

# ANNUAL GENERAL ADMINISTRATION REPORT

AS PER THE PROVISIONS OF SECTION 4(1)(b) OF THE RIGHT TO INFORMATION ACT, 2005

2008-2009

GOVERNMENT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH DEPARTMENT OF HOME DIRECTORATE OF PROSECUTION HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA

Website: www.himachal.nic.in/home/prosecution email:prosecution-hp@mail.nic.in

Block No. 22, SDA Complex, Kasumpti, Shimla 171 009 (HP)

#### FOREWORD

The Constitution of India has granted the fundamental right of liberty to its people and said right can not be curtailed or interfered with except by rule of law. No one can be punished without giving him an opportunity in accordance with rule of law and in this direction; procedure to conduct prosecution has been provided under the Criminal Procedure Code in order to achieve the aims and objects of law. Regular cadre of Prosecution Agency has been established in the State of Himachal Pradesh in the year 1974 on coming into force of the amended Criminal Procedure Code, 1973. Role of this agency is to assist the Administration of Justice and Criminal Justice System.

The laws, rules and procedures are, in the nature of things, general, and it is difficult to make law, provision for all conceivable eventualities. Fluidity of norms and lack of precision makes the lot of even the most diligent and conscientious public servant difficult. The public servant must be flexible so as to be effective. However, flexibility turns out to be a double edged weapon and has often served to evade accountability. Strict adherence to the letter of the law on the other hand invites understandable criticism of rigidity, unresponsiveness, or at the very least, of too impersonal an approach.

In these circumstances, limits and safeguards have to be provided enabling service delivery with sufficient creativity but without too much scope for misjudgments, doubts and suspicion. What is required perhaps is the framing of procedures with a far greater attention to detail, seeing that laws and rules are often also interpreted and implemented by public servants, who may not be attuned to the policy imperatives underlying the procedures.

Of course, the accountability of the supervisory and senior levels of the administration is the guarantor of the limits and safeguards. The mere prescription of procedures, however, does not mean that they will be followed. It is their proper enforcement and it is the ability of the system to change, modify or add to the procedures to keep up with the passage of time that will ensure flexibility within reasonable limits and safeguards.

In this context, the job of the Public Prosecutor is not always an enviable one. Presenting the results of investigation with all its complexities requires skill and some flair. To be able to convince the court requires not only that the investigation should have been fair, but also that the presentation should be compelling, and that the test of 'guilt beyond reasonable doubt' should be met in the process. Though it is not an easy test to pass, failure to do so is often followed by doubts and suspicions.

The prescription of procedures and the setting down of accountability and its enforcement has to address this central issue and put in such checks and balances that minimize the scope for doubts regarding the diligence and fairness of the Prosecutor. In order to improve the working of the prosecution and to make them more accountable in the day-to-day working this Department has circulated the Prosecution Manual.

It is expected that in case the similar effort is made by the Police to bring out Investigation manual will complete the process of imparting transparency into the system and restore and reinforce the confidence of the public in the essential fairness of the system of administration of criminal justice in this State.

(Subhash Chander Negi, I.A.S.) Principal Secretary (Home) to the Government of Himachal Pradesh

June, 2009.

-----

#### PREFACE

As a result of recent initiatives taken by the Supreme Court of India and Government of India, attention is at last being paid to the system of administration of criminal justice which has to a large extent got subordinated to the development imperatives inherent in a democratic set-up. It is now being realized that proper administration of Criminal Justice is a key ingredient in ensuring that the fruits of democracy reaches to all citizens. As a result, there has been a paradigm shift in planning and budgeting priorities; expenditures on the system of Administration of Justice are no longer seen as being at the cost of development; instead it is being seen as a worthwhile investment to ensure optimum utilization of funds earmarked for development.

It is, however, also a fact that this paradigm shift has so far focused mainly on the Courts and the Policing system, rather ignoring the role of the Prosecutor as an intermediary. The Prosecutor, on the one hand is tasked with presenting fairly and comprehensively the results of the police and investigative effort, and on the other hand, as an officer of the Court, is responsible to help prevent miscarriage of justice. Despite its crucial role in the system, so far the prosecution system is yet to get adequate attention in modernizing itself. Partly it is a result of lack of awareness, but mainly it is because the element of 'soft skill' or knowledge based inputs is very high and planning and budgeting in such matters is difficult unless there are adequate guidelines and monitoring mechanisms to ensure that financial investments are yielding the desired returns. This Manual is intended to fill this gap, in the expectation that planners will be able to adequately fund this sub-sector of the system of Administration of Justice with adequate confidence.

Recently, the Department has brought out its Prosecution Manual, which is coinciding with major legislative reforms in criminal justice. The Criminal Procedure Code (Amendment) Act, 2005 has brought about several changes, including a statutory Directorate of Prosecution and the Criminal Procedure Code (Amendment) Bill, 2006 promises more major reforms, based mainly on the Malimath Committee on reforms of the criminal justice system.

The Department is attending the various meetings with the Police Department, Law Department, and Forensic Science and taking the number of valuable comments and suggestions of all other departments into account for the improvement of the working of the Prosecution Department. The participation of the Police Department is particularly significant in the context of ongoing policing reforms and the recent enactment of the HP Police Act, 2007. The legislation, which is largely based on Govt. of India's Model Act, professionalizes investigation. The improvement in the standard of investigation and coordination between the police and prosecution will improve the rate of success in the trial courts and will provide substantial justice to the poor victims and downtrodden people.

All suggestions for improvement are most welcome and may be addressed either to Director of Prosecution or Principal Secretary (Home), Govt. of Himachal Pradesh. All out efforts will be made in due course to implement the valuable suggestions.

(H.S. Rana) Director of Prosecution Himachal Pradesh

#### **CONTENTS**

Chapter	Particulars	Page Nos.
I	Particulars of organization of Prosecution Department, Himachal Pradesh, functions & duties.	1 to 4
II	Powers and duties of officers and officials of Prosecution Department.	5-9
III	Procedure followed in decision making process, including channels of supervision and accountability.	10 to 11
IV	Norms set for discharge of functions.	12 to 13
V	Rules, regulations, instructions, manuals and records held by Department.	14 to 17
VI	Statement of categories of documents held by offices.	18
VII	Particulars of arrangement for consultation with members of public in relation to formulation of policy etc.	18
VIII	State of boards, councils, committees and other bodies etc.	18
IX	Directory of officers and officials.	19 to 23
X	Monthly remuneration received by each of officer and officials	24
XI	Budget allocated to each of its agency, indicating particulars of all plans etc.	25 to 27
XII	Manner of execution of subsidy programmes, including the amounts allocated and the details etc.	28
XIII	Particulars of recipients of concessions, permits or authorizations	28
XIV	Details in respect of information available in electronic form.	28
XV	Particulars of facilities available to citizens for obtaining information including the working hours of library or reading room etc.	28
XVI	Names, designations and other particulars of the Public Information Officers/ Assistant Public Information Officers.	29 to 31
XVII	Any other information	32 to 40
XVIII	Details regarding criminal cases (Annexure A-1)	41 to 49
XIX	Details regarding criminal appeals/revisions	50 to 52
XX	(Annexure A-2)  Details regarding civil cases (Annexure A-3)	53 to 57
XXI	Details of cases under section 107/109/110/145 Cr. PC (Annexure A-4)	58 to 60
XXII	Budget Allocation (Annexure A-5)	61to 64

#### Chapter I

#### THE PARTICULARS OF ITS ORGANIZATION, FUNCTIONS AND DUTIES:

#### 1. Historical Background:

Before the enactment of Criminal Procedure Code, 1974, Prosecution Agency of the State was part of Police organization in Himachal Pradesh. At that time prosecution in the State was being conducted by Police Prosecutors who were posted as Prosecuting Sub Inspector/ Prosecuting Inspectors/ Prosecuting Deputy Superintendent of Police. They were conducting prosecution in cases of the State. The Police Prosecutors were under the administrative control of the Superintendent of Police at District Level but over-all control rested with the Inspector General of Police of the State.


