indicates that the Prosecution Department of the State shall function independently without any undue pressure or favour from any corner including the Police Department.

#### **Directorate of Prosecution**


As the Directorate of Prosecution came into existence in the year 1974 and the charge of the post of Director of Prosecution was initially given to Secretary (Law)-cum-Legal Remembrancer who used to supervise the working of Prosecution Department and was also having the Administrative control through out the State. The Administrative control was transferred from Law Department to the Home Department in the year, 1996 and the post of the Director of Prosecution was manned by Secretary (Law) to the Home Department when the post of Director of Prosecution had been filled up by I.A.S. officers and thereafter for the first time, Director of Prosecution was appointed from the cadre of prosecution department in the year 1999. Presently Director of Prosecution has been appointed by the State Govt. from the cadre of prosecution with the concurrence of Hon'ble Chief Justice of Himachal Pradesh High Court from amongst the Joint Directors of the Prosecution Department .The above appointment was made in consonance with the provisions of section 25-A of Code of Criminal Procedure.

At present, the Directorate of Prosecution has the cadre strength of 168 Prosecutors which consists of 1 post of Director of Prosecution, 3 posts of Joint Directors, 15 posts of District Attorneys, 33 posts of Deputy District Attorneys and 88 posts of Assistant District Attorneys. Apart from it, there are 4 Joint Directors, 9 District Attorneys, 10 Deputy District Attorneys and 5 Assistant District Attorneys working in other Departments. The detail of which is mentioned at page No. 25 & 26 of this report.

**ORGANIZATIONAL SET UP OF PROSECUTION DEPARTMENT**



Directorate of Prosecution provides Law Officers to the following Departments/Boards



## **2. Main Functions of The Prosecution Department**

### **1. Criminal Cases:**

Prosecution of all type of criminal cases in the court of Sessions Judge and Judicial Magistrate is to be conducted by the prosecutors.

### **2. Civil Cases:**

- i) Institution and defence of suits or proceedings filed by or against the Government in the court of Distt. Judge, subordinate courts, State Consumer Disputes Redressal Commission, District Consumer Disputes Redressal Forum and before Presiding Officer Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court, Motor Accident Claims Tribunal, Rent Controller etc.
- ii) Drafting/vetting of plaints/written statements/replies including notices under section 80 CPC on behalf of the State Government and Public servants.

### **3. Revenue cases:**

All kind of revenue cases before the Financial Commissioner/ Divisional Commissioner/ Settlement Collectors, District Collectors and all revenue cases at District Head quarter/ Sub Divisional level by Government Pleaders.

### **4. Legal opinion/ advice:**

- a) Opinion to Government departments in legal, and service matters.
- b) Planning, budgeting, human resource management and infrastructure, management of the Prosecution Department.
- c) Scrutiny of police Challans and legal opinion to investigating agency.
- d) Drafting/vetting/filing of applications in all type of cases including civil, criminal revenue matters, appeals and revisions etc.



## Chapter II

# POWERS AND DUTIES OF OFFICERS AND OFFICIALS:

### OFFICERS:

#### 1. Director of Prosecution:

The State Government has notified the powers and functions of the Director of Prosecution which are summarized as under:-

- a) Director of Prosecution heads Prosecution agency of the State and exercise the control over Prosecution Department in the matters relating to planning, budget, human resource management and infrastructure management and shall be the Head of the Prosecution Department, while exercising the financial powers under the Himachal Pradesh Financial Rules.
- b) Appointing and Disciplinary Authority under provisions of Central Civil Service (Classification, Control and Appeal) Rules, 1965 and Central Civil Service Conduct Rules, 1964 in respect of Class III and IV employees of Prosecution Department shall also rest with the Director Prosecution
- c) Director shall be the reviewing authority in the case of Class-I (Gazetted Officers) and accepting authority in the case of Class III employees posted in the Prosecution Department for the purpose of Annual Confidential Reports.
- d) The Director of Prosecution is also vested with the powers to,-
  - (i) Render necessary guidance advice and clarifications to all the prosecuting officers of the Department from time to time in relation to legal, financial and service matters in accordance with the orders and instructions issued by the Government.
  - (ii) Review working of all the Prosecutors/Government Pleaders at the Directorate level besides supervising the Prosecution and to keep liaison with the State Government and its Departments and to tender legal opinion on various matters.
  - (iii) Ensure compliance on the instructions, which are issued by the State government from time to time, from the prosecutors for effective conduct of government cases.
  - (iv) Advise Home Department on all matters relating to Prosecution of offences.
  - (v) Coordinate with Police Department to ensure adequate synergy between investigation and prosecution.
  - (vi) Conduct inspections of the subordinate offices of the Prosecution Department in the State and issue necessary guidelines to the officers and officials as deemed necessary.
  - (vii) Sanction earned leave of the Gazetted Officers of the Prosecution Department as per powers delegated and. contained in letter No. Home (Prose.)B (2)1/02 dt. 26.2.07.

#### 2. Joint Directors (Prosecution)

In the Prosecution Department, 3 posts of Joint Director of Prosecution are sanctioned out of which one post of Joint Director of Prosecution is in North Zone, Dharamshala. Two Joint Director of Prosecution are working in the Directorate of Prosecution, Hqrs to aid and assist the Director of

Prosecution in the day to day functioning of the Directorate and the Joint Director of Prosecution North Zone, Dharamshala is supervising the work done with regard to criminal, civil and revenue litigation of the State of H.P. of the District Kangra, Chamba, Hamirpur, Una and also conducting inspection of the same.

The Joint Directors (Prosecution) have been designated as Prosecutors vide Government notification dt. 28.8.1988 who are also required to conduct trial and appear in the courts with in the State of Himachal Pradesh in important cases as and when ordered by the State Government/Director of Prosecution.

### **3. District Attorneys:**

The District Attorney while functioning as Public Prosecutor and Government Pleader shall work as under:-

- a) The District Attorney shall be the in charge of the district prosecution agency and is responsible for ensuring conduct of State cases civil, revenue, criminal and other miscellaneous matters through-out the District including motor vehicle/labour/consumer matters.
- b) He shall tender opinion on legal matters at district level when called upon by the District Magistrate or directed by the Director or Joint Director (Prosecution).
- c) He shall facilitate scrutiny of challans in criminal cases by Law Officers/Prosecutors subject to general directions of the Director of Prosecution.
- d) He shall cause the preparation of grounds of appeal in civil/criminal matters etc. after examining all cases of acquittal/inadequate sentence passed at Sessions level or in other cases where appeal is to be filed in the High Court.
- e) He shall also perform any other duty assigned by the Director of Prosecution.
- f) He shall further communicate all the instructions issued by the Director of Prosecution/State Government to all the Law Officers posted under his control.
- g) The District Attorney is the controlling officer of all the Law Officers and the Ministerial staff of his District. The District Attorney is the competent authority for sanctioning earned leave up to one month to the ministerial staff and sanctions casual leave of Deputy District Attorneys and Assistant District Attorneys in the District. District Attorney is also the controlling officer of Deputy District Attorney seconded in Fast Track Court (FTC). The Deputy Commissioner and the Superintendent of Police respectively will be the Controlling Officers for the Law Officers posted in their offices.
- h) The District Attorney is also required to review and supervise the working of Deputy District Attorneys, Additional District Attorneys and Assistant District Attorneys.
- i) The District Attorney gives guidance to Law Officers in Deputy Commissioner and Superintendent of Police offices for making them effective Legal Advisors to the Deputy Commissioner and Superintendent of Police, except in the offices of Deputy Commissioners, Shimla, Mandi and Kangra at Dharamshala where District Attorneys have been appointed as Law Officers with the Deputy Commissioner's office. The Joint

Directors of Prosecution In- charge of the District concerned, give guidelines to the Law Officers posted in the offices of Deputy Commissioners at Shimla, Mandi and Dharamshala respectively.

- j) Quarterly meetings of all the Prosecutors posted in the District used to be called in the District headquarter by the District Attorney and date of such meeting is fixed in advance for the current year so that no case is fixed for hearing on those days. Presence of all prosecutors used to be ensured. Agenda of such meeting shall be finalized in advance. Endeavour is be made in the quarterly meetings to apprise the Prosecutors about new developments regarding important legal issues/judgments/laws etc. and serious prosecution deficiencies noticed recently and remedial action for the future etc. Record of such meeting is being maintained and communicated to the Director of Prosecution.

Apart from the District Attorneys in the Districts, there is one post of District Attorney (Forest) who conducts the Forest cases under the Indian Forest Act, Forest (Conservation) Act or Wild Life (Protection) Act before the Special Judge (Forest) Himachal Pradesh. There is one post of District Attorney (Revenue) who conducts the revenue cases in the courts of Financial Commissioner (Appeals), Divisional Commissioners and Settlement Commissioner. Cases in the State Consumer Disputes Redressal Commission, District Consumer Disputes Redressal Forum are also conducted by the District Attorney (Revenue).The State Government has created a post of District Attorney at Delhi to keep liaison with the Advocates-on-Record/Additional Advocate General in pending litigation by or against the State of Himachal Pradesh before the Hon'ble Supreme Court, Central Administrative Tribunal, National Human Rights Commission etc.

#### **4. Deputy District Attorneys:**

- a) The Government of Himachal Pradesh vide Notification No. LLR-B (1A)-2/89 dt. 18th February, 1994 has notified all the Deputy District Attorneys of Prosecution Department as Public Prosecutors for conducting prosecution in the State of Himachal Pradesh under the provisions of the Code of Criminal Procedure.
- b) The Deputy District Attorneys shall draft plaints/written statements/replies and affidavits in cases relating to their court and in matters assigned to them by the District Attorney.
- c) The Deputy District Attorneys will generally conduct civil, criminal, revenue and other miscellaneous work in the courts of Additional District & Sessions Judge. They will also look after the work which is assigned to them by the District Attorney and during the leave period of District Attorney, they will attend to court work of District & Sessions Judge and other administrative and miscellaneous work in addition to their own duties as may have been assigned by the District Attorney. They are also to examine decided cases relating to their court on the receipt of certified copies and submit proposals of appeals/revisions etc. to the District Attorney.
- d) The Deputy District Attorneys are also to conduct scrutiny of police challans in respect of their court and such other challans as may be assigned to them by the District Attorney.

As in the case of District Attorney, in cases of serious crime where the Law Officer of SP office has scrutinized the challan at an earlier stage, they will verify that the observations of the Law Officer on the scrutiny memo have been complied with by the Investigating Agency.

- e) They will also render legal opinion on different matters and submit their opinion to the District Attorney concerned whenever asked in a case.

#### **5. Assistant District Attorneys:**

Assistant District Attorneys at District Headquarters function as Assistant Public Prosecutors/ Government Pleaders and work under the overall control and supervision of the District Attorney in the district, and conduct cases in the courts of Chief Judicial Magistrates and other Judicial Magistrates as per work allocation or as per directions of the District Attorney from time to time. They also examine judgments of acquittals, discharge and cases of inadequate sentence and submit their opinion/reports to the District Attorney for taking further action. An Assistant District Attorney at District Headquarters may be asked by the District Attorney to conduct government cases in revenue/executive courts of Deputy Commissioner/Additional Deputy Commissioner/Additional District Magistrate and take further steps for appeals/revision in decided cases. They will, in respect of the criminal Court to which they are assigned, scrutinize the challans on the scrutiny memo format before the challan is put in the court. Scrutiny of the police challans relating to their court or as marked to them by the District Attorney before its submission to the concerned courts will also be carried out by them and they shall also look after the additional work, which is assigned by the District Attorney. They shall draft plaints/written statements/replies and affidavits relating to their court and matters assigned to them by the District Attorney. The Assistant District Attorney is the Officer in charge of the Prosecution Department at Sub-divisional level and while exercising the powers of Drawing and Disbursing Officer, he also looks after the establishment and accounts matters.

#### **6. Superintendent Grade-I (Gazetted Class I):**

There is 1 post of Superintendent Grade-I in the Directorate of Prosecution, Headquarters, who shall be responsible for overall supervision of the working of Ministerial Staff posted in the Directorate of Prosecution, Headquarters.

#### **MINISTERIAL STAFF (OFFICIALS):**

#### **7. Superintendent Grade-II:**

There is 1 post of Superintendent Grade-II in the Directorate of Prosecution, Headquarters, who deals with the establishment of Class-I Gazetted Officers of the Department.

#### **8. Personal Assistant:**

The Personal Assistant to the Director of Prosecution is required to assist the Director of Prosecution in his day-to-day working.

**9. Senior Assistants:**

There are 18 posts of Senior Assistants in the Department. At the Directorate level, the Senior Assistants work as Dealing Hand in various branches as Establishment/ Accounts/ Budget (Finance)/ Litigation/ vehicles. At the District level, the Senior Assistants are required to deal with the establishment/ budget/ correspondence/Service Books etc. of the concerned District.

**10. Junior Scale Stenographer/Steno-typists :**

There are 14 posts of Junior Scale Stenographers and 3 posts of Steno-typists in the Department. The District Attorneys in the Districts have been provided with Junior Scale Stenographers, who are required to take dictation from the officers and attend to the phone calls as well as personal management of said officers.

**11. Junior Assistant/Clerks:**

There are 93 posts of Junior Assistants and Clerks in the Department. The Junior Assistants/Clerks posted in Directorate, District and Sub-divisional level are assigned various duties like dealing and maintenance of office records including case files, Diary & Dispatch, preparation of bills, cash work, service records of the officials and miscellaneous registers pertaining to office working.

**12. Peons:**

There are 101 posts of various categories of Class IV employees including Peons, Chowkidars and Sweeper in the Department.

### Chapter III

## PROCEDURE FOLLOWED IN DECISION MAKING INCLUDING CHANNELS OF SUPERVISION AND ACCOUNTABILITY:

#### (A) **Decision making in Criminal Cases:**

This Department conducts the litigation work of the State both criminal and civil. In this process, the Prosecution Department plays pivotal role. If the case ends in conviction the ends of justice have been met with, but if a criminal case ends in acquittal, the Prosecutor in-charge of the case on the date of announcement of the judgment, applies for a copy of judgment, examines the case thoroughly, whether the case is fit for appeal or not. If the case is fit for appeal he submits the grounds of appeal to the District Attorney, who further examines the grounds/proposal for appeal and forwards them to the concerned District Magistrate. The District Magistrate further submits the proposal for filing an appeal to the Home Department where the decision, whether the appeal is to be preferred before the Hon'ble High court of Himachal Pradesh or not, is taken.

#### (B) **Decision making in Civil Matters:**

The Government Pleader, on the date of announcement of judgment/decree in a civil case/Land reference case, applies for a copy of judgment/decree. If the case has ended in favour of Department/State, the case is forwarded to the Department concerned for records. If the judgment/decree is against the Department/State, the Government Pleader prepares the grounds of appeal and submits the same along with his opinion to the Department concerned, who further examines the matter in consultation with the Law Department. As such this department is a part and parcel in the decision making process of the Government relating to taking decision in court matters regarding filing of appeal.

#### (C) **Decision Making in Administrative Matters:**

In the matters where a complaint/application etc. is made against some Officer/Official of the Department, the PUC (paper under consideration) is moved on file by a Dealing Hand of the Directorate. The file will be moved through Superintendent Grade-I to the Joint Director (Prosecution)-I. The Joint Director (Prosecution) shall further examine the matter and submits the file to the Director of Prosecution, Himachal Pradesh, who will decide whether the case is fit for further investigation or required to be filed.

#### **Supervision and Accountability:-**

The Directorate of Prosecution, Himachal Pradesh is under the administrative control of the Home Department of Himachal Pradesh and the Hon'ble Chief Minister of Himachal Pradesh is the Minister-in-Charge.

In the Department, working of Assistant District Attorneys/ Deputy District Attorneys is supervised by the District Attorney, concerned. further, the Joint Directors supervise and conduction inspection of the offices of District Attorneys/Assistant District Attorneys. The Director of Prosecution

supervises the working of Joint Director of Prosecutions/District Attorneys/Assistant District Attorneys and submits inspection reports to the Government.

Government of Himachal Pradesh has sanctioned six posts of various categories i.e. one District Attorney, one Deputy District Attorney, one Senior Assistant, one Stenographer and two Clerks for a Litigation Branch in the Directorate of Prosecution for the purpose of examination of orders of acquittal passed by various Courts with a view to pinpoint the deficiencies in investigation or prosecution as a result of which the case failed in the Court despite the Prosecutor scrutinizing the challan before it was filed in the Court. In this section the copies of judgment received from Home Department are examined, analyzed and deficiencies pinpointed and remedial action for the future suggested. This Branch functions under the supervision of Joint Director (Prosecution)

## Chapter IV

### NORMS SET BY IT FOR DISCHARGE OF ITS FUNCTIONS

Officers of the Directorate of Prosecution are required to discharge any of the following functions, depending on the nature of their posting:-

- a) Prosecution of criminal cases in the court of Sessions Judge/ Special Judge/Additional Sessions Judge including Fast Track Courts, and Judicial Magistrates.
- b) Institution and defence of suits or proceedings filed by or against the Government in the court of District Judge, Subordinate Courts, before the State Consumer Disputes Redressal Commission, Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court, Motor Accident Claims Tribunal, Rent Controller and before Executive Magistrates.
- c) Drafting/vetting of plaints/written statements/replies, including pleading/presentation on behalf of the Collectorate and such Government Departments where a Law Officer is provided from the Directorate.
- d) Conduct of revenue cases before the Financial Commissioner /Divisional Commissioners / Settlement Collectors/ Collectors.
- e) Legal Opinion in Government Departments where Law Officer is provided from the Directorate.
- f) Scrutiny of police Challans and legal opinion to investigating agency through Law Officers provided from the Directorate and also through the Prosecutors.
- g) Drafting/vetting/filing of civil, criminal and revenue appeals etc. in respect of Collectorates and in such departments where a Law Officer is provided.

#### **Norms:**

After a case is registered with the Police, same is investigated and challan under relevant sections is submitted to the APP for examination who examines the same satisfying himself that all mandatory provisions have been complied with and investigation has been done in a proper way, APP recommends it to be put up in the Court. In case of deficiency, same are pointed to be removed by the investigating agency.

After this, the case is entrusted to the APP/PP, who conducts the same before the Court till its final decision. After decision of the same, in case of acquittal or inadequate sentence, proposal for filing appeal is submitted to the District Attorney, who further submits the same to the District Magistrate for the approval of the competent authority to file an appeal/revision. Etc.

Assistant District Attorneys at District Hqrs and those manning sub-division offices are required to submit monthly diary of decided cases conducted by them before the courts to the District Attorney concerned on prescribed proforma on or before 5th day of each month. District Attorney is required to submit monthly diaries of civil, criminal, revenue, MACT, reference and miscellaneous cases decided by the different courts in the district on composite prescribed proforma to the Directorate of Prosecution on or before 10th of each month. Annual Administration report regarding all the cases conducted during the financial year are submitted by the District Attorneys, in charge of district prosecution agency, in the first week of April to the Director (Prosecution) for perusal and reconciliation. District Attorney inspects the offices of the Prosecutors under his control in the District and hold meetings as per instructions issued by the Directorate and efforts are made in such meetings to develop and enhance personality, legal skill, acumen and effective presentation in the Courts



by the Prosecutors. Each law officer is called to join, deliberate and air his independent legal opinion and not be a mere spectator. While holding meetings emphasis is to interact with the prosecutor and to apprise/guide them about the latest amendments/notifications/orders/laws/legal citations. The District Attorney submits inspection report and minutes of such meetings to the Director of Prosecution along-with remedial measures for strengthening the working of the Prosecution Department in the Districts with special measures required to be taken for avoiding such lapses/mistakes in the future. Joint Directors inspect each and every office of the prosecutor at District Hqrs and sub-divisional level and hold meetings, twice in a year. The register of such meetings is regularly maintained as per prescribed proforma and minutes communicated to the Directorate for perusal. The Joint Directors of Prosecution submit inspection report and minutes of such meetings to the Director of Prosecution along with remedial measures for strengthening the working enhancement of the performance and efficiency of each Prosecutor in their respective Districts. Personal Assistant attached to the Director of Prosecution on the receipt of e-mail regarding daily conducting of criminal cases from all the Prosecution offices in the State, submits the same before the Director of Prosecution on the same day for perusal and further action. Director of Prosecution holds inspection of the Prosecution Offices throughout the State of Himachal Pradesh once a year and special emphasis is laid on the detailed legal discussion regarding pending criminal cases of different nature with the Prosecutors concerned. The Director of Prosecution submits detailed inspection reports to the Principal Secretary (Home) to the Government of Himachal Pradesh. The Director of Prosecution while submitting report suggests remedial measures for improving the working of each prosecutor and their efficiency and immediate steps required to be taken for removing difficulties or lacunae being noticed by the Prosecutors in the various enactments, while conducting prosecution of cases.

**Chapter V**  
**RULES, REGULATIONS, INSTRUCTIONS, MANUALS AND RECORDS, HELD BY**  
**IT OR UNDER ITS CONTROL OR USED BY ITS EMPLOYEES FOR**  
**DISCHARGING ITS FUNCTIONS.**

**1. Cr.P.C SECTION 24:-**  
**Public Prosecutors.-**

(1) For every High Court, the Central Government or the State Government shall, after consultation with the High Court, appoint a Public Prosecutor and may also appoint one or more Additional Public Prosecutor for conducting in such court, any prosecution, appeal or other proceeding on behalf of the Central Government or State Government, as the case may be.

(2) The Central Government may appoint one or more Public Prosecutors for the purpose of conducting any case or class of cases in any district, or local area.

(3) For every district the State Government shall appoint a Public Prosecutor and may also appoint one or more Additional Public Prosecutors for the district:

Provided that the Public Prosecutor or Additional Public Prosecutor appointed for one district may be appointed also to be a Public Prosecutor or an Additional Public Prosecutor, as the case may be, for another district.

(4) The District Magistrate shall, in consultation with the Sessions Judge, prepare, a panel of names of persons, who are, in his opinion fit to be appointed as Public Prosecutor or Additional Public Prosecutors for the district.

(5) No person shall be appointed by the State Government as the Public Prosecutor or Additional Public Prosecutor for the district unless his name appears in the panel of names prepared by the District Magistrate under sub-section (4).

(6) Notwithstanding anything contained in sub-section (5), where in a State there exists a regular Cadre of Prosecuting Officers, the State Government shall appoint a Public Prosecutor or an Additional Public Prosecutor only from among the persons constituting, such Cadre:

Provided that where, in the opinion of the State Government, no suitable person is available in such Cadre for such appointment that Government may appoint a person as Public Prosecutor or Additional Public Prosecutor, as the case may be, from the panel of names prepared by, the District Magistrate under sub-section (4).

*(Explanation: -- For the purpose of this sub- section,-*

- (a) “regular Cadre of Prosecuting Officers” means a Cadre of Prosecuting Officers which includes therein the post of a Public Prosecutor, by whatever name called, and which provides for promotion of Assistant Public Prosecutors, by whatever name called, to that post;
- (b) “Prosecuting Officer” means a person, by whatever name called, and appointed to perform the functions of a Public Prosecutor, an Additional Public Prosecutor or an Assistant Public Prosecutor under this Code.)
- (7) A person shall be eligible to be appointed as a public Prosecutor under sub-section (1) or sub-section (2) or sub-section (3) or sub-section (6), only if he has been in practice as an advocate for not less than seven years.
- (8) The Central Government or the State Government may appoint, for the purposes of any case or class of cases, a person who has been in practice as an advocate for not less than ten years as a Special Public Prosecutor.
- (9) For the purposes of sub-section (7) and sub-section (8), the period during which a person has been in practice, is a pleader, or has rendered (whether before or after the commencement of this Code) service as a Public Prosecutor or as an Additional Public Prosecutor or Assistant Public Prosecutor or other Prosecuting Officer, by whatever name called, shall be deemed to be the period during which such person has been in practice as an advocate.]

**Section 25. Assistant Public Prosecutors.**

- (1) The State Government shall appoint in every district one or more Assistant public Prosecutors for conducting prosecutions in the courts of Magistrates.
- 1[(1A) The Central Government may appoint one or more Assistant Public Prosecutors for the purpose of conducting any case or class of cases in the courts of Magistrates]
- (2) Save as otherwise provided in sub-section (3), no police officer shall be eligible to be appointed as an Assistant Public Prosecutor.
- (3) Where no Assistant Public Prosecutor is available for the purposes of any particular case, the District Magistrate may appoint any other person to be the Assistant Public Prosecutor in charge of that case:

Provided that a police officer shall not be so appointed-

(a) If he has taken any part in the investigation into the offence with respect to which the accused is being prosecuted: or

(b) If he is below the rank of Inspector.

#### **SECTION "25- A".**

##### **Directorate of Prosecution –**

(1) The State Government may establish a Directorate of Prosecution consisting of a Director of Prosecution and as many Deputy Directors of Prosecution as it thinks fit.

(2) A person shall be eligible to be appointed as a Director of Prosecution or a Deputy Director of Prosecution, only if he has been in practice as an advocate for not less than ten years and such appointment shall be made with the concurrence of the Chief Justice of the High Court.

(3) The Head of the Directorate of Prosecution shall be the Director of Prosecution, who shall function under the administrative control of the Head of the Home Department in the State.

(4) Every Deputy Director of Prosecution shall be subordinate to the Director of Prosecution.

(5) Every Public Prosecutor, Additional Public Prosecutor and Special Public Prosecutor appointed by the State Government under sub-section (1), or as the case may be, sub section (8), of section 24 to conduct cases in the High Court shall be subordinate to the Director of Prosecution.

(6) Every Public Prosecutor, Additional Public Prosecutor and Special Public Prosecutor appointed by the State Government under sub-section (3), or as the case may be, sub section (8), of section 24 to conduct cases in District Courts and every Assistant Public Prosecutor appointed under sub-section (1) of section 25 shall be subordinate to the Deputy Director of Prosecution.

(7) The powers and functions of the Director of Prosecution and the Deputy Directors of Prosecution and the areas for which each of the Deputy Directors of Prosecution have been appointed shall be such as the State Government may, by notification, specify.

(8) The provisions of this section shall not apply to the Advocate General for the State while performing the functions of a Public Prosecutor."

#### **PROSECUTION MANUAL**

Recently in the year 2008 i.e. January, 2008, the Government of Himachal Pradesh has published its first Prosecution Manual, which will increase efficiency of prosecutors in the State and will guide and improve of the working of the Prosecution Department of Himachal Pradesh.

**(b) R&P Rules of following categories are available:**

<b>Category</b>	<b>Notification No. &amp; date</b>
Director of Prosecution	Home (Prose) B (2)13/99 dt. 22.5.2002 Home (Prose) B (2)13/99 dt. 22.5.2006
Joint Director of Prosecution	LLR-B (14)13/84 dt. 9.11.2000 LLR-B (14)13/84 dt. 20.10.2004
Dist. Attorney	LLR-B (1)1/89 dt. 30.9.1993 LLR-B (1)1/89 dt. 30.7.1997

	LLR-B (1)1/89 dt. 10.12.98 LLR-B (1)1/89dt. 20.10.2003
Dy. Dist. Attorney	LLR-B (1)-2/89 dt. 7.5.1997 LLR-B (1)-2/89 dt. 19.3.1999
Asstt. Dist. Attorney	Home (Prosecution) B (2)1/2003 dt. 5.8.2003 Home (Prosecution) B (2)1/2003 dt. 21.12.2004
Supdt. Grade I	LLR-A (3)-2/95 dt. 30.1.1997 LLR-A (3)-2/2002 dt. 30.6.2003
Supdt. Grade II	LLR-B (2)4/87 dt. 12.7.1990 LLR-B (2)4/87 dt. 2.7.1993 notified LLR-B (14)1/95 dt. 15.3.1997 Home (Prose) B (2)7/99 dt. 4.8.1999
Personal Asstt.	LLR-B (14)1/95 dt. 15.3.1997
Sr. Asstt.	LLR-B (1)2/84 dt. 26.7.1993 LLR-B (1)2/84 dt. 20.10.1994 Home (Prose) B (2)9/99 dt. 6.5.2003
Librarian	LLR-B (2)1/91 dt. 29.4.1993 LLR-B (2)1/91 dt. 20.10.1994 Home (Prose) B (2)3/99 dt. 30.5.2005
Jr. Scale Stenographer	LLR-B (14)4/84 dt. 7.4.1989 LLR-B (14)4/84 dt. 17.1.1992 LLR-B (14)4/84 dt. 27.1.1995 Home (Prose) B (2)11/99 dt. 4.10.1999
Steno typist	LLR-B (2)4/91 dt. 7.6.1993
Driver	LLR-B (2)3/91 dt. 24.10.1992 LLR-B (2)3/91 dt. 26.7.1993 Home (Prose) B (2)5/99 dt. 4.8.1999 Home (Prose) B (2)5/99 dt. 2.12.2005
Clerk	LLR-B (2)2/91 dt. 24.9.1993 LLR-B (2)2/91 dt. 4.12.1993 LLR-B (2)2/91 dt. 20.8.1994 Home (Prose) B (2)6/99 dt. 4.10.1999 Home (Prose) B (2)6/99 dt. 28.8.2000
Peon	LLR-B (1)4 /841 dt. 1.1.993 LLR-B (1)4 /841 dt. 10.7.1993 Home (Prose) B (14)8/97 dt. 7.6.2003
Chowkidar	LLR-B (1)4/84 dt. 10.7.1993 Home (prose) B (12)12/99 dt. 10.7.2003
Sweeper	Home (Prose) A (3)1/98 dt. 26.8.1999 Home (Prose) A (3)1/98 dt. 6.10.2005

There are no separate rules, regulations, instructions, manuals and records of this Department however it is governed by the CCS (CCA) conduct Rules as are applicable to other State Govt. employees. Moreover, the functions of the Prosecutors are governed by the provisions of Cr.P.C. in conduct of prosecution.

### Chapter VI

#### **A STATEMENT OF THE CATEGORIES OF DOCUMENTS THAT ARE HELD BY IT OR UNDER ITS CONTROL.**

The Police files in various criminal cases containing zimni orders/FIR/MLCs/Statements of PWs/DWs etc. pending trial. Beside it, the civil files containing Copy of the plaint/written statements/copies of statements of PWs/ DWs /judgments/ decree sheets/orders etc.

Other than the court files, there are other routine files like: Establishment files/Service Books of the employees/ accounts/ Budget/bills/ Store purchase bills/pay bill etc.

-----

### Chapter VII

#### **THE PARTICULARS OF ANY ARRANGEMENT THAT EXISTS FOR CONSULTATION WITH, OR REPRESENTATION BY, THE MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC IN RELATION TO THE FORMULATION OF ITS POLICY OR IMPLEMENTATION THEREOF.**

The Prosecution Department does not have any direct public dealing as it works under the provisions of Cr.P.C. and represents State before various courts in the State. As such, presently, there is no such arrangement for consultation with, or representation by, the members of the public in relation to the formulation of its policy or implementation thereof.

-----

### Chapter VIII

#### **A STATEMENT OF THE BOARDS, COUNCILS, COMMITTEES AND OTHER BODIES CONSISTING OF TWO OR MORE PERSONS CONSTITUTED AS ITS PART OR FOR THE PURPOSE OF ITS ADVICE, AND AS TO WHETHER MEETINGS OF THOSE BOARD, COUNCILS, COMMITTEES AND OTHER BODIES ARE OPEN TO THE PUBLIC, OR THE MINUTES OF SUCH MEETINGS ARE ACCESSIBLE FOR PUBLIC.**

As stated earlier this Department deals with the State cases criminal/civil/land reference/revenue/appeals in all such matters, before the Judicial courts, revenue courts and consumer courts through-out the State. Therefore, no necessity to constitute any boards, councils, committees and other bodies to seek advice.