After coming into force the new Criminal Procedure Code, 1973, the provisions for the appointment of Assistant Public Prosecutors and Public Prosecutors were made under Section 24 & 25 of Cr.P.C., 1973. Regular cadre of Prosecutors came into existence in the year, 1974 as the earlier cadre of Police Prosecutors was abolished keeping in view of the provisions contained U/s 25(2) Cr.P.C., wherein it is provided that no Police Officer shall be eligible to be appointed as Assistant Public Prosecutor. The intention of the legislation while separating the Prosecution Department from the Police Department clearly indicates that the Prosecution Department of the State shall function independently without any undue pressure or favour from any corner including the Police Department.


#### **Directorate of Prosecution:**

As the Directorate of Prosecution came into existence in the year 1974 and the charge of the post of Director of Prosecution was initially given to Secretary (Law)-cum-Legal Remembrancer, who used to supervise the working of Prosecution Department and was also having the Administrative control through out the State. The Administrative control was transferred from Law Department to the Home Department in the year, 1996 and the post of the Director of Prosecution was manned by I.A.S. officers and thereafter for the first time, Director of Prosecution was appointed from the cadre of Prosecution Department in the year 1999. Presently Director of Prosecution has been appointed by the State Govt. from the cadre of Prosecution Department with the concurrence of Hon'ble Chief Justice of Himachal Pradesh High Court from amongst the Joint Directors of the Prosecution Department. The above appointment was made in consonance with the provisions of Section 25-A of Code of Criminal Procedure.

At present, the Directorate of Prosecution has the cadre strength of 169 Prosecutors which consists of 1 post of Director of Prosecution, 3 posts of Joint Directors, 15 posts of District Attorneys, 33 posts of Deputy District Attorneys and 88 posts of Assistant District Attorneys. Apart from it, there are 4 Joint Directors, 9 District Attorneys, 11 Deputy District Attorneys and 5 Assistant District Attorneys working in other Departments. The detail of which is mentioned at page No. 32 & 33 of this report.

#### ORGANIZATIONAL SET UP OF PROSECUTION DEPARTMENT





#### 2. Main Functions of The Prosecution Department

#### 1. Criminal Cases:

Prosecution of all type of criminal cases in the Courts of Sessions Judges, Additional Sessions Judges, Chief Judicial Magistrates and Judicial Magistrates is being conducted by the Public Prosecutors and Assistant Public Prosecutors of the Department.

#### 2. Civil Cases:

- i) All types of civil suits or proceedings filed by or against the Government in the court of Distt. Judges, Additional District Judges, Civil Judges (Senior Divisions) and Civil Judges (Junior Divisions), State Consumer Disputes Redressal Commission, District Consumer Disputes Redressal Forum and before Presiding Officer Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court, Motor Accident Claims Tribunal, Rent Controller are being conducted by the District Attorneys, Dy.District Attorneys and Asst.District Attorneys of the Department.
- ii) Drafting/vetting of plaints/written statements/replies including notices under Section 80 CPC on behalf of the State Government and Public servants.

#### 3. Revenue cases:

All kind of revenue cases before the Financial Commissioner/ Divisional Commissioner/ Settlement Collectors, District Collectors and all revenue cases at District Head quarter/ Sub Divisional level by Government Pleaders.

#### 4. Legal opinion/ advice:

- a) Opinion to Government departments in legal, and service matters.
- b) Planning, budgeting, human resource management and infrastructure, management of the Prosecution Department.
- c) Scrutiny of police Challans and legal opinion to investigating agency.
- d) Drafting/vetting/filing of applications in all type of cases including civil, criminal revenue matters, appeals and revisions etc.

## Chapter II POWERS AND DUTIES OF OFFICERS AND OFFICIALS:

#### **OFFICERS:**

#### 1. Director of Prosecution:

The State Government has appointed Director of Prosecution as per provisions of Section 25-A of the Criminal Procedure Code with the concurrence of Hon'ble Chief Justice of H.P. High Court. The Director of Prosecution performs statutory functions as notified by the State Government as per notification under Sub Section (7) of Section 25-A of the Code of Criminal Procedure (Act No.2 of 1974) vide Notification No. Home (Prosecution) B (14)-2/04 dated 12.1.2007, which are reproduced as under:-

- (i) Director (Prosecution) shall be the Head of the prosecution agency of the State and exercise the control over Prosecution Department in the matters relating to planning, budgeting, human resource management and infrastructure management.
- (ii) He shall advise the Home Department on all the matters relating to prosecution of offences
- (iii) He shall effectively coordinate with the Police Department to ensure adequate synergy between investigation and prosecution.
- (iv) He shall be the Head of the Prosecution Department, while exercising the financial powers under the Himachal Pradesh Financial Rules.
- (v) He shall be the Appointing and Disciplinary Authority under the provisions of Central Civil Services (Classification, Control and Appeal) Rules, 1965 and Central Civil Services Conduct Rules, 1964 in respect of Class III and IV employees of the Prosecution Department.
- (vi) He shall be the reviewing authority in the case of Class-I (Gazetted Officers) and Accepting authority in the case of Class III employees posted in the Prosecution Department for the purpose of Annual Confidential Reports.
- (vii)He cause to be rendered, necessary guidance, advice and clarifications to all the prosecuting officers of the Department from time to time in relation to legal, financial and service matters in accordance with the orders & instructions issued by the Government from time to time.
- (viii)He shall cause the conduct of inspections of the subordinate offices of the Prosecution Department in the State from time to time and issue necessary guidelines to the officers and officials, as deemed necessary.
- (ix) He shall generally supervise the working of Directorate of Prosecution and shall perform such other functions in relation to the Directorate of Prosecution as may be assigned by Government from time to time.

#### 2. **Joint Directors (Prosecution):**

In the Prosecution Department, 3 posts of Joint Director of Prosecution are sanctioned, out of which one post of Joint Director of Prosecution is in North Zone, Dharamshala and 2 Joint Director of Prosecution are working in the Directorate of Prosecution, Hqrs to aid and assist the Director of Prosecution in the day today functioning of the

Department The Joint Directors (Prosecution) have been designated as Prosecutors vide Government notification dt. 28.8.1988 who are also required to conduct trial and appear in the courts with in the State of Himachal Pradesh in important cases as and when ordered by the State Government/Director of Prosecution. The organizational chart of the department is given above at page-2 of the report. However, the work assigned to amongst the Joint Directors is as under:

#### I. Joint Director (Prosecution, NZ, Dharamshala:

- Controlling Officer of all the Law Officers and their subordinate staff pertaining to Districts Chamba, Una, Kangra and Hamirpur.
- ii) To lookafter the work both Civil and Criminal etc. pertaining to the offices of DAs/ADAs and APPs of the districts mentioned in para-1.
- iii) To supervise the functioning of the law officers/ministerial staff working under his control as above and shall also be responsible for its smooth and efficient working.
- iv) To inspect the subordinate offices as per directions of the Director of Prosecution.
- v) To render the opinion on files referred to him by the Incharge of the District Prosecution Agency.
- vi) Any other work is entrusted to him from time to time by the Director of Prosecution from time to time.

#### II. Joint Director (Prosecution)-I Hqrs., Shimla:

- i) To look after the entire litigation work both civil & criminal including monthly diaries, counsel fee bills etc. at the Directorate Hqrs., Shimla-9.
- ii) To inspect the subordinate offices as per directions and approval of Director of Prosecution.
- iii) To tender opinion on the matters marked by the Director of Prosecution and any other legal matter i.e. including enactment/amendments of any Act and Rules.
- iv) Supervision of the work relating to Litigation Cell, Directorate of Prosecution.
- v) Subject regarding Right to Information Act, 2005.

#### III. Joint Director (Prosecution)-II Hqrs., Shimla:

- i) Controlling Officer of the establishment of Directorate of Prosecution, Hqrs, Shimla-9.
- ii) Budget & Accounts, Audit Paras, maintenance of Stores & Stationery and Library.
- iii) To supervise the functioning of the Law officers and ministerial staff.
- iv) Any other work including inspection of subordinate Offices as entrusted by the Director of Prosecution.
- v) Controlling Officer of the maintenance of vehicles.

#### 3. District Attorneys:

The District Attorney while functioning as Public Prosecutor and Government Pleader shall work as under:-

a) The District Attorney shall be the In-charge of the district prosecution agency and is responsible for ensuring conduct of State cases civil, revenue, criminal and other miscellaneous matters through-out the District including motor vehicle/labour/consumer matters.

- b) He shall tender opinion on legal matters at district level when called upon by the District Magistrate or directed by the Director or Joint Director (Prosecution).
- c) He shall facilitate scrutiny of challans in criminal cases by Law Officers/Prosecutors subject to general directions of the Director of Prosecution.
- d) He shall cause the preparation of grounds of appeal in civil/criminal matters etc. after examining all cases of acquittal/inadequate sentence passed at Sessions level or in other cases where appeal is to be filed in the High Court.
- e) He shall also perform any other duty assigned by the Director of Prosecution.
- f) He shall further communicate all the instructions issued by the Director of Prosecution/ State Government to all the Law Officers posted under his control.
- g) The District Attorney is the controlling officer of all the Law Officers and the Ministerial staff of his District. The District Attorney is the competent authority for sanctioning earned leave up to one month to the ministerial staff and sanctions casual leave of Deputy District Attorneys, Dy.Dist.Attorneys(FTC) and Assistant District Attorneys in the District. The Superintendent of Police is the Controlling Officers of the Law Officers posted in their respective offices.
- h) The District Attorney is also required to review and supervise the working of Deputy District Attorneys, Additional District Attorneys and Assistant District Attorneys.
- The District Attorney gives guidance to Law Officers posted in the Superintendent of Police offices for making them effective Legal Advisors to the said offices.
- j) Quarterly meetings of all the Prosecutors posted in the District use to be called in the District headquarter by the District Attorney and date of such meeting is fixed in advance for the current year so that no case is fixed for hearing on those days and Presence of all prosecutors should to be ensured. Agenda of such meeting shall be finalized in advance. Endeavour is made in the quarterly meetings to apprise the Prosecutors about new developments regarding important legal issues/judgments/laws etc. and serious prosecution deficiencies noticed recently and remedial action for the future etc. Record of such meeting is being maintained and communicated to the Director of Prosecution.

Apart from the District Attorneys in the Districts, there is one post of District Attorney (Forest) who conducts the Forest cases under the Indian Forest Act, Forest (Conservation) Act or Wild Life (Protection) Act before the Special Judge (Forest) Himachal Pradesh. There is one post of District Attorney (Revenue), who conducts the revenue cases in the courts of Financial Commissioner (Appeals), Divisional Commissioners and Settlement Commissioner and before the State Consumer Disputes Redressal Commission, District Consumer Disputes Redressal Forum .The State Government has created a post of District Attorney at Delhi to keep liaison with the Advocates-on-Record/Additional Advocate General in pending litigation by or against the State of Himachal Pradesh before the Hon'ble Supreme Court, Central Administrative Tribunal, National Human Rights Commission etc.

#### 4. Deputy District Attorneys:

a) The Government of Himachal Pradesh vide Notification No. LLR-B (1A)-2/89 dt. 18th February, 1994 has notified all the Deputy District Attorneys of Prosecution Department as Public Prosecutors for conducting prosecution in the State of Himachal Pradesh under the provisions of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

- b) The Deputy District Attorneys shall draft plaints/written statements/replies and affidavits in cases relating to their court and in matters assigned to them by the District Attorney.
- c) The Deputy District Attorneys will generally conduct civil, criminal, revenue and other miscellaneous work in the courts of Additional District & Sessions Judge. They will also look after the work which is assigned to them by the District Attorney and during the leave period of District Attorney, they will attend to court work of District & Sessions Judge and other administrative and miscellaneous work in addition to their own duties as may have been assigned by the District Attorney. They are also to examine decided cases relating to their court on the receipt of certified copies and submit proposals of appeals/revisions etc. to the District Attorney.
- d) The Deputy District Attorneys are also to conduct scrutiny of police challans in respect of their court and such other challans as may be assigned to them by the District Attorney. As in the case of District Attorney, in cases of serious crime where the Law Officer of SP office has scrutinized the challan at an earlier stage, they will verify that the observations of the Law Officer on the scrutiny memo have been complied with by the Investigating Agency.
- e) They will also render legal opinion on different matters and submit their opinion to the District Attorney concerned whenever asked in a case.

#### 5. Assistant District Attorneys:

Assistant District Attorneys at District Headquarters function as Assistant Public Prosecutors/ Government Pleaders and work under the overall control and supervision of the District Attorney in the district, and conduct cases in the courts of Chief Judicial Magistrates and other Judicial Magistrates as per work allocation or as per directions of the District Attorney from time to time. They also examine judgments of acquittals, discharge and cases of inadequate sentence and submit their opinion/reports to the District Attorney for taking further action. An Assistant District Attorney at District Headquarters may be asked by the District Attorney to conduct government cases in revenue/executive courts of Deputy Commissioner/Additional Deputy Commissioner/Additional District Magistrate and take further steps for appeals/revision in decided cases. They will, in respect of the criminal Court to which they are assigned, scrutinize the challans on the scrutiny memo format before the challan is put in the court. Scrutiny of the police challans relating to their court or as marked to them by the District Attorney before its submission to the concerned courts will also be carried out by them and they shall also look after the additional work, which is assigned by the District Attorney. They shall draft plaints/written statements/replies and affidavits relating to their court and matters assigned to them by the District Attorney. The Assistant District Attorney is the Officer in charge of the Prosecution Department at Sub-divisional level and while exercising the powers of Drawing and Disbursing Officer, he also looks after the establishment and accounts matters.

#### **6.** Superintendent Grade-I (Gazetted Class I):

There is 1 post of Superintendent Grade-I in the Directorate of Prosecution, Headquarters, who shall be responsible for overall supervision of the working of Ministerial Staff posted in the Directorate of Prosecution, Headquarters.