-----

## Chapter IX A DIRECTORY OF ITS OFFICERS AND EMPLOYEES.

### TELEPHONE DIRECTORY PROSECUTION DEPARTMENT AS ON 23.5.2008

Sr. No.	Name of officer S/Sh.	Designation	Place of posting	Office	Fax	Mobile	Residence
1	2	3	4	5			6
1.	P.C.Kapoor, IAS	Pr. Secy (Home)	HP Sectt.	2620105			
2.	Kashmir Singh, IAS	Secretary(Home)	HP Sectt. E-24	2622132 2880719			
3.	RS Chauhan	Dy. Secy (Home)	HP Sectt.	2880551			
4.	Smt. Meera Mahajan	SO(Prosecution)	HP Sectt. E219	2880-564			-
<b>DIRECTORATE OF PROSECUTION SHIMLA SDA COMPLEX BLOCK NO. 22 171009</b>							
5.	H.S. Rana	Director of Prosecution	Shimla-9	2620147 2623871 2002147	2623882	94184 54479	2656264
6.	B.S. Thakur	Joint Director (Prosecution) I	Shimla-9	2621759		94180 81455	-
7.	R.P. Raina	Joint Dir(Prosecution)II	Shimla-9	2624590 2002882		94180 12952	-
8.	RL Bhardwaj	DA Revenue	Shimla-9	2623882		94181 58482	2621315
9.	Vacant	DA (Lit)	Shimla-9	2623882			
10.	Krishan Kumar	DDA, hqrs	Shimla-9	2623882			
11.	Giri Raj Singh	Dy.DA(Litigation)	Shimla-9	2623882		94180 76139	
12.	Anoop Sharma	ADA Hqrs	Shimla-9	2623882		94184 82115	2671154
13.	Lal Singh	ADA Hqrs(sect)	Shimla-2	2623382		94180 37529	
14.	Deep R Sharma	Supdt. Grade I	Shimla-9	2623882		98164 64299	2835542
15.	Rustam S Patial	PA to Director(P)	Shimla-9	2623871		94180 75667	
<b>DISTRICT SHIMLA 0177(95177)</b>							
16.	P.R. Patial	Distt. Attorney	Shimla-1	2657031	2653535 DC	94184 74425	2627449
17.	Ram Lal Thakur	Distt. Attorney(Forest)	Shimla-1	2652121		94180 85225	2832262
18.	Mohinder Chauhan	DA DC Office	Shimla			9418192495	
19.	Shamsher Singh	Dy. DA SP office		2656535		94184 57890	
20.	Ajmer Singh	Dy. DA (FTC)	Shimla	2800140		94181 60439	
21.	TC Kainthla	Dy.DA, Labour court	Shimla	2653071		94184 91956	
22.	Suneel Kuthiala	Dy.DA	Shimla	2880832		98160 86700	
23.	Dharamvir Singh Parmar	Dy.DA	Shimla	2657031		94184 94401	
24.	Ashwani Dhiman	Dy.DA	Shimla	do		94180 40405	

25.	Atma Ram	ADA	Do	do		98163 29825	
26.	Randeep Singh Parmar	ADA	Do	do		94184 93999	
27.	Ritu Malik	ADA	Do	do		94180 84006	
28.	Ms.Sheetal Bansal	ADA	Do	do		98161 78857	
29.	Parkash Thakur	ADA	Do	do		94181 04491	
30.	Manu Kalia	ADA	do	do		98172 78899	
31.	Rajeshwar Dutt	ADA	Do	do		94184 80061	
32.	Sudeep Singh	ADA	Do	do		94180 16674	
33.	Suresh Hetta	ADA	Rohru	951781-240134		94186 44243	
34.	Bhagwan Singh	ADA	Rohru	Do		98161 35798	
35.	Vacant	ADA	Chopal	951783-260588			
36.	HCS Negi	ADA	Jubbal	951781		94181 40565	
37.	SD Vasudeva	ADA	Theog	951783-238570		94180 21154	238285
<b>DISTRICT KINNAUR AT RAMPUR 951782</b>							
38.	S.S. Bhatti	DA	Rampur	233145	233002 DC	94180 13322	
39.	SS Pathania	Dy.DA	Rampur	do		94180 60022	
40.	Digvijay Singh	ADA	Kinnaur at R/Peo	951786-223702		94181 36967	
<b>DISRICT SOLAN 951792</b>							
41.	CB Acharya	DA	Solan	223829	220086 DC	94180 74522	226250
42.	Jagdish Kanwar	Dy.DA (FTC)	Solan	226314		94184 57611	
43.	Parkash Thakur	Dy.DA back office	Solan	223829		94180 22108	
44.	NL Sen	Dy.DA	Solan	do		94180 70712	
45.	Yashpal Singh	ADA	Solan	do		94184 92277	
46.	Rajinder Chauhan	ADA	Solan	do		94180 88852	
47.	Kapil Mohan Gautam	ADA	Kasauli	951792 272809		94184 56715	
48.	Shashi Kant	ADA	Do	-DO-		94180 43515	
49.	Reena Chauhan	ADA	Kandaghat	951792-256157		94180 22498	
50.	Kulbhushan Gautam	ADA	Arki	951796-220717		94180 57440	
51.	Sandeep Attri	ADA	Nalagarh	951795-223239		94181 68163	
52.	Sanjay Chauhan	ADA	SP Solan	223863		94180 36120	
<b>DISTRICT SIRMAUR AT NAHAN 951702</b>							
53.	Raghuvir Singh	DA	Nahan	222507	223175 DC	94181 79203	222506
54.	AK Nadda	Dy.da	Nahan	DO		94181 25101	
55.	Bhima N Shandil	ADA SP office	Nahan	225002		98160 79797	
56.	Vacant	ADA	Nahan	222507			



57.	Mohinder Kumar	ADA	Rajgarh	951799 221205		94180 57809	
58.	Umesh Mohan	ADA	Poanta	951704 222149		94184 64929	
59.	Hemant Singh	ADA	Poanta	DO		94180 24006	
<b>DISTRICT BILASPUR 951978</b>							
60.	SC Ranaut	DA	Bilaspur	222597	223065 DC	94186 75200	222637
61.	NC Ghai	Dy.DA SP office	Bilaspur	224500		94181 14770	
62.	Champa Devi	ADA	Bilaspur	222597		94184 71837	
63.	Joginder Singh	ADA	Bilaspur	DO		98164 92531	
64.	Ravinder Kumar	Dy.DA FTC	Ghumarwin	255251		94181 63636	
65.	Jitender Goswami	ADA	Ghumarwin	DO		94184 83837	
66.	Anil Kr. Awasthi	ADA	Ghumarwin	DO		98170 34880	
<b>DISTRICT MANDI 951905</b>							
67.	Vinod Chaudhary	DA	Mandi	223358	225213 DC	94184 60442	223330
68.	R.P. Kashav	DA (DC office)	Mandi			94181 31100	
69.	Jagdish Chandel	Dy.DA SV&ACB	Mandi				
70.	Vipul Sharma	Dy.DA	Mandi	223358		94182 79481	
71.	Monika Malhotra	Dy.DA ( PWD)	Mandi	223913		94180 55501	
72.	Yash Pal Negi	Dy.DA(FTC)	Mandi	223019		94180 70490	
73.	JK Lakhanpal	DY.DA SP Office	Mandi	222470		94180 12111	
74.	Udey Singh	ADA	Mandi	do		94181 89340	
75.	Kishan Singh	ADA	Mandi	do		94180 20719	
76.	Anuj Sharma	ADA	Mandi	do		94184 96388	
77.	Ajay Thakur	ADA	Mandi	do		01905 242509 94184 65533	
78.	vacant	ADA	Karsog	951907 221219			
79.	NS Chauhan	ADA	J/nagar	951908 222003		94181 38112	
80.	Sohan Singh	ADA	S/Nagar	951907 266413		94181 15333	
81.	Jagdish Kumar	ADA	S/Nagar	do		98161 52120	
82.	Vinod Bhardwaj	ADA	Sarkaghat	951905 230007		94180 94369	
83.	Sangeeta Justa	ADA	Gohar	951907 250912		98160 68619	
<b>DISTRICT HAMIRPUR 951972</b>							
84.	Kashmir Singh Verma	Dy.DA	Hamirpur	222484		94180 59555	
85.	Jagdeep S Rana	Dy.DA FTC	Hamirpur	223810		94184 63011	
86.	GC Rana	Dy.DA SP office	Hamirpur	224358		94186 35509	
87.	DS Parmar	ADA	Hamirpur	222484		9816115611	
88.	Eklabya	ADA	Hamirpur	do		98173 74759	

89.	Geetranjan Bhardwaj	ADA	Hamirpur	do		94180 28282	
90.	Sudhir Sharma	ADA	Hamirpur	do		94183 06255	
91.	Sharad Lagwal	A.DA	Barsar	951972 289564		94180 35457	
92.	Deepak Aggarwal	ADA	Nadaun	951972 233845		94184 79925	
<b>DISTRICT UNA 951975</b>							
93.	Ravinder Chaudhary	Distt. Attorney	Una	223446	223781 DC	94184 57100	226150
94.	RC Bakshi	Dy.DA SP office	Una	225056		98170 80839	
95.	GS Rathore	Dy.DA	Una	223446		94182 14606	
96.	Mohinder Chauhan	Dy.DA FTC	Una	224305		94180 85084	
97.	SK Bharwal	ADA	Una	223446		94183 07129	
98.	Vinay Dhiman	ADA	Una	do		94180 39526	
99.	Sanjay Pandit	ADA	Una	do		94180 86259	
100.	Devender Kumar	ADA	Una	do		94184 51081	
101.	CS Bhatia	ADA	Amb	951976 260074		94180 68756	
102.	Prithvi Singh	ADA	Amb	do		94184 00065	
<b>DISTRICT KANGRA 951892</b>							
103.	A.S. Rana	Joint Director	D/sala	223103	223323 DC		223106
104.	B.S. Pathania	District Attorney	D/sala	222895		94180 45262	203700
105.	AK Pattarwal	Dist. Attorney SV&ACB	D/Sala	224623		94184 84374	267017
106.	R.L. Saini	DA DC Office	D/Sala	222103		94180 01331	
107.	KK Sharma	Dy .DA	D/sala	222895		98171 87224	
108.	Kuldeep Sen	Dy.DA	D/Sala	do		94180 83233	
109.	NS Verma	Dy.DA (FTC)	D/sala	223654		94180 45437	
110.	HS Dhiman	Dy.DA(Labour court)	D/sala	222895		94181 22395	
111.	BK Marwah	Dy.DA	D/sala	do		94181 57977	
112.	Sanjeev Katoch	Dy.DA SV&ACB	D/sala	224623		94181 21680	
113.	RS Sharma	Dy.DA SP office	D/sala	222911		94181 22395	
114.	R.K.Kaushal	Dy.DA( PWD)	D/sala	224948		94180 62405	
115.	Sandeep Kumar	ADA	D/Sala	222895		94180 39894	
116.	Navina Rahi	ADA	D/Sala	Do		94183 00827	
117.	Bhuvnesh Awasthi	ADA	Bajjnath	951894 263874		94180 16474	
118.	Luddar Mani	ADA	Dehra	951970 233152		94181 84724	
119.	Satpal Garg	ADA	Dehra	Do		94184 15600	
120.	Bisham J Badresh	ADA	Jawali	951893 262048		94181 22848	
121.	Ramdev Chaudhary	ADA	Kangra	951892 265191		94180 48219	

122.	Pankaj Dhiman	ADA	Kangra	Do		94183 07129	
123.	Ashok Dhiman	ADA	Nurpur	951893 220139		94180 83399	
124.	Rajesh Kumar	ADA	Nurpur	Do		94184 62456	
125.	Rahul Chopra	ADA	Palampur	951894 230478		94180 23155	
126.	Sanjeev Rana	ADA	Palampur	Do		94181 21124	
127.	Vijay Kumar	ADA	Indora	951893 241758		94182 15002	
<b>DISTRICT CHAMBA 951899</b>							
128.	RD Sharma	DA	Chamba	222469	225371 DC	94182 23307	222788
129.	Kulbir Chauhan	Dy. DA	Chamba	222469		94180 44063	
130.	Kapil Sharma	ADA	Chamba	do		94180 87300	
131.	Naveen Kumar	ADA	Chamba	do		98163 16522	
132.	Bhuvnesh	ADA	Dalhausie	951899 242347		94182 88818	
<b>DISTRICT KULLU 951902</b>							
133.	P.K. Hazri	DA	Kullu	222318	225396 DC	94184 82800	222353
134.	Mahesh Sen	Dy. DA (FTC)	Kullu	224757		94180 74002	
135.	NS Katoch	ADA SP Office	Kullu	224700		94182 85817	
136.	Ajit Thakur	Dy. DA	Kullu	222318		94184 82800	
137.	Navin Chander	ADA	L&S	951902 226722		94181 19753	
138.	Arvind Malhotra	ADA	Manali	951902 250200		94180 53297	
139.	Umesh Sharma	ADA	Anni	951904 253227		94181 82444	
<b>DELHI</b>							
140.	Anil Sood	DA	H. Bhawan N Delhi	01123356776	23321072 M 23715087 RC	94180 75158	

**Chapter X**  
**THE MONTHLY REMUNERATION RECEIVED BY EACH OF ITS**  
**OFFICERS AND EMPLOYEES INCLUDING THE SYSTEM OF**  
**COMPENSATION AS PROVIDED IN ITS REGULATIONS.**

<b>Sr. no.</b>	<b>Category of post</b>	<b>Pay scale + other allowances.</b>
<b>1.</b>	Director of Prosecution	<b>14300-18600</b>
<b>2.</b>	Joint Director Prosecution	<b>13500-16800</b>
<b>3.</b>	Dist. Attorneys	<b>10025-15100</b>
<b>4.</b>	Deputy Dist. Attorneys	<b>7880-11660</b>
<b>5.</b>	Asstt District Attorneys	<b>7000-10980</b>
<b>6.</b>	Superintendent Grade I	<b>7220-11660</b>
<b>7.</b>	Superintendent Grade II	<b>6400-10640</b>
<b>8.</b>	Personal Assistant	<b>6400-10640</b>
<b>9.</b>	Sr. Assistant	<b>5800-9200</b>
<b>10.</b>	Librarian	<b>5000-8100</b>
<b>11.</b>	Jr. S. Stenographer	<b>4400-7000</b>
<b>12.</b>	Steno Typist	<b>3330-6200</b>
<b>13.</b>	Drivers, Hqrs, Shimla	<b>3330-6200</b>
<b>14.</b>	Jr. Assistant	<b>4400-7000</b>
<b>15.</b>	Clerks	<b>3220-5160</b>
<b>16.</b>	Peons	<b>2620-4140</b>
<b>17.</b>	Chowkidar	<b>2620-4140</b>
<b>18.</b>	Sweeper	<b>2620-4140</b>

## Chapter XI

### THE BUDGET ALLOCATED TO EACH OF ITS AGENCY, INDICATING THE PARTICULARS OF ALL PLANS, PROPOSED EXPENDITURES AND REPORTS ON DISBURSEMENTS MADE.

ALLOCATION OF FUNDS UNDER DEMAND NO. 31 UNDER MAJOR HEAD 2014-  
ADMINISTRATION OF JUSTICE, 796-TRIBAL AREA SUB PLAN, 03-EXPENDITURE ON  
OTHER LAW OFFICERS (NON PLAN) FOR THE YEAR 2008-09.

Sr. No.	Name of DDO	SALARY	WAGES	TE	LIVERY	O.E.	MR	TTE
1.	District Attorney, Kinnaur at Rampur	10,54,000.00	22,000.00	14,000.00	0	20,000.00	10,000.00	3,000
2.	Assistant District Attorney, Kinnaur at Rekong Peo	5,00,000.00	22,000.00	3,000.00	5,000.00	47,000.00	2,000.00	0
3.	Assistant District Attorney, L&S at Kullu	5,00,000.00	0	3,000.00	5,000.00	5,000.00	2,000.00	0
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>22,54,000.00</b>	<b>44,000.00</b>	<b>20,000.00</b>	<b>10,000.00</b>	<b>72,000.00</b>	<b>14,000.00</b>	<b>3,000.00</b>

ALLOCATION OF FUNDS UNDER MAJOR HEAD 2014-ADMINISTRATION OF JUSTICE, 114-  
LEGAL ADVISORS AND COUNSELS, 02-OTHER LAW OFFICERS(NON PLAN) FOR THE  
YEAR 2008-09 (1<sup>ST</sup> & 2<sup>ND</sup> QUARTER @ 20% +25%=45%)

Sr. no.	Name of the office	SALARY	WAGE S	T.E.	LIVER Y	O.E.	PUBLI CATIO N	M.R.	R.R.T.	OTHE RS	PP & SS	M.V.	T.T.E.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	
1.	Head of Department	0	0	0	24750	100000	0	0	0	0	0	0	27000
2.	Directorate of Prosecution, Hqrs.	5174850	52500	23800	0	202250	4950	60400	72500	450	0	79050	0
3.	Distt. Attorney (Forest), Shimla.	800000	25000	1000	0	20000	0	10000	0	0	0	0	0
4.	Distt. Attorney, Bilaspur	2000000	100000	10000	0	40000	0	10000	5000	0	0	0	0
5.	Distt. Attorney, Chamba	1700000	75000	10000	0	40000	0	10000	0	0	0	0	0
6.	Distt. Attorney, Dharamshala	3000000	110000	20000	0	155000	0	30000	10000	0	0	0	0
7.	Distt. Attorney, Hamirpur	1800000	90000	12000	0	45000	0	10000	5000	0	0	0	0
8.	Distt. Attorney, Kullu	1500000	75000	10000	0	40000	0	10000	10000	0	0	0	0
9.	Distt. Attorney, Mandi	2500000	100000	18000	0	120000	0	25000	15000	0	0	0	0
10.	Distt. Attorney, Nahan	1500000	35000	10000	0	30000	0	10000	0	0	0	0	0
11.	Distt. Attorney, Rampur/Ani	500000	25000	5000	0	25000	0	10000	0	0	0	0	0
12.	Distt. Attorney, Shimla	2500000	85000	18000	0	120000	0	35000	10000	0	0	0	0
13.	Distt. Attorney, Solan.	1800000	75000	15000	0	60000	0	25000	40000	0	0	0	0
14.	Distt. Attorney, Una	1800000	75000	10000	0	40000	0	15000	35000	0	0	0	0
15.	Distt. Attorney, HP Legal Cell	800000	0	10000	0	45000	0	15000	0	0	686700	24000	0
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>27374850</b>	<b>922500</b>	<b>172800</b>	<b>24750</b>	<b>1082250</b>	<b>4950</b>	<b>275400</b>	<b>202500</b>	<b>450</b>	<b>686700</b>	<b>103050</b>	<b>27000</b>

DETAILS OF BUDGET SANCTIONED UNDER DEMAND NO. 3 & 31 FOR THE YEAR 2007-08.  
BUDGETARY PROVISIONS

**DEMAND NO. 3.**

STATEMENT SHOWING THE SANCTIONED BUDGET AND ACTUAL EXPENDITURE (EXCESS & SURRENDER) UNDER MAJOR HEAD 2014-ADMINISTRATION OF JUSTICE, 114-LEGAL ADVISORS AND COUNSELS, 02-OTHER LAW OFFICERS (NON PLAN) FOR THE YEAR 2007-08 PERTAINING TO THE PROSECUTION DEPARTMENT, HIMACHAL PRADESH.