#### **MINISTERIAL STAFF (OFFICIALS):**

#### 7. Superintendent Grade-II:

There is 1 post of Superintendent Grade-II in the Directorate of Prosecution, Headquarters, who deals with the establishment of Class-I Gazetted Officers of the Department.

#### 8. Personal Assistant:

The Personal Assistant is required to assist the Director of Prosecution in his day-to-day working.

#### 9. Senior Assistants:

There are 18 posts of Senior Assistants in the Department. At the Directorate level, the Senior Assistants work as Dealing Hand in various branches as Litigation/Establishment/ Accounts/ Budget (Finance)/ vehicles. At the District level, the Senior Assistants are required to deal with the establishment/ budget/ correspondence/Service Books etc. of the concerned District.

#### 10. Junior Scale Stenographer/Steno-typists :

There are 14 posts of Junior Scale Stenographers and 3 posts of Steno-typists in the Department. The District Attorneys in the Districts have been provided with Junior Scale Stenographers, who are required to take dictation from the officers and attend to the phone calls as well as personal management of said officers.

#### 11. Junior Assistant/Clerks:

There are 93 posts of Junior Assistants and Clerks in the Department. The Junior Assistants/Clerks posted in Directorate, District and Sub-divisional level are assigned various duties like dealing and maintenance of office records including case files, Diary & Dispatch, preparation of bills, cash work, service records of the officials and miscellaneous registers pertaining to office working.

#### 12. Peons:

There are 101 posts of various categories of Class IV employees including Peons, Chowkidars and Sweeper in the Department.

#### **Chapter III**

### PROCEDURE FOLLOWED IN DECISION MAKING INCLUDING CHANNELS OF SUPERVISION AND ACCOUNTABILITY:

#### (A) Decision making in Criminal Cases:

This Department conducts the litigation work of the State both criminal and civil. In this process, the Prosecution Department plays pivotal role. After conclusion of the criminal cases in the court, the Prosecutor Incharge of the case examines the decision on facts and law. In criminal cases, if the case ends in conviction and the punishment is adequate, the ends of justice is met but in case of acquittal and inadequate punishment in the case, the appeal/revision is proposed by the concerned Prosecutor and sent to the concerned quarter as is deemed necessary. If the case is fit for appeal he submits the grounds of appeal to the District Attorney, who further examines the grounds/proposals for appeal and forward them to the concerned District Magistrate. The District Magistrate further submits the proposal for filing an appeal to the Home Department, where the decision, whether the appeal is to be preferred before the Hon'ble High court of Himachal Pradesh or not, is taken.

#### (B) Decision making in Civil Matters:

The Government Pleader, on the date of announcement of judgment/decree in a civil case/Land reference case, applies for a copy of judgment/decree. If the case has ended in favour of Department/State, the case is forwarded to the Department concerned for records. If the judgment/decree is against the Department/State, the Government Pleader examines the judgement and decree on facts as well as law. In case if the judgement is wrong and illegal, he prepares the grounds of appeal and submits the same along with his opinion to the Department concerned, who further examines the matter in consultation with the Law Department. In case the judgement is correct and legal, he gives his legal opinion and send the matter as above for the decision and implementation of the judgement and decree at the earliest. As such this department is a part and parcel in the decision making process of the Government relating to taking decision in court matters regarding filing of appeal.

#### (C) Decision Making in Administrative Matters:

In the matters where a complaint/application etc. is made against some Officer/Official of the Department, the PUC (paper under consideration) is moved on file by a Dealing Hand of the Directorate. The file will be moved through Superintendent Grade-I to the Joint Director (Prosecution)-I. The Joint Director (Prosecution) shall further examine the matter and submits the file to the Director of Prosecution, Himachal Pradesh, who will decide whether the case is fit for further investigation or required to be filed.

#### Supervision and Accountability:-

The Directorate of Prosecution, Himachal Pradesh is under the administrative control of the Home Department of Himachal Pradesh and the Hon'ble Chief Minister of Himachal Pradesh is the Minister-in-Charge.

In the Department, working of Assistant District Attorneys/ Deputy District Attorneys is supervised by the District Attorney, concerned. further, the Joint Directors supervise and conduction inspection of the offices of District Attorneys/Assistant District Attorneys. The Director of Prosecution supervises the working of Joint Director of Prosecutions/District Attorneys/Assistant District Attorneys and submits inspection reports to the Government.

Government of Himachal Pradesh has sanctioned six posts of various categories i.e. one District Attorney, one Deputy District Attorney, one Senior Assistant, one Stenographer and two Clerks for a Litigation Branch in the Directorate of Prosecution for the purpose of examination of orders of acquittal passed by various Courts with a view to pinpoint the deficiencies in investigation or prosecution. In this Section, the copies of judgment received from the District Attorneys are examined, analyzed and deficiencies pinpointed and remedial action for the future suggested to the investigating agency as well as the Prosecutors. This Branch functions under the supervision of Joint Director (Prosecution) Hqrs.

#### **Chapter IV**

#### NORMS SET BY IT FOR DISCHARGE OF ITS FUNCTIONS

Officers of the Directorate of Prosecution are required to discharge any of the following functions, depending on the nature of their posting:-

- a) Prosecution of criminal cases in the court of Sessions Judge/ Special Judge/Additional Sessions Judge including Fast Track Courts, and Judicial Magistrates.
- b) Institution and defence of suits or proceedings filed by or against the Government in the court of District Judge, Subordinate Courts, before the State Consumer Disputes Redressal Commission, Industrial Tribunal–cum-Labour Court, Motor Accident Claims Tribunal, Rent Controller and before Executive Magistrates.
- c) Drafting/vetting of plaints/written statements/replies, including pleading/presentation on behalf of the Collectorate and such Government Departments where a Law Officer is provided from the Directorate.
- d) Conduct of revenue cases before the Financial Commissioner /Divisional Commissioners / Settlement Collectors/ Collectors.
- e) Legal Opinion in Government Departments where Law Officer is provided from the Directorate.
- f) Scrutiny of police Challans and legal opinion to investigating agency through Law Officers provided from the Directorate and also through the Prosecutors.
- g) Drafting/vetting/filing of civil, criminal and revenue appeals etc. in respect of Collectorates and in such departments where a Law Officer is provided.

#### Norms:

After a case is registered with the Police, same is investigated and challan under relevant sections is submitted to the APP for examination who examines the same satisfying himself that all mandatory provisions have been complied with and investigation has been done in a proper way and legal evidence has been collected, APP recommends it to be put up in the Court. In case of deficiency, same are pointed to be removed by the investigating agency.

After this, the case is entrusted to the APP/PP, who conducts the same before the Court till its final decision. After decision of the same, in case of acquittal or inadequate sentence, proposal for filing appeal is submitted to the District Attorney, who further submits the same to the District Magistrate for the approval of the competent authority to file an appeal/revision. etc.

Assistant District Attorneys at District Hqrs and those manning sub-division offices are required to submit monthly diary of decided cases conducted by them before the courts to the District Attorney concerned on the prescribed proforma on or before 5th day of each month. District Attorney is required to submit monthly diaries of civil, criminal, revenue, MACT, reference and miscellaneous cases decided by the different courts in the district on composite prescribed proforma to the Directorate of Prosecution on or before 10th of each month. Annual Administration report regarding all the cases conducted during the financial year are submitted by the District Attorneys, in charge of district prosecution agency, in the first week of April to the Director of Prosecution for perusal and reconciliation. District Attorney inspects the offices of the Prosecutors under his control in the District and hold meetings as per instructions issued by the Directorate and efforts are made in such meetings to develop and enhance personality, legal skill, acumen and effective presentation in the Courts by the Prosecutors. Each law officer is called to join, deliberate and air his independent legal opinion and not be a mere spectator. While holding meetings emphasis interact with the prosecutor and to apprise/guide them about latest amendments/notifications/orders/laws/legal citations. The District Attorney submits inspection report and minutes of such meetings to the Director of Prosecution along-with remedial measures for strengthening the working of the Prosecution Department in the Districts with special measures required to be taken for avoiding such lapses/mistakes in future. Joint Directors inspect each and every office of the Prosecutor at District Hqrs and sub-divisional level and hold meetings, twice in a year. The register of such meetings is regularly maintained as per prescribed proforma and minutes communicated to the Directorate for perusal. The Joint Directors of Prosecution submit inspection report and minutes of such meetings to the Director of Prosecution along with remedial measures for strengthening the working enhancement of the performance and efficiency of each Prosecutor in their respective Districts. Personal Assistant attached to the Director of Prosecution on the receipt of e-mail regarding daily conducting of criminal cases from all the Prosecution offices in the State, submits the same before the Director of Prosecution on the same day for perusal and further action. Director of Prosecution holds inspection of the Prosecution Offices throughout the State of Himachal Pradesh once a year and special emphasis is laid on the detailed legal discussion regarding pending criminal cases of different nature with the Prosecutors concerned. The Director of Prosecution submits detailed inspection reports to the Principal Secretary (Home) to the Government of Himachal Pradesh. The Director of Prosecution while submitting report suggests remedial measures for improving the working of each prosecutor and their efficiency and immediate steps required to be taken for removing difficulties or lacunae being noticed by the Prosecutors in the various enactments, while conducting prosecution of cases.

#### **Chapter V**

## RULES, REGULATIONS, INSTRUCTIONS, MANUALS AND RECORDS, HELD BY IT OR UNDER ITS CONTROL OR USED BY ITS EMPLOYEES FOR DISCHARGING ITS FUNCTIONS.

#### 1. Cr.P.C SECTION 24:-

#### **Public Prosecutors:**

- (1) For every High Court, the Central Government or the State Government shall, after consultation with the High Court, appoint a Public Prosecutor and may also appoint one or more Additional Public Prosecutor for conducting in such court, any prosecution, appeal or other proceeding on behalf of the Central Government or State Government, as the case may be.
- (2) The Central Government may appoint one or more Public Prosecutors for the purpose of conducting any case or class of cases in any district, or local area.
- (3) For every district the State Government shall appoint a Public Prosecutor and may also appoint one or more Additional Public Prosecutors for the district:

Provided that the Public Prosecutor or Additional Public Prosecutor appointed for one district may be appointed also to be a Public Prosecutor or an Additional Public Prosecutor, as the case may be, for another district.

- (4) The District Magistrate shall, in consolation with the Sessions Judge, prepare, a panel of names of persons, who are, in his opinion fit to be appointed as Public Prosecutor or Additional Public Prosecutors for the district.
- (5) No person shall be appointed by the State Government as the Public Prosecutor or Additional Public Prosecutor for the district unless his name appears in the panel of names prepared by the District Magistrate under sub-section (4).
- (6) Not withstanding anything contained in sub-section (5), where in a State there exists a regular Cadre of Prosecuting Officers, the State Government shall appoint a Public Prosecutor or an Additional Public Prosecutor only from among the persons constituting, such Cadre:

Provided that where, in the opinion of the State Government, no suitable person is available in such Cadre for such appointment that Government may appoint a person as Public Prosecutor or Additional Public Prosecutor, as the case may be, from the panel of names prepared by, the District Magistrate under sub-section (4).

(Explanation: -- For the purpose of this sub- section,-

(a) "regular Cadre of Prosecuting Officers" means a Cadre of Prosecuting Officers which includes therein the post of a Public Prosecutor, by whatever name called, and which provides for promotion of Assistant Public Prosecutors, by whatever name called, to that post;

(b) "Prosecuting Officer" means a person, by whatever name called, and appointed to perform the functions of a

Public Prosecutor, an Additional Public Prosecutor or an Assistant Public Prosecutor under this Code.)

(7) A person shall be eligible to be appointed as a public Prosecutor under sub-section (1) or sub-section (2) or sub-

section (3) or sub-section (6), only if he has been in practice as an advocate for not less than seven years.

(8) The Central Government or the State Government may appoint, for the purposes of any case or class of cases, a

person who has been in practice as an advocate for not less than ten years as a Special Public Prosecutor.

(9) For the purposes of sub-section (7) and sub-section (8), the period during which a person has been in practice, is

a pleader, or has rendered (whether before or after the commencement of this Code) service as a Public Prosecutor

or as an Additional Public Prosecutor or Assistant Public Prosecutor or other Prosecuting Officer, by whatever

name called, shall be deemed to be the period during which such person has been in practice as an advocate.]

Section 25. Assistant Public Prosecutors.

(1) The State Government shall appoint in every district one or more Assistant public Prosecutors for conducting

prosecutions in the courts of Magistrates.

1[(1A) The Central Government may appoint one or more Assistant Public Prosecutors for the purpose of

conducting any case or class of cases in the courts of Magistrates]

(2) Save as otherwise provided in sub-section (3), no police officer shall be eligible to be appointed as an Assistant

Public Prosecutor.

(3) Where no Assistant Public Prosecutor is available for the purposes of any particular case, the District Magistrate

may appoint any other person to be the Assistant Public Prosecutor in charge of that case:

Provided that a police officer shall not be so appointed-

(a) If he has taken any part in the investigation into the offence with respect to which the accused is being

prosecuted: or

(b) If he is below the rank of Inspector.

SECTION "25- A".

**Directorate of Prosecution -**

(1) The State Government may establish a Directorate of Prosecution consisting of a Director of Prosecution and as

many Deputy Directors of Prosecution as it thinks fit.

- (2) A person shall be eligible to be appointed as a Director of Prosecution or a Deputy Director of Prosecution, only if he has been in practice as an advocate for not less than ten years and such appointment shall be made with the concurrence of the Chief Justice of the High Court.
- (3) The Head of the Directorate of Prosecution shall be the Director of Prosecution, who shall function under the administrative control of the Head of the Home Department in the State.
- (4) Every Deputy Director of Prosecution shall be subordinate to the Director of Prosecution.
- (5) Every Public Prosecutor, Additional Public Prosecutor and Special Public Prosecutor appointed by the State Government under sub-section (1), or as the case may be, sub section (8), of section 24 to conduct cases in the High Court shall be subordinate to the Director of Prosecution.
- (6) Every Public Prosecutor, Additional Public Prosecutor and Special Public Prosecutor appointed by the State Government under sub-section (3), or as the case may be, sub section (8), of section 24 to conduct cases in District Courts and every Assistant Public Prosecutor appointed under sub-section (1) of section 25 shall be subordinate to the Deputy Director of Prosecution.
- (7) The powers and functions of the Director of Prosecution and the Deputy Directors of Prosecution and the areas for which each of the Deputy Directors of Prosecution have been appointed shall be such as the State Government may, by notification, specify.
- (8) The provisions of this section shall not apply to the Advocate General for the State while performing the functions of a Public Prosecutor."