Sr. No.	SOE	Sanctioned budget	Supplementary /additionally	Total	Actual expenditure Up-to 31.03.08	Excess (+)	Surrender (-)
1	2	3	4	5	6		
1	SALARY	43995000	5000000	48995000	53763017	4768017	0
2	WAGES	752000	100000	852000	774441	0	77559
3	TE	299000	200000	499000	531352	32352	0
4	LIVERY	25000	200000	225000	225000	0	0
5	OE	1816000	500000	2316000	2463295	147295	0
6	MR	502000	1445000	1947000	1606481	0	340519
7	RRT	127000	0	127000	128120	1120	0
8	PUBLICATION	1000	50000	51000	16485	0	34515
9	PP & SS	1526000	3500000	5026000	2078097	0	2947903
10	OTHER Charges	1000	0	1000	0	0	1000
11	MV	218000	150000	368000	301951	0	66049
12	TTE	40000	150000	190000	102805	0	87195
	<b>Total</b>	<b>49302000</b>	<b>11295000</b>	<b>60597000</b>	<b>61991044</b>	<b>4948784</b>	<b>3554740</b>

**DEMAND NO. 3.**

STATEMENT SHOWING THE SANCTIONED BUDGET AND ACTUAL EXPENDITURE UNDER MAJOR HEAD 2014-ADMINISTRATION OF JUSTICE, 114-LEGAL ADVISORS AND COUNSELS, 02-OTHER LAW OFFICERS (PLAN) FOR THE YEAR 2007-08 PERTAINING TO THE PROSECUTION DEPARTMENT, HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA.

Sr. No.	SOE	Sanctioned budget	Supplementary /additionally	Total	Actual expenditure	Excess (+)	Surrender (-)
1	2		4	5	6		
1	SALARY	2800000	-	2600000	2823953	23953	0

2	WAGES	1200000	-	1200000	834280	0	365720
3	TE	50000	-	50000	40312	0	9688
4	LIVERY	30000	-	30000	15000	0	15000
5	OE	800000	-	800000	828251	28251	0
6	MR	80000	-	80000	29676	0	50324
7	R.R.T.	250000	-	250000	44028	0	205972
8	Publica tion	10000	-	10000	0	0	10000
9	TTE	20000	-	20000	13324	0	6676
	<b>Total</b>	<b>5240000</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>5040000</b>	<b>4628824</b>	<b>52204</b>	<b>663380</b>

**DEMAND NO. 31**

**STATEMENT SHOWING THE SANCTIONED BUDGET AND ACTUAL EXPENDITURE (EXCESS & SURRENDER) UNDER MAJOR HEAD 2014-ADMINISTRATION OF JUSTICE,(796)-TRIBAL AREA SUB PLAN-03- EXPENDITURE ON OTHER LAW OFFICERS (Non Plan) FOR T HE YEAR 2007-08 PERTAINING TO THE PROSECUTION DEPARTMENT, HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA.**

Sr. No.	SOE	Sanctioned budget	Additionally	Total	Expen diture	Excess (+)	Surren der (-)
1.	2.	3	4	5			
1.	SALARY	1734000	0	1734000	1849539	115539	0
2.	Wages	33000	18000	51000	70666	19666	0
3.	TE	18000	30000	48000	36103	0	11897
4.	Livery	10000	0	10000	10000	0	0
5.	OE	69000	100000	169000	168487	0	513
6.	MR	12000	30000	42000	25540	0	16460
7.	TTE	3000	7000	10000	12366	2366	0
	<b>Total:-</b>	<b>1879000</b>	<b>185000</b>	<b>2064000</b>	<b>2172701</b>	<b>137571</b>	<b>28870</b>

## Chapter XII

### **THE MANNER OF EXECUTION OF SUBSIDY PROGRAMMES, INCLUDING THE AMOUNTS ALLOCATED AND THE DETAILS OF BENEFICIARIES OF SUCH PROGRAMMES.**

No such subsidy programme is undertaken by this Department.

## Chapter XIII

### **PARTICULARS OF RECIPIENTS OF CONCESSIONS, PERMITS OR AUTHORISATIONS GRANTED BY IT.**

Nil.

## Chapter XIV

### **DETAILS IN RESPECT OF THE INFORMATION, AVAILABLE TO OR HELD BY IT, REDUCED IN ANY ELECTRONIC FORM.**

- a) Annual Administrative Report.
- b) Yearly Budget.

## Chapter XV

### **THE PARTICULARS OF FACILITIES AVAILABLE TO CITIZENS FOR OBTAINING INFORMATION INCLUDING THE WORKING HOURS OF LIBRARY OR READING ROOM, IF MAINTAINED FOR PUBLIC USE.**

The information is available on the official website of Home Department, Govt. of Himachal Pradesh for obtaining information under the Act.



## Chapter XV

### THE NAMES, DESIGNATIONS AND OTHER PARTICULARS OF THE PUBLIC INFORMATION OFFICERS.

NAME, DESIGNATION AND LIST OF  
THE **PUBLIC INFORMATION OFFICERS** OF PROSECUTION DEPARTMENT, HP  
As on 19.5.2008

Sr. No.	Name of the officer & designation	DISTRICT/ DIVISION	SUB	Tel. Office
1.	Shri H.S. Rana, Director of Prosecution	Appellate Authority in respect of the of Public Information Officers of the Prosecution Department, HP		0177 2623871 0177 2620147
2.	Shri R.P. Raina, Joint Director of Prosecution-II	PIO Directorate of Prosecution, Shimla-9.	Hqrs,	2624590 94180 12952
3.	Sh .Deep Ram Sharma, Supdt. Grade I	APIO Directorate of Prosecution, Shimla-9.	Hqrs,	2623882 9816464299
<b>PUBLIC INFORMATION OFFICERS AT DISTRICT LEVEL</b>				
4.	Sh.Sansar Chand.Ranot, Dist. Attorney	Bilaspur		951978 222597 94186 75200
5.	Sh. Vinod Chaudhary, District Attorney	Mandi		951905 223358 94184 60442
6.	Sh. Raghuvir. Singh, Dist. Attorney	Nahan		951702 222507 94181 79203
7.	Sh Ravinder, Kumar. Dist. Attorney	Una		951975 223446 94184 57100
8.	Sh.RD Sharma, Dist. Attorney	Chamba		951899 222469 94182 23307
9.	Sh.B, S. Pathania. Dist. Attorney	Dharamshala		951892 223103 94180 45262
10.	Sh. C.B.Acharya, Dist. Attorney	Solan		951792 223829 94180 74522
11.	Sh. P.R. Patial, District Attorney.	Shimla		95177 2657031 94184 74425
12.	Sh.P.K.Hazari, Dist. Attorney	Kullu		951902 222318 94184 82800
13.	Sh. K.S. Verma, Deputy District Attorney	Hamirpur		951972 222484 94180 51555
14.	Sh. S.S.Bhatti, District Attorney	Kinnaur at Rampur		951782 233145 94180 13322
15.	Sh. Anil Sood, DA, HP LC New Delhi	District Attorney, Office, Himachal Bhawan, New Delhi		011 23356776 094180 75158
<b>ASSISTANT PUBLIC INFORMATION OFFICERS AT SUB DIVISIONAL/DISTRICT LEVEL</b>				

<b>IN HP</b>			
16.	Sh. Naveen Chander, ADA	Lahul & Spiti	951902 226722 94181 19753
17.	Sh. R.S. Parmar, ADA	Shimla	0177 2657031 94184 93999
18.	Sh. Suresh Hetta, ADA	Rohru	951781 240134 94186 44243
19.	Sh. Anoop Sharma, ADA	Theog	951783 238570 94184 82115
20.	Sh. HCS Negi, ADA	Jubbal	94181 40565
21.	DA Shimla till joining of ADA	Chopal	951783 260588
22.	Sh. S.S. Pathania, Dy.DA	Kinnaur at Rampur	01782 233145 94180 60022
23.	Sh. Digvijay Singh, ADA	Reckong Peo	951786 223702 94181 36967
24.	Sh. Yashpal Singh, ADA	Solan	01792 223829 94184 92277
25.	Sh. Kapil Sharma, ADA	Kasauli	951792 272809 9418013805
26.	Sh. Reena Chauhan, ADA	Kandaghat	951792 256157 94180 22498
27.	Sh. Sangeeta Justa ADA	Arki	951796 220717 9816068619
28.	Sh. Sandeep Attri, ADA	Nalagarh	951795 223239 94181 68163
29.	Sh. Kapil Gautam, ADA	Nahan	01702 222507 94184 56715
30.	Sh. M.K. Sharma, ADA	Rajgarh	951799 221205 94180 57809
31.	Sh. Umesh Mohan, ADA	Paonta	951704 222149 94184 64929
32.	Ms. Champa Devi, ADA	Bilaspur	01978 222597 94184 72837
33.	Sh. Ravinder Kumar, DDA.	Ghumarwin	951978 255251 94181 63636
34.	Sh. Udey Singh, ADA	Mandi	01905 223358 94181 89340
35.	Smt. Sohan Singh, ADA	Sundernagar	951907 266413 94181 15333
36.	DA Mandi till joining of ADA	Karsog	951907 221219
37.	Sh. NS Chauhan, ADA	Joginder Nagar	951908 222003 94181 38112
38.	Sh. Vinod Bhardwaj, ADA	Sarkaghat	951905 230007 94180 94369
39.	Sh. Kulbhushan Gautam, ADA	Gohar	951907 250912 94180 57440
40.	Sh. DS Parmar, ADA	Hamirpur	01972 222484 98161 15611
41.	Sh. Sharad Lagwal, ADA	Barsar	951972 289564 94180 35457
42.	Sh. Deepak Aggarwal, ADA	Nadaun	951972 232626 94184 79925
43.	Sh. SK Bharwal, ADA	Una	01975 223446 94183 07129
44.	Sh. C.S. Bhatia, ADA	Amb	951976 260074 94180 68756

45.	Sh. Sandeep Kumar, ADA	Kangra at Dharamshala	01892 222895 94180 39894
46.	Sh. Bhuvnesh Awasthi, ADA	Baijnath	951894 263874 94180 16474
47.	Sh. Satpal Garg, ADA	Dehra	951970 223152 94184 15600
48.	Sh. Bhishm Badresh Jawal, ADA	Jawali	951893 262048 94181 22848
49.	Sh. Sanjeev Rana, ADA	Palampur	951893 220139 94181 21124
50.	Sh. Ashok Kumar ADA,	Nurpur	951894 230478 94180 83399
51.	Sh. R.D. Chaudhary, ADA	Kangra	951892 265191 94180 48219
52.	Sh. Vijay Kumar, ADA	Indora	951893 241752 94182 15002
53.	Sh. Kapil Sharma, ADA	Chamba	01899 222469 94180 87300
54.	Sh. Bhuvnesh, ADA	Dalhausie	951899 242347 94182 88818
55.	Sh. Navin Chander, ADA	Kullu	0171902 226722 94181 19758
56.	Sh. Arvind Malhotra, ADA	Manali	951902 250200 94180 53297
57.	Sh. Umesh Sharma, ADA.	Anni	951904 253227 94181 82444

## Chapter XVII

### OTHER INFORMATION

(i) **DETAIL OF SANCTIONED STRENGTH OF DIRECTORATE OF PROSECUTION**

Demand No.3 (Non-Plan)					
Sr. No.	Post	Sanctioned post	Filled up	Vacant	Remarks.
1.	2.		4	5	6.
1	Director (Prosecution)	1	1	0	
2	Joint Director of Prosecution	3	3	0	
3	District. Attorneys	14	12	2	
4	Deputy Distt. Attorneys	23	20	3	
5	Asstt. District Attorneys	86	71	15	
6	Superintendent, Grade-I	1	1	0	
7	Superintendent, Grade-II	1	1	0	
8	Personal Assistant	1	1	0	
9	Senior Assistants	17	16	1	
10	Librarian	1	0	1	
11	Junior Scale Stenographer	13	9	4	
12	Steno Typist	3	0	3	
13	Clerks	81	67	14	
14	Drivers	3	3	0	
15	Peons	74	69	5	
16	Chowkidars	3	3	0	
17	Sweeper	1	1	0	
	<b>Total</b>	<b>326</b>	<b>278</b>	<b>48</b>	

Demand No.3 (Plan)					
1	Deputy District Attorney	9	8	1	
2	Clerks	9	7	2	
3	Peons	9	8	1	
4	<b>Total</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>4</b>	

Demand No.31 (NON PLAN)					
1	Distt. Attorneys	1	1	-	
2	Deputy Distt. Attorneys	1	1	-	
3	Asstt Distt. Attorneys	2	1	1	
4	Senior Assistants	1	1	-	
5	Junior Scale Stenographer	1	1	-	
6	Clerks	3	2	1	
7	Peons	3	3	-	
8	Chowidar	1	1	-	
	<b>Total</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>2</b>	

**Detail of sanctioned strength of daily wages staff/Part time worker (Demand No. 3)**

1	Peon (Daily wage)	4	2	2	
2	Sweeper (Part time)	6	6	-	
3	Chowkidar (Daily Wage)	1	1	-	
	<b>Total</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>2</b>	

**Total 326+27+13+11 = 377**

**LAW OFFICER OF PROSECUTION DEPARTMENT WHO ARE WORKING IN OTHER DEPARTMENT**

**(A) Officers on secondment basis**

<b>1. STATE VIGILANCE &amp; ANTICORRUPTION BUREAU, H.P. SHIMLA.</b>	
1.	Sh. Raghu Raj Singh Thakur, Joint Director of Prosecution, Shimla.
2.	Sh. N.K. Sharma, Joint Director of Prosecution, Shimla.
3.	Sh. A.K. Pattarwal, Distt. Attorney, North Zone, Dharamshala.
4.	Sh. K.S. Thakur, Distt. Attorney, South Zone, Shimla.
5.	Sh. M.R. Sharma, District Attorney, Hqrs, Shimla-2.
6.	Sh. R.L. Saini, District Attorney, Central Zone, Mandi.
7.	Sh. Harvinder Singh, Deputy District Attorney, South Zone, Shimla.
8.	Sh. Sanjeev Katoch, Dy. Distt. Attorney, D/shala.
9.	Sh. Jagdish Chandel, Deputy District Attorney, Central Zone, Mandi.
10.	Smt. Gulab Negi, Asstt. Distt. Attorney, S.Z. Shimla.
<b>2. DIRECTORATE OF EDUCATION</b>	
11.	Sh. Rajinder Sharma, Distt. Attorney (Secondary Education)
12.	Sh. Lehri Singh Negi, Distt. Attorney (Elementary Education)
<b>3. POLICE TRAINING COLLEGE, DAROH (PALAMPUR)</b>	
13.	Sh. Kushal Singh, Joint Director of Prosecution.
14.	Sh. R.R. Mastana, Distt. Attorney
15.	Sh. Ashok Kumar Sugyan, Dy. Distt. Attorney
<b>4. HEALTH &amp; FAMILY WELFARE DEPARTMENT, HP, SHIMLA-9</b>	
16.	Sh. Purender Sharma, Dy. Distt. Attorney.
<b>5. RURAL DEVELOPMENT AND PANCHAYATI RAJ, SHIMLA-9:</b>	
17.	Sh. Surinder Negi, Asstt. Distt. Attorney
<b>6. EXCISE AND TAXATION DEPARTMENT:</b>	
18.	Sh. Vinod K Behl
<b>7. HP PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT:</b>	
19.	Sh. J.S. Rana, Dy. Distt. Attorney, Shimla.
20.	Sh. R.K. Kaushal, Dy. Distt. Attorney, PWD NZ, D/Shala.
21.	Smt. Monika Malhotra, Dy. Distt. Attorney, PWD Mandi.
<b>8. TOWN AND COUNTRY PLANNING DEPARTMENT</b>	
22.	Smt. Mukta Kashyap, Asstt. District Attorney.
<b>9. HP PRISON DEPARTMENT, SHIMLA.</b>	
23.	Sh. Chander Mohan, Asstt. District Attorney,
<b>10. POLICE HEADQUARTER:</b>	
24.	Sh. R.P. Raina, Joint Director of Prosecution, Police Hqrs

<b>11. INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL -CUM- LABOUR COURT, DHARAMSHALA.</b>	
25.	Sh. T.C. Kainthla, Deputy Distt. Attorney, Shimla.
26.	Sh. Hardayal Singh Dhiman, Deputy District Attorney, Dharamshala.
<b>12. BEAS BHAKRA MANAGEMENT BOARD, NANGAL.</b>	
27.	Sh. Tara Chand, District Attorney.
<b>13. Delhi Metro</b>	
28.	Smt. Ritu Randeve, Asstt. Distt. Attorney.

### (B) VACANT POSTS

At the end of the year 2006-07, 79 posts under different categories were vacant, wherein 10 posts of Deputy District Attorneys, 31 posts of Assistant District Attorneys, 1 post of Senior Assistant, 4 posts of Junior Scale Stenographer, 3 posts of Steno typists, one post of Librarian 12 posts of Clerks (10 regular & 2 contract basis) and 17 posts of Peons (14 regular, 1 contract & 2 daily wages) were lying vacant.

During the year 2007-08 3 new posts of ADAs, 3 posts of Clerks and 3 posts of peons have been created.

Out of aforesaid vacant posts during the year 2007-08, 6 post of District Attorney, 27 posts of Assistant District Attorneys, 1 posts of Clerk, 12 posts of peons have been filled up. At the end of the year 2007-08 following posts are lying vacant:

Sr. No.	Post	Number of posts
1	District Attorney	2
2	Deputy District Attorney	4
3	Assistant District Attorney	16
4	Senior Assistants.	1
5	Junior Scale Stenographer	4
6	Steno-Typist	3
7	Librarian	1
8	Clerks(Regular)	14
9	Clerks(Contract basis)	2
10	Peon(Regular 5, Contract 1 & daily 2)	8
<b>Total</b>		<b>55</b>

The posts of Librarian, Junior Scale Stenographers, Steno-typist and Clerks are to be filled-up by direct recruitment as per the Govt. instructions. These posts cannot be filled without the prior approval of the Finance Department which is still awaited. However, requisition has been sent to the H.P. Subordinate Services

Selection Board, Hamirpur, for filling up the posts Junior Scale Stenographer and steno typist, but so far no name has been sponsored.

(C) **JUDICIAL WORK**

1. **CRIMINAL CASES:**

During the year **2007-08** in the beginning as on **1.4.2007** there were **38436** cases under Indian Penal Code and Special/other laws in various courts in the State of H.P., were pending. **14141** new cases were instituted during the year **2007-08** and in **2379** cases accused were convicted and in **7665** cases accused were acquitted. **255** Cases were withdrawn. In **271** cases proceedings have been abated /stopped due to the death of the accused persons and declaration of accused as proclaimed offender. **2564** Cases were transferred to different courts. **25** Cases were discharged and **1167** cases were compromised. Hence, at the end of the year **2007-07** as on **31.3.2008**, **38014** cases were pending in the different courts. The rate of conviction during the year **2007-08** is **24%**. Detailed statement of criminal cases is given in **annexure A-I**.

2. **DETAIL RELATING TO CRIMINAL APPEALS/REVISIONS:**

During the year, **2007-08** in the beginning there were **1019** Criminal appeals/ revision pending in different courts of the State. **967** Criminal Appeals/revisions were instituted during the year **2007-08**. **201** Cr. Appeals/revisions were decided against the State and **301** Cr. Appeals/revisions conviction of the accused persons were maintained and as such they were decided in favour of the State. **148** Cases of appeals/revisions were transferred to other courts. **4** Cases were compromised and as such percentage of success to the State during the year **2007-08** is **60%**. Thus the number of appeals/revisions at the end of the year **2007-08** is **1316**. Detailed statement of Criminal Appeals/revisions is attached as Annexure-A-2.

3. **JUDICIAL WORK OF CIVIL CASES**

During the year, **2007-08** in the beginning as on **1.4.2007**, there were **3821** civil cases pending in various courts of the State **1712** cases were instituted during the year, **2007-08**, **1057** cases were decided in favour of the State. **250** cases were decided against the State and **16** cases were compromised. **32** Cases were withdrawn from the courts. **278** cases were transferred to other courts. Hence, at the close of the year as on **31.3.2008** number of cases pending is **3900**. The percentage of success in civil cases during the year under reference is **81%** which is quite encouraging. The detailed statement of civil cases during the year under reference is given in Annexure A-3.

4. **HP LEGAL CELL, NEW DELHI (SUPREME COURT CASES)**

(a) **CIVIL MATTERS:**

There were **155** cases pending in the beginning of the year, **2007-08**. **131** civil cases were instituted during the year **2007-08**. **11** cases were decided in favour of the State and **5** cases were decided against the State. Hence at the end of the year **2007-08**, **270** cases remained pending.

(b) **CRIMINAL & OTHER MATTERS:**

In beginning of the year **2007-08**, **83** cases were pending in the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India and **63** cases were presented in the year **2007-08**. **12** cases were decided in favour of State and **20** were decided against the State. Hence **114** cases remained pending at the end of the year **2007-08**.

5. **PROCEEDINGS UNDER PREVENTIVE SECTIONS OF CR.P.C.**

At the beginning of the year **2007-08**, **3846** cases under the preventive sections of Cr. PC were pending. **2885** cases were instituted during the year **2007-08** in the courts of different Executive Magistrates. **2909** cases were discharged, **44** cases were compromised and **20** cases were transferred. Hence, at the end of the year **2007-08**, **3758** cases were pending in different preventive sections of Cr. PC. Detailed Statement of security cases is attached as **Annexure A-4**. Proceedings under sections 107/109/145 Cr. PC were instituted directly by the Police before the Executive Magistrates as preventive measure to maintain the law and order.

6. **REVENUE CASES:**

At the beginning of the year **2007-08**, **519** cases were pending. **141 new** cases were instituted during the year, **2007-08**. **106** cases were decided in favour of the State and **5** cases were decided against the State. **30** cases were transferred/ remand back. Hence, at the end of year **2007-08**, **519** cases were remained pending.

7. **FOREST CASES AT SHIMLA**

At the beginning of the year, **2007-08**, **3** cases were pending before the Special Judge (Forest) Shimla. One case was instituted during the year. **One** case was filed and **2** Cases were acquitted. Hence, **1** case was pending at the close of the year **2007-08**.

8. **Labour Court, Shimla.**

At the beginning of the year, **2007-08**, **164** cases were pending before Industrial Tribunal- cum- Labour court, Shimla. **69** cases were instituted during the year 2007-08. **42** cases were decided in favour of the state and **7** cases were decided against the state of H.P. Hence, **184** cases were remained pending at the end of the year **2007-08**.

(D) **OTHER WORK**1. **TRAINING PROGRAMME:**

In addition to this, various courses on financial administration, service matters, Court procedure on Cr PC, revenue laws, Course on human rights, Good Governance and E-mail/internet, Protection of Consumer Rights Act, Civil Misconduct were attended by the Officers of this department at HIPA. During the year many



law officers were also sent for refresher courses on criminal law in the Institute of Criminology and Forensic Science at New Delhi.

## **2. PUBLIC ACCOUNTS COMMITTEE/AUDIT PARAS/INSPECTIONS**

During the year 2007-08 there was no Para pending with Public Accounts Committee pertaining to this Department. At the end of the year **2007-08** there were **26** reports and **51** paras pending for compliance. Out of the aforesaid pending reports/paras during the year **2007-08**, **7** reports and **8** new paras /audit notes of the department and were made. During the year **2007-08**, **16 reports & 28** paras / audit test notes have been settled. Hence, at the end of the year **2007-08** only **17** reports and **31** paras / Audit Test Notes remained pending for settlement. During the year **2007-08** the steps were taken by the department for the settlement of the paras stated in the audit reports.

## **3. REGARDING RULES AND REGULATIONS:**

The recruitment and promotion rules for all the categories of employees have been finalised.

## **4. MEETING OF DEPARTMENTAL PROMOTION COMMITTEE:**

During the year **2007-08**, **8** meetings of departmental promotion committee were held, **6** for giving benefits of Assured Career Progression Scheme to eligible Class III/IV employees, one for placement of Junior Assistants and one for special increment granted after completion of 20 years regular service as driver.

## **5. SENIORITY LISTS:**

This department has released the seniority lists of all the Class **III** and **IV** employees.

## **6. CONFIDENTIAL REPORTS:**

The confidential reports for the year **2006-07** of Class III employees of this department have been completed.

## **7. USE OF HINDI**

Almost all the work of this department except legal work is being done in Hindi. However, some legal work was also done in **Hindi**.

## **8. BUDGET**

The detail of the amount, which is received from the Government on different sub Heads for the year **2007-08** under demand No. 3 and 31 is attached as Annexure **A-5** for perusal. Under this department, except Directorate other 39 offices are included under demand No. 3 and 31 offices fall under the demand No. 31.

During the year 2006-07 under the sub head Office Expenses less amount was allotted and the amount allotted was not sufficient to cope up with the pending bills of this Directorate. It is, therefore necessary that sufficient funds be provided under the above mentioned sub head for the smooth functioning of this Directorate.

#### **9. DIFFICULTIES:**

This department is not having sufficient ministerial staff to cope up with the day to day work. At the Head quarter level only one Asstt. 2 Clerks, one Junior Scale Stenographer, 2 peons are posted with the Distt. Attorneys whereas at Dharamshala, Chamba, Hamirpur, Una, Bilaspur, Solan, Nahan, Shimla, Kullu and Mandi, the number of Law Officers are more than 5 and 6 and require more supporting staff. Thus the Law Officers are facing great hardship and work of the department is also suffering. The private advocates always have sufficient staff to assist them, but as compared to the private advocates the law officers of this department have no sufficient manpower to assist them. In this department one post of junior scale stenographer had been sanctioned for each district head quarter level. However, at the sub divisional level there is no post of stenographer and as such the work of this department is also suffering at sub divisional level in the absence of stenos. The post of steno is very much essential for the smooth and effective functioning of the offices at the sub divisional level.

It is, therefore, necessary that for the proper functioning of the work of this department, one post of clerk with each law officer at District Level and one post of Steno at each Sub Divisional level should be sanctioned.

At the District HQ level the District Attorney is the office-in-charge. He looks after the work of establishment/financial matters etc. In addition to legal works and mostly remains busy in the courts. Hence, the post of Superintendent, Grade II is very essential for the smooth working of office of District Attorneys at the District Level. At the District level, law officers have been attached with the judicial courts and they remained busy in the judicial work for whole day and as such the cases before the Revenue courts/Executive Magistrate are not attended to. It is essential that in each district HQ and sub divisional level additional post of Asstt. District Attorney is created so that work may not suffer. This department has taken steps for the creation of above posts in the past, many times with the Government and is still under consideration with the Govt.

In addition to this, it is pointed out that presently law officers/officials at the District level/sub divisional level have not been provided suitable official/residential accommodation. This matter also requires urgent attention.

#### **10. ACHIEVEMENTS OF THE DEPARTMENT**

During the period under reference 3 posts District Attorney & 7 posts of Deputy District Attorneys has been created in the office Deputy Commissioners to scrutinize the cases/legal advice etc. This Department has provided computers at Distt. Hqrs and Sub Divisional Level which will help in monitoring of court cases and will increase the efficiency of Law Officers as the computers has become most indispensable accessory in the modern office working.

#### **11. PROSPECTIVE PLAN OF THE DEPARTMENT.**

The Department is considering providing Law Officers in the office of Superintendent of Police to tender legal advice at the stage of investigation which will improve the quality of investigation and increase the rate of conviction in criminal cases.

In order to protect the state interest in revenue cases pending before the revenue courts, the Department has also sent a proposal to the Govt. to provide Law Officers exclusively for these courts which will facilitate the early disposal of revenue cases and the property of the state worth crores of rupees will be protected. In addition to this, since there are large number of court cases of the Department of Education and Health, pending adjudication in the courts & additional Law officers are required to process and look after these cases, for this the Department is considering to provide additional staff to deal with the court cases and to protect the interest of State. Efforts are afoot to increase the rate of conviction by applying latest technology i.e. Forensic science etc., in the prosecution of criminal cases.

## **12. INSPECTION:**

Periodic inspections of the offices of District Prosecution agency and sub divisional level prosecution agency are carried out by the Director of Prosecution & Joint Director of Prosecution. The heads of the District Prosecution Agency have been instructed to inspect the office of Prosecution agencies in the Sub Division level within their jurisdiction.

## **13. RIGHT TO INFORMATION ACT.**

During the year **2007-08** one application under the Right to Information Act has been received in the department, which was disposed off well in time.

## **14. CONVICTION RATE**

### **a. IN TRIAL COURTS:**

Conviction rate in criminal cases conducted before different Trial Courts in H.P. w.e.f. 1.4.2007 to 31.3.2008 figured at **24%**. For the corresponding period during last preceding year it was at **23 %**. Therefore, there is marked improvement in conviction rate and further steps are being taken to enhance the same by issuing directions to the prosecutors of this Directorate to increase their performance and efficiency accordingly.

### **b. IN APPELLATE COURTS**

In criminal appeals/revisions preferred/instituted by the State of H.P. before the different appellate Courts of Ld. Sessions Judge/Additional Sessions Judge/Fast Track Courts respectively against the judgment of acquittals/orders passed by the Judicial Magistrates, the success rate of acceptance of appeal/revision in favour of State of H.P., comes to **60 %** which is quite encouraging and shows the effective performance by the Prosecution agency.

## **15. GENERAL**

On the whole, the work of the prosecution agency in the State during the year **2007-08** has been found satisfactory. Efforts are being made to streamline the functioning of the Prosecution Department with a view to achieve a higher level of conviction rate so that HP becomes number one state in the field.

**Director of Prosecution,  
Himachal Pradesh.**

**STATEMENT OF CRIMINAL CASES W.E.F. 1.4.2007 TO 31.03.2008 SHOWING RATE OF CONVICTION & PERFORMANCE OF LAW OFFICERS**

Sr No	Name of the court	Name of the Law Officer	No. of cases pending at the beginning of month	Institute d during month	Convicte d during month	Acquitte d during month	Dischar ged during month	Compro mised during month	Transfe rred during the month	Procedi ngs dropped	withdra wn	PO	Others.	Total disposal	Cases pending at the close of month	Rate of convicti on
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17
<b>1.</b>	<b>DISTRICT BILASPUR HP</b>															
1.	Session Judge	S.C. Ranout, DA	81	31	3	32	0	0	16	0	0	0	0	51	61	9%
2.	CJM BLP	Champa Devi, ADA	1241	323	39	122	0	8	8	5	12	0	0	194	1370	24%
3.	JMIC BLP	Joginder Singh, ADA	1453	150	11	71	0	17	17	1	10	0	0	127	1476	13%
4.	ACJM Gwin	Jitender Kumar, ADA	1014	256	5	90	0	17	9	6	8	0	0	135	1135	5%
5.	JMIC Gwin	Anil Kumar, ADA	559	78	8	114	0	39	2	4	10	0	0	177	460	7%
6.	ASJ FTC Ghumarwin	R.K. Bharwal, DDA	42	13	1	13	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	19	36	7%
	<b>Total</b>		<b>4390</b>	<b>851</b>	<b>67</b>	<b>442</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>81</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>703</b>	<b>4538</b>	<b>13%</b>
<b>2.</b>	<b>DISTRICT CHAMBA HP</b>															
1.	Session Judge.	RD Sharma, DA	26	74	7	39	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	48	52	15%
2.	CJM Chamba	Kapil Dev Sharma ADA	741	306	51	107	4	46	18	6	5	0	9	246	801	32%
3.	JMIC Chamba	Naveen Kumar Dhiman, ADA	707	129	21	122	0	12	15	1	0	5	10	186	650	15%
4.	SDJM Dalhousie.	Bhuvesh, ADA	1035	239	96	195	0	7	22	16	4	2	6	348	926	33%
5.	FTC	Vacant	23	0	0	0	0	0	23	0	0	0	0	23	0	-
	<b>Total</b>		<b>2532</b>	<b>748</b>	<b>175</b>	<b>463</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>65</b>	<b>80</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>851</b>	<b>2429</b>	<b>27%</b>

<b>3. DISTRICT HAMIRPUR</b>																
1.	Sessions Judge	<b>K.S. Verma, DA</b>	8	33	3	5	0	0	20	0	0	0	0	28	13	38%
2.	FTC	<b>J.S. Rana, DDA</b>	8	18	3	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	18	38%
3.	CJM	<b>D.S. Parmar, ADA</b>	332	246	31	170	2	58	6	6	5	0	0	278	300	15%
4.	JMIC I	<b>Sudhir Sharma, ADA</b>	319	64	16	85	1	22	30	3	9	0	0	166	217	16%
5.	JMIC II	<b>Eklabya, ADA</b>	253	90	12	97	0	15	4	6	3	0	1	138	205	11%
6.	JMIC III	<b>Geetranjan, ADA</b>	352	69	18	96	0	15	9	3	7	0	0	148	273	16%
7.	JMIC Badsar	<b>Shard Lagwal, ADA</b>	103	112	10	66	0	6	3	0	0	0	0	85	130	13%
8.	JMIC Nadaun	<b>Deepak Aggarwal, ADA</b>	468	138	29	87	0	22	8	4	3	0	0	153	453	25%
	<b>Total</b>		<b>1843</b>	<b>770</b>	<b>122</b>	<b>611</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>138</b>	<b>80</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1004</b>	<b>1609</b>	<b>17%</b>
<b>4. DISTRICT KANGRA AT DHARAMSHALA</b>																
1.	Sessions Judge	<b>B.S. Pathania, DA</b>	44	145	9	22	0	0	103	0	0	0	0	134	55	29%
2.	ASJ- I	<b>KK Sharma DDA</b>	57	28	4	15	0	0	33	0	0	0	0	52	33	21%
3.	ASJ- II	<b>BK Marwah DDA</b>	67	23	13	17	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	31	59	43%
4.	CJM	<b>Navina Rahi, ADA,</b>	172	177	67	60	0	3	9	1	2	0	8	150	199	53%
5.	JMIC I	<b>S. Agnihotri, ADA (Vacant)</b>	180	21	3	12	0	2	182	0	1	0	1	201	0	20%
6.	JMIC II	<b>S. Agnihotri, ADA</b>	54	232	20	28	0	4	1	0	0	0	0	53	233	42%
7.	ACJM Palampur	<b>Sanjeev Rana, ADA</b>	630	188	81	161	0	27	0	9	20	0	12	310	508	33%
8.	JMIC Palampur	<b>Rahul Chopra, ADA.</b>	382	61	26	81	0	9	0	2	2	0	4	124	319	24%
9.	ACJM	<b>Ashok Kumar,</b>	559	398	14	162	0	12	0	14	3	0	11	216	741	8%