#### PROSECUTION MANUAL

In the year 2008 i.e. January, 2008, the Government of Himachal Pradesh has published its first Prosecution Manual, which will increase efficiency of Prosecutors in the State. The rules, procedure and guidelines will make the prosecutors/law officers more accountable and curb delays in day-today functioning of the Department. The Manual will also guide and improve the working of the Prosecution Department of Himachal Pradesh.

**R&P** Rules of following categories are available:

Category	Notification No. & date
Director of Prosecution	Home (Prose) B (2)13/99 dt. 22.5.2002
	Home (Prose) B (2)13/99 dt. 22.5.2006
Joint Director of Prosecution	LLR-B (14)13/84 dt. 9.11.2000
	LLR-B (14)13/84 dt. 20.10.2004
Dist. Attorney	LLR-B (1)1/89 dt. 30.9.1993
	LLR-B (1)1/89 dt. 30.7.1997
	LLR-B (1)1/89 dt. 10.12.98
	LLR-B (1)1/89dt. 20.10.2003
Dy. Dist. Attorney	LLR-B (1)-2/89 dt. 7.5.1997
	LLR-B (1)-2/89 dt. 19.3.1999
Asstt. Dist. Attorney	Home (Prosecution)B(2)1/2003 dt. 5.8.2003
	Home (Pros)B(1)-1/2003 dated 21.5.2009
Supdt. Grade I	LLR-A (3)-2/95 dt. 30.1.1997
	LLR-A (3)-2/2002 dt. 30.6.2003
Supdt. Grade II	LLR-B (2)4/87 dt. 12.7.1990
	LLR-B (2)4/87 dt. 2.7.1993 notified
	LLR-B (14)1/95 dt. 15.3.1997
	Home (Prose) B (2)7/99 dt. 4.8.1999
	Home(Pros)A(3)1/2006 dated 16.04.2007
Personal Asstt.	LLR-B (14)1/95 dt. 15.3.1997

Sr. Asstt.	LLR-B (1)2/84 dt. 26.7.1993
51. 7165tt.	LLR-B (1)2/84 dt. 20.10.1994
	Home (Prose) B (2)9/99 dt. 6.5.2003
Librarian	LLR-B (2)1/91 dt. 29.4.1993
Liorarian	LLR-B (2)1/91 dt. 20.10.1994
	Home (Prose) B (2)3/99 dt. 30.5.2005
Jr. Scale Stenographer	Home(Pros)B(2)11/99- dated 28.11.07
Ji. Seale Stellographer	Home(Pros)B(2)11/99 dated 12.2.2009
Steno typist	LLR-B (2)4/91 dt. 7.6.1993
Stello typist	Home(Pros)B(2)4/99 dated 24.9.2009
Driver	LLR-B (2)3/91 dt. 24.10.1992
Bilvei	LLR-B (2)3/91 dt. 24.710.1592
	Home (Prose) B (2)5/99 dt. 4.8.1999
	Home (Prose) B (2)5/99 dt. 1.0.1599
Clerk	LLR-B (2)2/91 dt. 24.9.1993
Cicik	LLR-B (2)2/91 dt. 4.12.1993
	LLR-B (2)2/91 dt. 20.8.1994
	Home (Prose) B (2)6/99 dt. 4.10.1999
	Home (Prose) B (2)6/99 dt. 28.8.2000
Peon	LLR-B (1)4 /841 dt. 1.1.993
	LLR-B (1)4 /841 dt. 10.7.1993
	Home (Prose) B (14)8/97 dt. 7.6.2003
Chowkidar	LLR-B (1)4/84 dt. 10.7.1993
	Home (prose) B (12)12/99 dt. 10.7.2003
	Home(Pros)B(2)12/99 dated 12.7.07
Sweeper	Home (Prose) A (3)1/98 dt. 26.8.1999
r ·	Home (Prose) A (3)1/98 dt. 6.10.2005

There are no separate rules, regulations, instructions, manuals and records of this Department however it is governed by the CCS (CCA) conduct Rules as are applicable to other State Govt. employees. Moreover, the functions of the Prosecutors are governed by the provisions of Cr.P.C. in conduct of prosecution.

#### **Chapter VI**

### A STATEMENT OF THE CATEGORIES OF DOCUMENTS THAT ARE HELD BY IT OR UNDER ITS CONTROL.

The Police files in various criminal cases containing zimni orders/FIR/MLCs/Statements of PWs/DWs etc. pending trial. Beside it, the civil files containing Copy of the plaint/written statements/copies of statements of PWs/ DWs /judgments/ decree sheets/orders etc.

Other than the court files, there are other routine files like: Establishment files/Service Books of the employees/accounts/ Budget/bills/ Store purchase bills/pay bill etc.

#### **Chapter VII**

THE PARTICULARS OF ANY ARRANGEMENT THAT EXISTS FOR CONSULTATION WITH OR REPRESENTATION BY, THE MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC IN RELATION TO THE FORMULATION OF ITS POLICY OR IMPLEMENTATION THEREOF.

The Prosecution Department does not have any direct public dealing as it works under the provisions of Cr.P.C. and represents State before various courts in the State. As such, presently, there is no such arrangement for consultation with, or representation by, the members of the public in relation to the formulation of its policy or implementation thereof.

-----

#### **Chapter VIII**

A STATEMENT OF THE BOARDS, COUNCILS, COMMITTEES AND OTHER BODIES CONSISTING OF TWO OR MORE PERSONS CONSTITUTED AS ITS PART OR FOR THE PURPOSE OF ITS ADVICE, AND AS TO WHETHER MEETINGS OF THOSE BOARD, COUNCILS, COMMITTEES AND OTHER BODIES ARE OPEN TO THE PUBLIC, OR THE MINUTES OF SUCH MEETINGS ARE ACCESSIBLE FOR PUBLIC.

As stated earlier, this Department deals with the State cases criminal/civil/land reference/revenue/appeals in all such matters, before the Judicial courts, revenue courts and consumer courts through-out the State. Therefore, no necessity to constitute any boards, councils, committees and other bodies to seek advice.

-----

### **Chapter IX**

#### A DIRECTORY OF ITS OFFICERS AND EMPLOYEES.

### TELEPHONE DIRECTORY PROSECUTION DEPARTMENT

Sr. No.	Name of officer S/Sh.	Designation	Place of posting	Office	Fax	Mobile	Residenc e
1	2	3	4	5			6
1.	Subhash Chander Negi, IAS	Pr. Secy (Home)	HP Sectt.	2621907		94181 29215	2621006
2.	J.P. Singh, IAS	Secretary(Home)	HP Sectt. E-319	2621768 2880657		94188 88888	2807444
3.	Vijay Kumar Sharma	Under Secy (Home)	HP Sectt.	2880334 2628491		94180 62926	2624420
4.	Smt. Meera Mahajan	SO(Prosecution)	HP Sectt. E219	2880-564		-	2774352
DIRE	CTORATE OF PROSEC	UTION SHIMLA SDA C	OMPLEX BLOCK	K NO. 22 171009	1		
5.	H.S. Rana	Director of Prosecution	Shimla-9	2620147 2623871 2002147	2623882	94184 54479	2656264
6.	Jawahar Sharma	Joint Director (Prosecution) I	Shimla-9	2624590	2623882	94180 19254	2620577
7.	B.S. Thakur	Joint Dir(Prosecution)II	Shimla-9	2621759	2623882	94180 81455	-
8.	Vacant	DA Revenue	Shimla-9	2623882			-
9.	R.P. Kashav.	DA (Lit)	Shimla-9	2623882		94181 31100	-
10.	Giri Raj Singh	Dy.DA(Litigation)	Shimla-9	2623882		94180 76139	-
11.	Anoop Sharma	ADA Hqrs	Shimla-9	2623882		94184 82115	-
12.	Lal Singh	ADA Hqrs(sect)	Shimla-2	2623382		94180 37529	
13.	Deep R Sharma	Supdt. Grade I	Shimla-9	2623882		98164 64299	-
14.	Rustam S Patial	PA to Director(P)	Shimla-9	2623871		94180 75667	
DIST	RICT SHIMLA 0 177(951	77)			1		
15.	P.R. Patial	Distt. Attorney	Shimla-1	2657031 2800140	2653535 DC	94184 74425	2625538
16.	L.S. Negi.	Distt. Attorney(Forest)	Shimla-1	2652121		94180 38266	
17.	S.S.Bhatti	Distt.Attorney O/O. Prl. CCF	Shimla.	2623155		94180 13322	
18.	Jagdish Kanwar	Dy.DA, Labour court	Shimla	-		94184 57611	
19.	G.S. Rathore	Dy. DA	Shimla	2657031		94182 14606	
20.	Suneel Kuthiala	Dy.DA	Shimla(Sectt.)	2880832		94184 94401	
21.	Dharamvir Singh Parmar	Dy.DA	Shimla	2657031		94180 78353	
22.	Ashwani Dhiman	Dy.DA	Shimla	do		94180 40405	

23.	Randeep Singh Parmar	ADA	Do	do		94184 93999	
24.	Ritu Malik	ADA	Do	do		94180 84006	
25.	Ms.Sheetal Bansal	ADA	Do	do		98161 78857	
26.	Surender Negi	ADA	Do	do		94180 85076	
27.	Manu Kalia	ADA	do	do		98172 78899	
28.	Rajeshwar Dutt	ADA	Do	do		94182 23838	
29.	Sudeep Singh	ADA	Do	do		94180 16674	
30.	HCS Negi	ADA	Do	do		94181 40565	
31.	Suresh Hetta	ADA	Rohru	951781-240134		94186 44243	
32.	Vacant	ADA	Chopal	951783-260588			
33.	Atma Ram	ADA	Jubbal	951781		98163 29825	
34.	SD Vasudeva	ADA	Theog	951783-238570		94180 21154	
DIST	I RICT KINNAUR AT RAM	PUR 0 1782					
35.	Mohinder Chauhan.	DA	Rampur	233145	233002 DC	94181 92495	
36.	Digvijay Singh	ADA	Kinnaur at R/Peo	951786-223702		94181 36967	
37.	Bhagwan Singh	ADA	Anni at Rampur	Do		98161 35798	
	ICT SOLAN 951792	_	,			I	
38.	CB Acharya	DA	Solan	223829	220086 DC	94180 74522	226250
39.	Krishan Kumar	Dy.DA (FTC)	Solan	226314		94181 02904	
40.	Parkash Thakur	Dy.DA	Solan	223829		94180 22108	
41.	NL Sen	Dy.DA	Solan	do		94180 70712	
42.	Yashpal Singh	ADA	Solan	do		94184 92277	
43.	Rajinder Chauhan	ADA	Solan	do		94180 88852	
44.	Kapil Mohan Gautam	ADA	Kasauli	951792 272809		94184 56715	
45.	Sangeeta Justa	ADA	Do	-DO-		98160 68619	
46.	Reena Chauhan	ADA	Kandaghat	951792-256157		94180 22498	
47.	Kulbhushan Gautam	ADA	Arki	951796-220717		94180 57440	
48.	Sandeep Attri	ADA	Nalagarh	951795-223239		94181 68163	
49.	Sanjay Chauhan	ADA	SP Solan	223863		94180 36120	
DIST	RICT SIRMAUR AT NAH	AN 0 1702				L	
50.	Rajinder Sharma	DA	Nahan	222507	223175 DC	94186 48935	222506
51.	Ajmer Singh	Dy. DA (FTC)	Nahan	Do		94181 60439	
52.	Bhima N Shandil	ADA SP office	Nahan	225002		98160 79797	
53.	Sandeep Sharma	ADA	Nahan	222507		98164 49059	
54.	Sanjay Pandit	ADA	Nahan	222507		94180 86259	

55.	Mohinder Kumar	ADA	Rajgarh	0 1799 221205		94180 57809	
56.	Umesh Mohan	ADA	Poanta	0 1704 222149		94184 64929	
57.	Hemant Singh	ADA	Poanta	D0		94180 24006	
DIST	RICT BILASPUR 0 1978				_		l
58.	SC Ranaut	DA	Bilaspur	222597	223065 DC	94186 75200	222637
59.	NC Ghai	Dy.DA SP office	Bilaspur	224500		94181 14770	
60.	Champa Devi	ADA	Bilaspur	222597		94184 71837	
61.	Joginder Singh	ADA	Bilaspur	D0		98164 92531	
62.	Ravinder Kumar	Dy. DA FTC	Ghumarwin	254211		94181 63636	
63.	Jitender Goswami	ADA	Ghumarwin	Do		94184 83837	
64.	Anil Kr. Awasthi	ADA	Ghumarwin	Do		98170 34880	
DIST	RICT MANDI 0 1905						
65.	Vinod Chaudhary	DA	Mandi	223358	225213 DC	94184 60442	
66.	Jagdish Chandel	Dy .DA SV&ACB	Mandi				
67.	Vipul Sharma	Dy. DA	Mandi	223358		94182 79481	
68.	Monika Malhotra	Dy. DA ( PWD)	Mandi	223913		94180 55501	
69.	Yash Pal Negi	Dy. DA( P Office)	Mandi	222470		94180 70490	
70.	JK Lakhanpal	DY.DA (FTC)	Mandi	223019		94180 12111	
71.	Udey Singh	ADA	Mandi	do		94181 89340	
72.	Kishan Singh	ADA	Mandi	do		94180 20719	
73.	Anuj Sharma	ADA	Mandi	do		94184 96388	
74.	Ajay Thakur	ADA	Mandi	do		01905 242509 94184 65533	
75.	Chanan Singh	ADA	Mandi	do		98163 22493	
76.	Shashi Kant	ADA	Karsog	0 1907 221219		94180 43515	
77.	NS Chauhan	ADA	J/nagar	0 1908 222003		94181 38112	
78.	Sohan Singh	ADA	S/Nagar	0 1907 266413		94181 15333	
79.	Jagdish Kumar	ADA	S/Nagar	do		94180 12639	94180235 09
80.	Vinod Bhardwaj	ADA	Sarkaghat	951905 230007		94180 94369	
81.	Umesh Mohan	ADA	Gohar	951907 250912		94181 82444	
DIST	RICT HAMIRPUR 0 1972	I.					
82.	Kashmir Singh Verma	DA	Hamirpur	222484		94180 59555	222485
83.	Sanjeev Katoch	Dy.DA FTC	Hamirpur	223810		94181 21680	
84.	G.C. Rana	Dy.DA SP office	Hamirpur	224358		94186 35509	
85.	D.S. Parmar	ADA	Hamirpur	222484		9816115611	
86.	Kapil Sharma	ADA	Hamirpur	do		94180 87300	