10.	Nurpur JMIC Nurpur	ADA Rajesh Verma, ADA	995	65	3	148	0	10	0	16	2	0	7	186	874	2%
11.	ACJM Dehra	Satpal Garg, ADA	467	176	31	119	0	30	5	2	5	0	4	196	447	21%
12.	JMIC Dehra	L.M. Sharma, ADA	448	136	47	75	0	22	5	0	4	0	3	156	428	39%
13.	ACJM Kangra	R.D. Chaudhary, ADA	792	201	27	149	0	16	15	10	4	0	4	225	768	15%
14.	JMIC Kangra	Pankaj Dhiwan, ADA	808	166	51	114	0	18	6	8	0	0	3	200	774	31%
15.	ACJM Bajjnath	Bhuvnesh Awasthi, ADA	107	119	38	40	0	18	6	0	1	0	7	110	116	49%
16.	ACJM Jawali	Bhishm Jwal, ADA	829	252	20	232	0	13	0	4	0	0	6	275	806	8%
17.	JMIC Indora	Vijay K. Rehalia, ADA	2012	136	15	535	0	16	6	20	5	0	17	614	1534	3%
18.	FTC	N.S. Verma, DDA	40	69	18	38	0	0	0	2	0	0	1	59	50	32%
	<b>Total</b>		<b>8643</b>	<b>2593</b>	<b>487</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>200</b>	<b>372</b>	<b>88</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>88</b>	<b>3292</b>	<b>7944</b>	<b>20%</b>
<b>5.</b>	<b>DISTRICT KINNAUR</b>															
1.	Session judge	S.S.Bhatti, Distt. Attorney	136	55	17	13	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	33	158	57%
2.	CJM KNR at Peo	D.S. Rana, Asstt. Distt. Attorney	352	149	20	95	0	28	4	3	13	4	0	167	334	17%
	<b>Total</b>		<b>488</b>	<b>204</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>108</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>200</b>	<b>492</b>	<b>26%</b>
<b>6.</b>	<b>DISTRICT KULLU</b>															
1.	Session Judge	P.K. Hazri, DA	58	73	11	4	1	0	59	0	0	0	0	75	56	73%
2.	<b>FTC</b>	<b>Mahesh Sen, DDA</b>	37	65	13	30	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	45	57	30%
3.	CJM Kullu	Ajit Thakur, DDA	510	289	108	97	0	4	22	4	5	0	0	240	559	53%
4.	ACJM	Naveen Chander, DDA	431	158	54	121	0	10	4	8	6	0	0	203	386	31%

5.	JMIC Manali	Arvind Malhotra ADA	92	219	136	49	0	6	9	6	1	0	1	208	103	74%
6.	JMIC Ani	Umesh Sharma, AD A	174	162	19	19	0	1	6	1	0	0	0	46	290	50%
	<b>Total</b>		<b>1302</b>	<b>966</b>	<b>341</b>	<b>320</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>101</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>817</b>	<b>1451</b>	<b>52%</b>
<b>7.</b>	<b>DISTRICT LAHOUL &amp; SPITI AT KULLU</b>															
1.	CJML & S at Kullu.	Naveen Chander, DDA	119	52	06	08	0	38	-	-	-	-	-	52	119	43%
<b>8.</b>	<b>DISTRICT MANDI</b>															
1.	Sessions Judge.	V.K. Chaudhary, DA	80	122	17	22	0	0	66	0	0	0	0	105	97	44%
2.	ASJ	VK Sharma, DDA	111	48	9	18	0	0	25	0	0	1	0	53	106	33%
3.	FTC	YPS Negi, DDA	50	54	0	34	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	34	70	0%
4.	CJM Mandi	Uday Thakur, ADA	407	217	30	176	0	17	0	4	2	0	0	229	395	15%
5.	JMIC I	K.S. Verma, ADA	442	107	9	72	0	12	0	0	2	0	0	95	454	11%
6.	JMIC II	Anuj Sharma, ADA	454	130	7	113	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	122	462	6%
7.	JMIC III	Ajay Thakur, ADA	528	124	9	92	0	11	9	2	2	4	0	129	523	9%
8.	JMIC IV	-Do-	305	140	9	145	0	13	4	0	0	3	0	174	271	6%
9.	JMIC-I Sunder Ngr	S.S. Koundal , ADA	1032	217	16	186	0	18	176	1	2	0	0	399	850	8%
10.	JMI-II, SNR	Jagdish Kumar, ADA	442	194	11	57	1	21	15	0	2	0	0	107	529	16%
10.	JMIC Karsog	Vacant ADA	124	155	6	76	0	9	2	0	02	0	0	95	184	7%
11.	JMIC Gohar	Kulbhushan Goutam, DDA	328	144	14	113	0	14	22	0	6	0	0	169	303	11%
12.	JMIC Sarkaghat	Vinod Bhardwaj, ADA	800	272	14	111	0	37	2	0	1	0	0	165	907	11%
13.	JMIC,	NS Chauhan ,	590	214	20	142	0	38	19	7	2	0	0	228	576	12%

	J. Nagar.	ADA														
	<b>Total</b>		<b>5693</b>	<b>2138</b>	<b>171</b>	<b>1357</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>190</b>	<b>340</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2104</b>	<b>5727</b>	<b>11%</b>
<b>9.</b>	<b>DISTRICT SHIMLA</b>															
1.	Session Judge.	P.R. Patiyal, DA	14	41	4	21	2	0	20	0	0	0	0	47	8	16%
2.	ASJ	D.S. Parmar, DDA	64	22	3	33	0	0	25	0	1	0	0	62	24	8%
3.	SJ Forest	R.L. Thakur, ADA	11	1	0	2	0	0	8	0	0	0	1	11	1	0%
4.	CJM	Atma Ram, ADA	218	109	24	53	0	6	20	0	2	0	1	106	221	31%
5.	JMIC I	Shettal Bansal, ADA	309	82	10	28	1	7	115	1	0	0	0	162	229	26%
6.	JMIC II	R.S.Parmar, ADA	233	107	21	31	0	1	59	0	0	0	0	112	228	40%
7.	JMIC III	Sudeep Singh, ADA	308	123	15	80	2	3	47	1	6	5	0	159	272	16%
8.	JMIC IV	Ritu Malik, ADA	192	193	6	64	0	3	2	0	3	0	0	78	307	9%
9.	JMIC V	Rajeshwar Dutt, ADA.	301	52	8	25	0	0	1	0	3	1	0	38	315	24%
10.	JMIC VI	Manu Kalia, ADA	179	61	31	52	4	5	1	11	2	3	2	111	129	37%
11.	Juvenile Court	Sheetal Bansal, ADA	206	41	6	50	0	15	0	0	0	3	0	74	173	11%
12.	JMIC-I Rohru.	Suresh Hetta , ADA	123	47	4	10	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	15	155	29%
13.	JMIC Rohru	Bhagwan Dass	80	294	27	62	0	14	13	0	0	0	11	127	247	30%
14.	JMIC Theog	Anup Sharma, ADA	338	244	40	65	0	3	77	0	0	2	0	187	395	38%
15.	JMIC Chopal	Vacant ADA.	133	69	4	25	0	5	3	0	0	0	0	37	165	14%
16.	JMIC Jubbal	H.S.Negi, ADA.	85	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	3	82	-
17.	JMIC Jubbal at	-Do- ADA	4	74	2	5	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	11	67	29%



18	Theog SDJM Rampur	S.S. Pathabia, DDA.	691	220	65	103	0	33	205	0	9	7	6	428	483	39%
19.	FTC-SML	Ajmer Singh, DDA.	16	37	14	12	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	29	24	54%
	<b>Total</b>		<b>3505</b>	<b>1817</b>	<b>284</b>	<b>721</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>96</b>	<b>605</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>1797</b>	<b>3525</b>	<b>28%</b>
<b>10.</b>	<b>DISTRICT SIRMAUR AT NAHAN</b>															
1.	Session Judge	Raghivir Singh, DA	50	6	2	12	0	0	15	0	0	0	0	29	27	14%
2.	ASJ Nahan	A.K., Nadda, DDA	48	40	10	14	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	26	62	42%
3.	CJM - Nahan	Kapil Mohan, ADA	167	297	11	100	0	3	8	2	0	0	0	124	340	10%
4.	JMIC I Nahan	-Do-	164	76	1	75	0	6	8	1	0	0	0	91	149	1%
5.	JMIC Paonta	Umesh Mohan ADA	676	347	13	127	0	12	59	7	0	2	0	220	803	9%
6.	JMIC II Paonta	Hemant Singh, ADA	553	75	5	91	0	5	3	4	5	0	0	113	515	5%
7.	ACJM Rajgarh	M.K. Sharma ADA	80	56	8	36	0	3	3	1	1	0	0	52	84	18%
	<b>Total</b>		<b>1738</b>	<b>897</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>455</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>97</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>655</b>	<b>1980</b>	<b>10%</b>
<b>11.</b>	<b>DISTRIC SOLAN</b>															
1.	Sessions Judge	C.B. Acharya, DA	25	69	6	20	0	0	56	0	0	1	0	83	11	23%
2.	ASJ Solan	NL Sen, ADA	16	40	7	5	1	0	16	0	0	0	0	29	27	58%
3.	ASJ FTC	Jagdish Kanwar, Dy.DA	22	41	7	26	0	0	11	0	0	0	0	44	19	21%
4.	CJM Solan	Yashpal Singh, ADA	755	204	46	64	0	20	49	6	6	2	0	193	766	42%
5.	JMIC Solan	Rajender Chauhan ADA	456	139	12	92	0	11	178	2	0	5	0	300	295	12%
6.	ACJM KASAULI	Kapil Sharma, ADA	538	114	100	100	0	41	0	1	4	1	0	247	405	50%

7.	JMIC KSL	<b>Shashi Kant Sharma, ADA</b>	358	116	15	62	1	14	0	0	5	10	0	107	367	19%
8.	<b>ACJM</b> K/ghat.	<b>Reena Chauhan, ADA</b>	226	163	05	47	0	1	98	0	1	0	0	152	237	10%
9	ACJM ARKI	<b>Sangeeta Justa, ADA</b>	405	132	7	62	1	12	4	1	9	0	0	96	441	10%
10	SDJM NALAGARH	<b>Sundeep Attri, ADA</b>	864	368	113	89	0	78	22	8	11	10	0	331	901	56%
	<b>Total</b>		<b>3665</b>	<b>1386</b>	<b>318</b>	<b>567</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>177</b>	<b>434</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1582</b>	<b>3469</b>	<b>36%</b>
<b>12.</b>	<b>DISTRICT UNA</b>															
1.	Sessions Judge.	<b>Ravinder Kumar DA</b>	44	45	1	12	0	0	44	1	0	0	0	58	31	8%
2.	ASJ	<b>GS Rathore, DDA</b>	27	30	8	12	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	21	36	40%
3.	ASJ FTC	<b>Mohinder Chauhan, DDA</b>	9	31	4	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	30	40%
4.	CJM	Sanjeev Bharwal, ADA	828	247	121	174	1	11	84	6	4	4	0	405	670	41%
5.	ACJM I	<b>Sanjay Pandit, ADA</b>	857	206	12	60	0	6	85	5	6	0	0	174	889	17%
6.	PMJJB, Una	<b>-Do-</b>	345	140	47	16	0	16	0	0	0	0	2	81	404	75%
6.	JMIC II	<b>Vinay Dhiman, ADA</b>	1103	205	35	82	3	15	101	9	1	5	0	251	1057	30%
7.	JMIC III	<b>Vevinder Kumar, ADA</b>	667	193	40	72	0	9	47	9	3	6	0	186	674	36%
8.	JMIC-IV	<b>Shard Lagwal, ADA.</b>	0	270	4	19	0	8	4	0	1	1	0	37	233	17%
9.	ACJM AMB	<b>CS Bhatia, ADA</b>	399	215	39	102	0	16	14	3	0	7	0	181	433	28%
10.	JMIC II Amb	<b>P.S. Negi, ADA</b>	239	137	10	50	0	22	14	4	0	2	0	102	274	17%
	<b>Total</b>		<b>4518</b>	<b>1719</b>	<b>321</b>	<b>605</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>103</b>	<b>394</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1506</b>	<b>4731</b>	<b>35%</b>

## Annexure A-1

**STATEMENT OF CRIMINAL CASES FOR THE YEAR 2007-2008 (1.4.2007 TO 31.3.2008)**

Sr No	Name Of The Court	Pending at the Beginning of the Year(1.4.07)	No. of Cases Instituted (2007-08)	Convicted.	Acquitted.	Discharged.	Compromised.	Transferred	Proceeding Dropped	Withdrawn.	Proclaimed offenders	Others.	Total Disposal.	Balance at the end of Year (31.3.07)	Rate Of Conv iction
1.	2.	3.	4.	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
1	Bilaspur	4390	851	67	442	0	81	57	16	40	0	0	703	4538	13%
2	Chamba	2532	748	175	463	4	65	80	23	9	7	25	851	2429	27%
3	Hamirpur	1843	770	122	611	3	138	80	22	27	0	1	1004	1609	17%
4	Kangra	8643	2593	487	2008	0	200	372	88	49	0	88	3292	7944	20%
5	Kinnaur	488	204	37	108	0	28	4	3	13	4	3	200	492	26%
6	Kullu	1302	966	341	320	1	22	101	19	12	0	1	817	1451	52%
7.	Lahul &Spiti	119	52	6	8	0	38	0	0	0	0	0	52	119	43%
8	Mandi	5693	2138	171	1357	1	190	340	15	22	8	0	2104	5727	11%
9	Shimla	3505	1817	284	721	9	96	605	14	26	21	21	1797	3525	28%
10	Sirmour	1738	897	50	455	0	29	97	16	6	2	0	655	1980	10%
11	Solan	3665	1386	318	567	3	177	434	18	36	29	0	1582	3469	36%
12	Una	4518	1719	321	605	4	103	394	37	15	25	2	1506	4731	35%
	<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>38436</b>	<b>14141</b>	<b>2379</b>	<b>7665</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>1167</b>	<b>2564</b>	<b>271</b>	<b>255</b>	<b>96</b>	<b>141</b>	<b>14563</b>	<b>38014</b>	<b>24%</b>

Annexure-2**STATEMENT REGARDING CRIMINAL APPEALS/REVISIONS FOR THE YEAR 2007-08(1.4.2007 to 31.03.2008).**

Sr. No.	Name of the court	Pending at beginning Of the Year (1.4.2007)	Instituted during the Year 2007-2008	In favour of the State	Against the State	Compromised	Transfer	Ors.	Total Disposal	Pending at the Close of the Year (31.3.08)	Percentage of Success
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
<b>1.</b>	<b>DISTRICT BILASPUR</b>										
1.	Session Judge BLP	23	5	1	4	-	-	-	5	23	20%
2.	FTC Ghumarwin	12	2	0	2	-	1	2	5	9	0%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>14%</b>
<b>2.</b>	<b>DISTRICT CHAMBA</b>										
1.	Session Judge Chamba	12	31	12	6	-	-	-	18	25	67%
2.	FTC Chamba	6	0	0	0	-	6	-	6	0	-
	<b>Total</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>67%</b>
<b>3.</b>	<b>DISTRICT HAMIRPUR</b>										
1.	Session Judge HMR	45	86	8	5	1	9	3	26	105	62%
2.	ASJ-FTC	32	39	5	9	-	1	2	17	54	36%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>77</b>	<b>125</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>159</b>	<b>48%</b>
<b>4.</b>	<b>DISTRICT KANGRA</b>										
1.	Session Judge KNG	74	55	11	11	-	15	-	37	92	50%
2.	ASJ -I KNG	74	45	15	1	-	0	-	16	103	94%
3.	ASJ- II KNG	64	21	5	5	-	0	-	10	75	50%
4.	ASJ- FTC	87	21	29	23	-	0	-	52	56	56%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>299</b>	<b>142</b>	<b>60</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>115</b>	<b>326</b>	<b>60%</b>
<b>5.</b>	<b>DISTRICT KINNAUR AT RAMPUR</b>										
1.	Session Judge RMP	18	36	1	1	-	-	2	4	50	50%
<b>6.</b>	<b>DISTRICT KULLU</b>										
1.	Session Judge KLU	27	8	7	8	-	4	-	19	16	47%
2.	ASJ-FTC	9	20	14	4	2	-	-	20	9	78%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>64%</b>
<b>7.</b>	<b>DISTRICT SHIMLA</b>										

1.	Session Judge SML	53	21	21	46	-	-	-	67	7	31%
2.	Session Judge, Fts. SML	0	89	17	4	-	-	-	21	68	81%
2.	ASJ SML	55	96	18	11	-	62	-	91	60	62%
3.	ADJ(FTC)	70	51	43	0	-	14	6	63	58	100%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>178</b>	<b>257</b>	<b>99</b>	<b>61</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>76</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>242</b>	<b>193</b>	<b>62%</b>
<b>8.</b>	<b>District Mandi</b>										
1.	Session Judge MND	63	62	14	7	-	8	0	29	96	67%
2.	ASJ MND	103	48	19	10	1	0	1	31	120	66%
3.	ASJ(FTC)	14	42	3	6	-	2	0	11	45	33%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>180</b>	<b>152</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>71</b>	<b>261</b>	<b>61%</b>
<b>9.</b>	<b>DISTRICT SIRMAUR AT NAHAN</b>										
1.	Session Judge NHN	20	7	3	0	0	-	-	3	24	100%
2.	ASJ NHN	38	6	8	8	-	1	-	17	27	50%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>58</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>58%</b>
<b>10.</b>	<b>DISTRICT SOLAN</b>										
1.	Session, Judge SLN	9	33	13	2	-	7	-	22	20	87%
2.	ASJ SLN	12	26	13	6	-	6	-	25	13	68%
3.	ASJ (FTC)	4	20	4	11	-	0	-	15	9	27%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>79</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>62</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>61%</b>
<b>11.</b>	<b>DISTRICT UNA</b>										
1.	Session Judge Una	34	26	2	2	-	8	-	12	48	50%
2.	ASJ -Una	37	28	10	9	-	4	-	23	42	53%
3.	ASJ-FTC	24	43	5	0	-	0	-	5	62	100%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>95</b>	<b>97</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>152</b>	<b>60%</b>

Annexure A-2

## STATEMENT REGARDING CRIMINAL APPEALS/REVISIONS FOR THE YEAR 2007-08(1.4.2007-31.03.2008)

Sr. No.	Name of the court	Pending at beginning Of the Year (1.4.2007)	Instituted during the Year 2007-08.	In favour of the State	Against the State	Compro mised	Transfer	Ors.	Total Disposal	Pending at the Close of the Year (31.3.08)	Percenta ge of Success
1.	2.	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
1.	Distt. Bilaspur	35	7	1	6	0	1	2	10	32	14%
2.	Distt. Chamba	18	31	12	6	0	6	0	24	25	67%
3.	Distt. Hamirpur	77	125	13	14	1	10	5	43	159	48%
4.	Distt. Kangra	299	142	60	40	0	15	0	115	326	60%
5.	Distt. Kinnaur at Rampur	18	36	1	1	0	0	2	4	50	50%
6.	Distt. Kullu	36	28	21	12	2	4	0	39	25	64%
7.	Distt. Shimla	178	257	99	61	0	76	6	242	193	62%
8.	Distt. Mandi	180	152	36	23	1	10	1	71	261	61%
9.	Distt. Sirmaur	58	13	11	8	0	1	0	20	51	58%
10.	Distt. Solan	25	79	30	19	0	13	0	62	42	61%
11.	Distt. Una	95	97	17	11	0	12	0	40	152	61%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>1019</b>	<b>967</b>	<b>301</b>	<b>201</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>148</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>670</b>	<b>1316</b>	<b>48%</b>

## Annexure, A-3.

## ANNUAL ADMINISTRATION REPORT FOR THE YEAR 2007-2008 REGARDING CIVIL CASES W.E.F. 1.4.2007 TO 31.3.2008

Sr. No.	Name of the court	Pending at the beginning of the year (1.4.2007)	Instituted during the year (2007-08)	No. of cases decided in favour of the State	Decided against the State	Compromise.	Transfer.	Withdrawal.	Total Disposal	Pending at the close of the Year	Percentage of success during the year (31.3.08)
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.
<b>1.</b>	<b>DISTRICT BILASPUR</b>										
1.	District Judge BLP	56	4	12	1	-	2	-	15	45	92%
2.	ASJ-FTC, BLP	24	9	5	0	-	-	-	5	28	100%
3.	Civil Judge (Sr. Div.) BLP	57	11	19	1	-	-	-	20	48	95%
4.	Civil Judge (Jr. Div.) BLP	53	15	21	2	-	-	-	23	45	91%
5.	Civil Judge(JD)Ghumarwin.-I	100	20	26	2	-	-	-	28	92	93%
6.	Civil Judge (Jr.Div.) Ghumarwin-II	75	10	17	0	1	-	1	19	66	100%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>365</b>	<b>69</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>110</b>	<b>324</b>	<b>94%</b>
<b>2.</b>	<b>DISTRICT CHAMBA</b>										
1.	District Judge Chamba	5	13	5	2	-	-	-	7	11	71%
2.	ASJ-FTC. Chamba	6	0	0	0	-	6	-	6	0	-
3.	Sr. Civil Judge (Jr.Div.)	23	6	5	2	2	-	-	9	20	71%
4.	Civil Judge (Jr.Div.) Chamba	43	7	7	0	-	-	-	7	43	100%
5.	Civil Judge (Jr.Div.), Dalhousie.	14	6	9	1	-	-	-	10	10	90%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>91</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>84</b>	<b>84%</b>
<b>3.</b>	<b>DISTRICT HAMIRPUR</b>										
1.	District Judge HMR	16	25	8	1	-	-	-	9	32	89%
2.	ADJ(FTC) Hamirpur	37	29	30	0	-	-	-	30	36	100%
3.	Sr. Civil Judge (Jr.Div.) HMR	50	11	17	2	-	-	-	19	42	89%
4.	Civil Judge (Jr. Div.) I HMR	100	9	22	2	-	4	-	28	81	92%
5.	Civil Judge (Jr. Div.) II HMR	91	10	18	2	-	4	-	24	77	90%
6.	Civil Judge (Jr.Div.) III HMR	76	13	20	3	-	-	-	23	66	87%

7.	Civil Judge (Jr.Div.) ,Barsar	31	14	18	1	-	-	-	19	26	95%
8.	Civil Judge (Jr.Div.) Nadaun	95	13	15	0	-	-	-	15	93	100%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>496</b>	<b>124</b>	<b>148</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>167</b>	<b>453</b>	<b>93%</b>
<b>4.</b>	<b>DISTRICT KULLU</b>										
1.	District Judge KLU	54	103	1	2	-	13	-	16	141	33%
2.	ADJ-FTC, Kullu.	105	129	14	50	0	0	-	64	170	22%
3.	Civil Judge (Sr. Div.) KLU	11	11	5	0	0	8	-	13	9	100%
4.	Civil Judge (Jr.Div.) KLU	16	21	12	1	1	2	-	16	21	92%
5.	Civil Judge (Jr. Div.), Anni	3	6	4	0	-	0	-	4	5	100%
6.	Civil Judge (Jr. Div.), Manali.	9	16	11	0	-	0	-	11	14	100%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>198</b>	<b>286</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>124</b>	<b>360</b>	<b>47%</b>
<b>5.</b>	<b>DISTRICT KINNAUR</b>										
1.	District Judge KNR at Rampur.	57	50	7	3	-	-	-	10	97	70%
2.	Civil Judge (Sr. Div.) KNR at Peo	16	5	2	1	-	-	-	3	18	67%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>73</b>	<b>55</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>115</b>	<b>69%</b>
<b>6.</b>	<b>DISTRICT KANGRA</b>										
1	District Judge D/Sala	24	13	12	4	-	2	1	19	18	75%
2	Addl. Distt. Judge I D/sala.	70	16	12	2	-	5	3	22	64	86%
3	Addl. Distt. Judge II D/sala.	33	13	3	1	-	0	0	4	42	75%
4	Addl. Distt. Judge(FTC)	33	7	16	4	-	1	0	21	19	80%
5	Civil Judge (Sr. Div.) D/sala	39	26	12	1	-	0	0	13	52	92%
6	Civil Judge (Jr.Div.) (I)D/Sala	18	0	0	0	-	18	0	18	0	-
7	Civil Judge (Jr. Div.) (II) D/S.	23	9	6	1	-	0	1	8	24	86%
8	Civil Judge (Jr. Div.) I PLP	20	12	21	0	-	-	0	21	11	100%
9	Civil Judge (Jr. Div.) II, PLP	38	9	6	4	1	-	0	11	36	60%
10	Civil Judge (Jr. Div.) (I) NPR	29	9	8	2	-	-	1	11	27	80%
11	Civil Judge (Jr.Div.) (II) NPR	45	6	8	0	1	0	0	9	42	100%
12	Civil Judge (Jr.Div.) Baijnath	11	7	3	2	-	-	4	9	9	60%
13	Civil Judge (Jr.Div.) (I) Dehra	19	11	7	2	-	-	0	9	21	78%
14	Civil Judge (Jr.Div.)(II)Dehra	23	12	7	1	-	-	0	8	27	88%
15	Civil Judge (Jr.Div.) (I) KNG	30	7	9	0	-	-	0	9	28	100%
16	Civil Judge (Jr.Div.) (II)KNG	31	13	6	0	-	-	1	7	37	100%
17	Civil Judge (Jr. Div.) Jawali	57	23	9	2	-	-	1	12	68	82%
18	Civil Judge (Jr.Div.) Indora.	30	13	15	2	-	1	0	18	25	88%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>573</b>	<b>206</b>	<b>160</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>229</b>	<b>550</b>	<b>85%</b>
<b>7.</b>	<b>DISTRICT LAHUL &amp; SPITI AT KULLU</b>										
1	Sr. Civil Judge (Jr.Div.) L&S At Kullu	9	1	7	-	-	-	-	7	3	100%
	<b>Total :</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>100%</b>



<b>8.</b>	<b>DISTRICT MANDI</b>										
1.	District Judge MND	23	10	14	3	-	-	-	17	16	82%
2.	Addl. Distt. Judge Mandi	31	27	14	5	-	-	-	19	39	74%
3.	ADJ-FTC-MND	13	3	3	2	-	-	-	5	11	60%
4.	Civil Judge (Sr. Div.) MND	19	8	7	2	-	-	-	9	18	78%
5.	Civil Judge (Jr. Div.) (I) MND	28	4	18	1	-	-	-	19	13	95%
6.	Civil Judge (Jr. Div.) (II) MND	24	7	13	2	-	-	-	15	16	87%
7.	Civil Judge (Jr. Div.) (III) MND	25	5	8	0	-	-	-	8	22	100%
8.	Civil Judge (Jr. Div.) (IV) MND	15	9	13	1	-	-	-	14	10	93%
9.	Civil Judge (Jr. Div.) ,SNR-I	68	18	9	0	-	30	10	49	37	100%
10.	Civil Judge (Jr. Div.) SNR-II	19	39	10	1	-	-	-	11	47	91%
11.	Civil Judge (Jr. Div.)- J/Ngr.	50	11	24	2	-	-	-	26	35	92%
12.	Civil Judge (Jr. Div.) S/.Ghat	62	27	12	0	-	-	-	12	77	100%
13.	Civil Judge (Jr. Div.) Karsog	6	8	4	1	1	-	-	6	8	80%
14.	Civil Judge (Jr. Div.), Gohar	14	9	5	5	-	-	-	10	13	50%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>397</b>	<b>185</b>	<b>154</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>220</b>	<b>362</b>	<b>86%</b>
<b>9.</b>	<b>DISTRICT SHIMLA</b>										
1.	District Judge SML	24	26	22	5	0	4	1	32	18	81%
2.	Distt. Judge (Forest) SML	15	37	13	7	0	0	0	20	32	65%
3.	Addl. Distt. Judge, SML	56	20	11	20	0	23	0	54	22	35%
4.	ADJ(FTC) Shimla	157	89	28	0	0	50	0	78	168	100%
5.	Civil Judge (Sr. Div.) SML	44	27	11	2	1	0	1	15	56	85%
6.	Civil Judge (Jr. Div.) (I) SML	36	5	4	0	0	0	0	4	37	100%
7.	Civil Judge (Jr. Div.) (II) SML	17	17	3	0	0	0	0	3	31	100%
8.	Civil Judge (Jr. Div.) (III)	26	11	3	2	1	0	0	6	31	60%
9.	Civil Judge (Jr. Div.) (IV)	28	8	1	0	0	0	0	1	35	100%
10.	Civil Judge (Jr. Div.) (V)	29	4	2	0	0	0	0	2	31	100%
11.	Sun Judge (Jr. Div.) (VI)	28	5	1	1	0	0	0	2	31	50%
12.	Civil Judge (Jr. Div.) Theog	35	20	12	6	0	6	0	24	31	67%
13.	Civil Judge (Jr. Div.) (I) Rohru	6	0	1	0	0	5	0	6	0	100%
14.	Civil Judge (Jr. Div.) (II) -d0-	35	23	16	4	1	0	3	24	34	80%
15.	Civil Judge (Jr. Div.) Chopal	8	19	5	0	0	0	0	5	22	100%
16.	Civil Judge (Jr. Div.), Rampur	15	14	3	0	0	5	0	8	21	100%
17.	Civil Judge (Jr. Div.) Jubbal	13	9	4	0	0	0	1	5	17	100%
18.	Civil Judge (Jr. Div.)- Jubbal at Theog	8	0	0	0	0	8	0	8	0	--
	<b>Total</b>	<b>580</b>	<b>334</b>	<b>140</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>101</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>297</b>	<b>617</b>	<b>75%</b>
<b>10.</b>	<b>DISTRICT SIRMAUR AT NAHAN</b>										
1.	District Judge Nahan	8	8	5	0	-	-	-	5	11	100%

2.	Add. District Judge Nahan	7	5	3	2	-	1	-	6	6	60%
3.	Sr. Civil Judge (Sr. Div.) NHN	29	14	5	2	-	-	-	7	36	71%
4.	Civil Judge (Jr. Div.) NHN	26	15	1	0	-	-	2	3	38	100%
5.	Civil Judge (Jr. Div.) Poanta I	11	16	7	1	-	-	-	8	19	88%
6.	Civil Judge (Jr.Div.) Poanta II	18	8	5	0	-	-	-	5	21	100%
7.	Civil Judge (Jr. Div.) Rajgarh	16	7	7	2	-	-	-	9	14	78%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>115</b>	<b>73</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>145</b>	<b>83%</b>
<b>11.</b>	<b>DISTRICT SOLAN</b>										
1.	District Judge, SLN	12	34	17	6	1	8	-	32	14	74%
2.	Addl. Distt. Judge SLN	12	22	6	3	0	10	-	19	15	67%
3.	ADJ-FTC-SLN	8	24	2	6	0	4	-	12	20	25%
4.	Civil Judge(Sr. Div.) SLN	53	8	11	1	0	20	-	32	29	92%
5.	Civil Judge (Jr. Div.) SLN	69	16	9	5	2	13	-	29	56	64%
6.	Civil Judge (Sr. Div.) Kasuali	69	26	30	5	0	0	-	35	60	86%
7.	Civil Judge (Jr. Div.) Kasauli	33	12	10	3	1	0	-	14	31	77%
8.	Civil judge (Jr. Div.) K/ghat	31	26	6	2	0	9	-	17	40	75%
9.	Civil Judge (Jr. Div.) Arki	66	25	25	2	0	0	-	27	64	93%
10.	Civil Judge (Jr.Div) Nalagarh.	72	36	32	3	1	0	1	37	71	91%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>425</b>	<b>229</b>	<b>148</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>254</b>	<b>400</b>	<b>80%</b>
<b>12</b>	<b>DISTRICT UNA</b>										
1.	District Judge, Una	42	15	12	6	-	-	-	18	39	67%
2.	Addl. Distt. Judge Una.	50	7	4	12	1	1	-	18	39	25%
3.	Addl. Distt. Judge(FTC)	82	17	4	2	-	0	-	6	93	67%
4.	Civil Judge (Sr.Div.) Una	62	12	20	2	-	3	-	25	49	91%
5.	Civil Judge (Jr. Div.) (I) Una	64	6	20	0	-	2	-	22	48	100%
6.	Civil Judge (Jr. Div.) (II) Una	52	7	7	2	-	5	-	14	45	78%
7.	Civil Judge (Jr. Div.) (III)Una	60	9	11	1	-	5	-	17	52	92%
8.	Civil Judge (Jr. Div.)-IV,Una.	0	18	0	0	-	0	-	0	18	--
8.	Civil Judge(Jr. Div) (I)Amb	36	20	3	2	-	0	-	5	51	60%
9.	Civil Judge(Jr. Div) (II) Amb	51	7	4	1	-	0	-	5	53	80%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>499</b>	<b>118</b>	<b>85</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>130</b>	<b>487</b>	<b>75%</b>

**Annexure A-3.****Annual Statement of Civil Cases for the year 2007-08(w.e.f. 1.4.07 to 31.3.2008)**

Sr. No.	Name of the court	Pending at the beginning of the year (1.4.2007)	Instituted during the year (2007-08)	No. of cases decided in favour of the State	Decided against the State	Compromises.	Transfers	Withdrawn	Total Disposal.	Pending at the close of the year (31.03.08)	Percentage of success during the year.
1	2.	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
1	Distt Bilaspur	365	69	100	6	1	2	1	110	324	94%
2	Distt. Chamba	91	32	26	5	2	6	0	39	84	84%
3	Distt. Hamirpur	496	124	148	11	0	8	0	167	453	93%
4	Distt. Kullu	198	286	47	53	1	23	0	124	360	47%
5	Distt. Kinnaur	73	55	9	4	0	0	0	13	115	69%
6	Distt. Kangra	573	206	160	28	2	27	12	229	550	85%
7	Distt. L & S	9	1	7	0	0	0	0	7	3	100%
8	Distt. Mandi	397	185	154	25	1	30	10	220	362	86%
9	Distt. Shimla	580	334	140	47	3	101	6	297	617	75%
10	Distt. Sirmour	115	73	33	7	0	1	2	43	145	83%
11	Distt. Solan	425	229	148	36	5	64	1	254	400	80%
12	Distt. Una	499	118	85	28	1	16	0	130	487	75%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>3821</b>	<b>1712</b>	<b>1057</b>	<b>250</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>278</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>1633</b>	<b>3900</b>	<b>81%</b>

Annexure A-4

**ANNUAL ADMINISTRATION REPORT FOR THE YEAR 2007-2008.STATEMENT REGARDING CASES U/s 107/109/110/145 Cr PC**

Sr. No.	Name of the court	Pending at The beginning Of the year 1.4.2007	No. of cases Instituted During the Year	No. of cases Bound down	Discharged	Transferred	Compromised	No. of cases pending at the close of the year 31.3.2008
1.	2.	3.	4.	.5.	6.	7.	8.	9.
<b>1.</b>	<b>DISTRICT BILASPUR</b>							
1.	SDM BLP	68	35	-	61	-	-	42
2.	SDM Ghumarwin	14	13	-	12	-	-	15
	<b>Total</b>	<b>82</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>73</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>57</b>
<b>2.</b>	<b>DISTRICT CHAMBA</b>							
1.	SDM Chamba	15	33	-	7	-	12	29
2.	SDM Dalhausie	10	24	-	0	-	7	27
	<b>Total</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>56</b>
<b>3.</b>	<b>DISTRICT HAMIRPUR</b>							
1.	SDM HMR	90	60	-	100	-	-	50
2.	SDM Naduan	29	55	-	49	-	-	35
3.	SDM Badsar	56	45	-	51	-	-	50
4.	TEHSILDAR HMR	88	65	-	83	-	-	70
5.	Tehs. Nadaun	24	25	-	20	-	-	29
6.	Tehs. Bhoranj	47	48	-	45	-	-	50
7.	Tehs. Sujampur	30	35	-	40	-	-	25
8.	Tehs. Badsar	1	10	-	6	-	-	5
	<b>Total</b>	<b>365</b>	<b>343</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>394</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>314</b>
<b>4.</b>	<b>DISTRICT KULLU</b>							
1.	SDM KLU	69	12	-	76	-	-	5
2.	SDM MNL	4	9	-	4	-	-	9
3.	SDM Anni	30	46	-	35	-	-	41
	<b>Total</b>	<b>103</b>	<b>67</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>115</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>55</b>
<b>5.</b>	<b>DISTRICT KINNAUR</b>							
1.	SDM Kalpa	170	41	-	131	-	5	75
<b>6.</b>	<b>DISTRICT KANGRA</b>							
1.	SDM D/SHALA	209	201	-	174	20	-	216
2.	SDM Dehra	357	49	-	53	-	-	353
3.	SDM Kangra	210	176	-	124	-	-	262
4.	SDM Palampur	97	169	-	116	-	-	150
5.	SDM Nurpur	125	119	-	71	-	-	173

6.	SDM Baijnath	85	94	-	102	0	-	77
7.	SDM Jawali	15	74	-	53	0	-	36
	<b>Total</b>	<b>1098</b>	<b>882</b>	-	<b>693</b>	<b>20</b>	-	<b>1267</b>
<b>7.</b>	<b>DISTRICT SHIMLA</b>							
1.	SDM(U) SML	231	21	-	70	-	25	157
2.	SDM Theog	35	72	-	78	-	-	29
3.	SDM Rohru	162	10	-	16	-	-	156
4.	SDM Chopal	29	4	-	17	-	-	16
5.	SDM Rampur	101	0	-	25	-	-	76
6.	SDM Jubbal	0	23	-	6	-	-	17
	<b>Total</b>	<b>558</b>	<b>130</b>	-	<b>212</b>	-	<b>25</b>	<b>451</b>
<b>8.</b>	<b>DISTRICT MANDI</b>							
1.	SDM MND Sadar	194	182	-	99	-	-	277
2.	SDM S/NGR	49	71	-	108	-	-	12
3.	SDM J/NGR	249	49	-	155	-	-	143
4.	SDM S/GHAT	99	106	-	125	-	-	80
5.	SDM Karsog	58	9	-	30	-	-	37
6.	SDM Gohar	80	91	-	99	-	-	72
	<b>Total</b>	<b>729</b>	<b>508</b>	-	<b>616</b>	-	-	<b>621</b>
<b>9.</b>	<b>DISTRICT SIRMAUR AT NAHAN</b>							
1.	SDM Nahan	140	121	-	118	-	-	143
2.	SDM Poanta	237	124	-	25	-	-	336
3.	SDM Rajgarh	44	26	-	44	-	-	26
	<b>Total</b>	<b>421</b>	<b>271</b>	-	<b>187</b>	-	-	<b>505</b>
<b>10.</b>	<b>DISTRICT SOLAN</b>							
1.	SDM Solan	46	110	-	106	-	-	50
2.	SDM K/GHAT	13	6	-	6	-	-	13
3.	SDM Arki	39	116	-	103	-	-	52
4.	SDM Nalagarh	106	20	-	14	-	-	112
	<b>Total</b>	<b>204</b>	<b>252</b>	-	<b>229</b>	-	-	<b>227</b>
<b>11.</b>	<b>DISTRICT LAHUL &amp; SPITI AT KULLU</b>							
1.	SDM L& S	2	2	-	4	-	-	0
<b>12.</b>	<b>DISTRICT UNA</b>							
1.	SDM Una	142	164	-	191	-	-	115
2.	SDM Amb	42	149	-	148	-	-	43
	<b>Total</b>	<b>184</b>	<b>313</b>	-	<b>339</b>	-	-	<b>158</b>

**Annexure A-4****STATEMENT REGARDING CASES U/s 107/109/110/145 CRIMINAL PROCEDURE CODE FOR THE YEAR 2007-08.**

<b>Sr. No.</b>	<b>Name of the court</b>	<b>Pending at the beginning of the year ( 1.4.2007)</b>	<b>No. of cases Instituted During the Year</b>	<b>No. of cases Bound down</b>	<b>Discharged</b>	<b>Transferred</b>	<b>Compromised</b>	<b>No. of cases pending at the close of the year (31.3.2008)</b>
<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>							
1.	District Bilaspur	82	48	-	73	-	-	57
2.	District Chamba	25	57	-	7	-	19	56
3.	District Hamirpur	365	343	-	394	-	-	314
4.	District Kullu	103	67	-	115	-	-	55
5.	District Kinnaur	75	12	-	40	-	-	47
6.	District Kangra	1098	882	-	693	20	-	1267
7.	District Shimla	558	130	-	212	-	25	451
8.	District Mandi	729	508	-	616	-	-	621
9.	District Sirmaur	421	271	-	187	-	-	505
10.	District Solan	204	252	-	229	-	-	227
11.	District L & S	2	2	-	4	-	-	0
12.	District Una	184	313	-	339	-	-	158
	<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>3846</b>	<b>2885</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>2909</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>3758</b>

Annexure-A-5.DEMAND NO. 3.

**STATEMENT SHOWING THE SANCTIONED BUDGET AND ACTUAL EXPENDITURE (EXCESS & SURRENDER) UNDER MAJOR HEAD  
2014- ADMN. OF JUSTICE, 114-LEGAL ADVISORS AND COUNSELS, 02-OTHER LAW OFFICERS (NON PLAN) FOR THE YEAR  
2007-08 PERTAINING TO PROSECUTION DEPARTMENT, HIMACHAL PRADESH.**

Sr. No.	SOE	Sanctioned budget	Supplementary /additionally	Total	Actual expenditure Up-to 31.3. 2008	Excess & Surrender	Reasons
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
1	SALARY	4,39,95,000	50,00,000	4,89,95,000	5,37,63,017	(+) 47,68,017	Due to payment of I.R. & salary of staff for the month of March, 2008.
2	WAGES	7,52,000	1,00,000	8,52,000	7,74,441	(-) 77,559	Due to appointment of Daily wages employees from the H.P. Forest Corporation who remained absent from duty
3	TE	2,99,000	2,00,000	4,99,000	5,31,352	(+) 32,352	Due to increasing the tour of circuit court.
4	LIVERY	25,000	2,00,000	2,25,000	2,25,000	0	-
5	OE	18,16,000	5,00,000	23,16,000	24,63,295	(+) 1,47,295	Due to payment for the procurement of certified copies of judgment & telephone bills
6	MR	5,02,000	14,45,000	19,47,000	16,06,481	(-) 3,40,519	
7	RRT	1,27,000	0	1,27,000	1,28,120	(+) 1,120	Minor variation
8	PUBLICATION	1,000	50,000	51,000	16,485	(-) 34,515	Due to not passing the Publication bill by the Capital treasury.
9	PP & SS	15,26,000	35,00,000	50,26,000	20,78,097	(-) 29,47,903	Due to non-listing of cases before the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India.
10	OTHERS Charges	1,000	0	1,000	0	(-) 1,000	-
11	MV	2,18,000	1,50,000	3,68,000	3,01,951	(-) 66,049	Due to not passing the M.V. bills by the Capital Treasury
12	TTE	40,000	1,50,000	1,90,000	1,02,805	(-) 87,195	Due to non-submission of T.T.E. bills of the officer/ officers.
	<b>Total</b>	<b>4,93,02,000</b>	<b>1,12,95,000</b>	<b>6,05,97,000</b>	<b>6,19,91,044</b> or say <b>Rs. 6,19,91,000/-</b>	<b>(+) 13, 94,044</b> or say <b>Rs. 13,94,000/-</b>	

## DEMAND NO. 3.

**STATEMENT SHOWING THE SANCTIONED BUDGET AND ACTUAL EXPENDITURE UNDER MAJOR HEAD 2014-ADMN. OF JUSTICE, 114-  
LEGAL ADVISORS AND COUNSELS, 02-OTHER LAW OFFICERS (PLAN) FOR THE YEAR 2007-08 PERTAINING TO  
PROSECUTION DEPARTMENT, HIMACHAL PRADESH.**

Sr. No.	SOE	Sanctioned budget	Supplementary /additionally	Total	Actual expenditure Up-to 31.3.2008	Excess & Surrender	Reasons
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
1	SALARY	28,00,000	-	28,00,000	28,23,953	(+) 23,953	Due to payment of I.R. & salary of staff for the month of March, 2008.
2	WAGES	12,00,000	-	12,00,000	8,34,280	(-) 3,65,720	Due to vacant posts.
3	TE	50,000	-	50,000	40,312	(-) 9,688	Due to less circuit tour.
4	LIVERY	30,000	-	30,000	15,000	(-) 15,000	Due to non eligibility of court dress of the Law Officers.
5	OE	8,00,000	-	8,00,000	8,28,251	(+) 28,251	Due to clearance of Photostat machines bill.
6	MR	80,000	-	80,000	29,676	(-) 50,324	Due to less receipt of M.R. bills.
7	R.R.T.	2,50,000	-	2,50,000	44,028	(-) 2,05,972	Due to non availability of suitable official accommodation.
8	Publication	10,000	-	10,000	0	(-) 10,000	Due to non receipt of publication bills.
9	TTE	20,000	-	20,000	13,324	(-) 6,676	Due to non transfer of the Law Officer.
	<b>Total</b>	<b>52,40,000</b>	<b>-</b>		<b>46,28,824</b>	<b>(-) 6,11,176 or Say Rs. 6,11,000/-</b>	



**DEMAND NO. 31**

**STATEMENT SHOWING THE SANCTIONED BUDGET AND ACTUAL EXPENDITURE (EXCESS & SURRENDER)  
UNDER MAJOR HEAD 2014-ADMINISTRATION OF JUSTICE,(796)-TRIBAL AREA SUB PLAN-03- EXPENDITURE  
ON OTHER LAW OFFICERS (Non Plan) FOR T HE YEAR 2007-87 PERTAINING T O PROSECUTION DEPARTMENT,  
HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA.**

Sr. No.	SOE	Sanctioned budget	Additionally	Total	Expenditure 31.3.2008	Excess & Surrender	Reasons
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.
1.	SALARY	17,34,000	0	17,34,000	18,49,539	(+) 1,15,539	Due to payment of I.R. & salary of staff for the month of March,2008
2.	Wages	33,000	18,000	51,000	70,666	(+) 19,666	Due to payment of daily wages Class IV employee.
3.	TE	18,000	30,000	48,000	36,103	(-) 11,897	Due to less tour of the circuit Court.
4.	Livery	10,000	0	10,000	10,000	-	-
5.	OE	69,000	1,00,000	1,69,000	1,68,487	(-) 513	Due to less budget sanctioned, the payment of bills could not drawn.
6.	MR	12,000	30,000	42,000	25,540	(-) 16,460	Due to less receipt of M.R. bills.
7.	TTE	3,000	7,000	10,000	12,366	(+) 2,366	Due to payment of TTE bill of D.A. Rampur.
	<b>Total:-</b>	<b>18,79,000</b>	<b>1,85,000</b>	<b>20,64,000</b>	<b>21,72,701</b> or say <b>Rs. 21,73,000/-</b>	<b>(+)1,08,701</b> <b>(+)Rs. 1,09,000</b>	

**Sanctioned budget under demand No. 3(Non-Plan) for the year 2008-09**

<b>Sr. No.</b>	<b>Percentage</b>	<b>Salary</b>	<b>Wages</b>	<b>TE</b>	<b>Livery</b>	<b>OE</b>	<b>MR</b>	<b>RRT</b>	<b>PP&amp;SS</b>	<b>Publication</b>	<b>Others</b>	<b>MV</b>	<b>TTE</b>	<b>Total</b>
<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>15</b>
<b>1.</b>		<b>60833000</b>	<b>2050000</b>	<b>384000</b>	<b>55000</b>	<b>2405000</b>	<b>612000</b>	<b>450000</b>	<b>1526000</b>	<b>11000</b>	<b>1000</b>	<b>229000</b>	<b>60000</b>	<b>68616000</b>
<b>2.</b>	<b>20%</b>	<b>12166600</b>	<b>410000</b>	<b>76800</b>	<b>11000</b>	<b>481000</b>	<b>122400</b>	<b>90000</b>	<b>305200</b>	<b>2200</b>	<b>200</b>	<b>45800</b>	<b>2000</b>	<b>13723200</b>
<b>3.</b>	<b>25%</b>	<b>15208250</b>	<b>512500</b>	<b>96000</b>	<b>13750</b>	<b>601250</b>	<b>153000</b>	<b>112500</b>	<b>381500</b>	<b>2750</b>	<b>250</b>	<b>57250</b>	<b>15000</b>	<b>17154000</b>
<b>Total</b>	<b>45%</b>	<b>27374850</b>	<b>922500</b>	<b>172800</b>	<b>24750</b>	<b>1082250</b>	<b>275400</b>	<b>202500</b>	<b>686700</b>	<b>4950</b>	<b>450</b>	<b>103050</b>	<b>27000</b>	<b>30877200</b>
<b>5.</b>	<b>30%</b>	<b>18249900</b>	<b>615000</b>	<b>115200</b>	<b>16500</b>	<b>721500</b>	<b>183600</b>	<b>135000</b>	<b>457800</b>	<b>3300</b>	<b>300</b>	<b>68700</b>	<b>18000</b>	<b>20584800</b>
<b>6.</b>	<b>25%</b>	<b>15208250</b>	<b>512500</b>	<b>96000</b>	<b>13750</b>	<b>601250</b>	<b>153000</b>	<b>112500</b>	<b>381500</b>	<b>2750</b>	<b>250</b>	<b>57250</b>	<b>15000</b>	<b>17154000</b>
<b>Total</b>	<b>55%</b>	<b>33458150</b>	<b>1127500</b>	<b>211200</b>	<b>30250</b>	<b>1322750</b>	<b>336600</b>	<b>247500</b>	<b>839300</b>	<b>6050</b>	<b>550</b>	<b>125950</b>	<b>33000</b>	<b>37738800</b>
<b>Grand Total</b>		<b>60833000</b>	<b>2050000</b>	<b>384000</b>	<b>55000</b>	<b>2405000</b>	<b>612000</b>	<b>450000</b>	<b>1526000</b>	<b>11000</b>	<b>1000</b>	<b>229000</b>	<b>60000</b>	<b>68616000</b>