87.	Geetranjan Bhardwaj	ADA	Hamirpur	do		94180 28282	
88.	Sudhir Sharma	ADA	Hamirpur	do		94183 06255	
89.	Sharad Lagwal	A.DA	Barsar	0 1972 289564		94180 35457	
90.	Eklabya	ADA	Nadaun	0 1972 233845		98173 74759	
DIST	RICT UNA 0 1975			1			
91.	Ravinder Chaudhary	Distt. Attorney	Una	223446	223781 DC	94184 57100 94180 88804	226150
92.	RC Bakshi	Dy. DA SP office	Una	225056		98170 80839	
93.	A.K. Nadda	Dy. DA	Una	223446		94181 25101	
94.	Mohinder Chauhan	Dy .DA FTC	Una	224305		94180 85084	
95.	S.K. Bharwal	ADA	Una	223446		94183 07129	
96.	Kamal Kishore	ADA	Una	223446		98176 32718	
97.	Devender Kumar	ADA	Una	do		94180 68756	
98.	CS Bhatia	ADA	Amb	0 1976 260074		94181 51081	
99.	Prithvi Singh	ADA	Amb	do		94184 00065	
DIST	RICT KANGRA 951892			I	L	I	
100.	A.S. Rana	Joint Director	D/sala	223103	223323 DC		
101.	B.S. Pathania	District Attorney	D/sala	222895		94180 45262	203700
102.	AK Pattarwal	Dist. Attorney SV&ACB	D/Sala	224623		94184 84374	267017
103.	KK Sharma	DA .DA	D/sala	222895		98171 87224	
104.	Kuldeep Sen	Dy. DA	D/Sala	do		94180 83233	
105.	NS Verma	Dy. DA (FTC)	D/sala	223654		94180 45437	
106.	HS Dhiman	Dy .DA (Labour court)	D/sala	222895		94181 22395	
107.	BK Marwah	Dy .DA	D/sala	do		94181 57977	
108.	Kulbir Chauhan	Dy. DA SP Office	D/sala	222911		94180 44063	
109.	RS Sharma	Dy. DA SV&ACB	D/sala	-		94181 22395	
110.	R.K.Kaushal	Dy.DA( PWD)	D/sala	224948		94180 62405	
111.	Bhuvnesh Awasthi	ADA	D/sala	222895		94180 16474	
112.	Sandeep Kumar	ADA	D/Sala	222895		94180 39894	
113.	Navina Rahi	ADA	D/Sala	Do		94183 00827	
114.	Praveen Kumar	ADA	Baijnath	0 1894 263874		98162 36790	
115.	Luddar Mani	ADA	Dehra	0 1970 233152		94181 84724	
116.	Satpal Garg	ADA	Dehra	Do		94184 15600	
117.	Bisham J Badresh	ADA	Jawali	0 1893 262048		94181 22848	
118.	Ramdev Chaudhary	ADA	Kangra	0 1892 265191		94180 48219	
119.	Pankaj Dhiman	ADA	Kangra	Do		94180 39526	

120.	Ashok Dhiman	ADA	Mirmorra	0 1893 220139		94180 83399	
120.	AShok Dhiman	ADA	Nurpur	0 1693 220139		94 100 03399	
121.	Rajesh Kumar	ADA	Nurpur	Do		94184 62456	
122.	Rahul Chopra	ADA	Palampur	0 1894 230478		94180 23155	
123.	Sanjeev Rana	ADA	Palampur	Do		94181 21124	
124.	Vijay Kumar	ADA	Indora	0 1893 241758		94182 15002	
DIST	RICT CHAMBA 0 1899		1	<u> </u>		1	
125.	RD Sharma	DA	Chamba	222469	225371 DC	94182 23307	224788
126.	S.S. Pathania	Dy. DA	Chamba	222469		94180 60022	
127.	Naveen Kumar	ADA	Chamba	do		98163 16522	
128.	Bhuvnesh	ADA	Dalhausie	0 1899 242347		94182 88818	
DIST	RICT KULLU 0 1902						
129.	P.K. Hazri	DA	Kullu	222318	225396 DC	94184 82800	222353
130.	Mahesh Sen	Dy. DA (FTC)	Kullu	224757		94180 74002	
131.	NS Katoch	ADA SP Office	Kullu	224700		94182 85817	
132.	Ajit Thakur	Dy. DA	Kullu	222318		94184 82800	
133.	Navin Chander	ADA	L&S	0 1902 226722		94181 19753	
134.	Arvind Malhotra	ADA	Manali	0 1902 250200		94180 53297	
135.	Umesh Sharma	ADA	Anni	0 1904 253227		94181 82444	
DELF	HI 011	1	1	ı	I		
136.	Anil Sood	DA	H. Bhawan New, Delhi	011 23356776	23321072 M 23715087 RC	099711 56986	

#### **Chapter X**

## THE MONTHLY REMUNERATION RECEIVED BY EACH OF ITS OFFICERS AND EMPLOYEES INCLUDING THE SYSTEM OF COMPENSATION AS PROVIDED IN ITS REGULATIONSD.

Sr. no.	Category of post	Pay scale + other allowances.
1.	Director of Prosecution	14300-18600
2.	Joint Director Prosecution	13500-16800
3.	Dist. Attorneys	10025-15100
4.	Deputy Dist. Attorneys	7880-11660
5.	Asstt District Attorneys	7000-10980
6.	Superintendent Grade I	7220-11660
7.	Superintendent Grade II	6400-10640
8.	Personal Assistant	6400-10640
9.	Sr. Assistant	5800-9200
10.	Librarian	5000-8100
11.	Jr. S. Stenographer	4400-7000
12.	Steno Typist	3330-6200
13.	Drivers, Hqrs, Shimla	3330-6200
14.	Jr. Assistant	4400-7000
15.	Clerks	3220-5160
16.	Peons	2620-4140
17.	Chowkidar	2620-4140
18.	Sweeper	2620-4140

#### **Chapter XI**

## THE BUDGET ALLOCATED TO EACH OF ITS AGENCY, INDICATING THE PARTICULARS OF ALL PLANS, PROPOSED EXPENDITURES AND REPORTS ON DISBURSEMENTS MADE.

ALLOCATION OF FUNDS UNDER DEMAND NO. 31 UNDER MAJOR HEAD 2014-ADMINISTRATION OF JUSTICE, 796-TRIBAL AREA SUB PLAN, 03-EXPENDITURE ON OTHER LAW OFFICERS (NON PLAN) FOR THE YEAR **2009-10**.

Sr.	Name of DDO		SALARY	WAGES	TE	LIVERY	O.E.	MR	TTE
No.									
1.	District	Attorney,	12,79,000.00	22,000.00	14,000.00	5,000.00	8,000.00	10,000.00	3,000
	Kinnaur at Ra	ampur							
2.	Assistant		6,00,000.00	22,000.00	3,000.00	-	4,000.00	2,000.00	0
	District	Attorney,							
	Kinnaur at Ro	ekong Peo							
3.	Assistant	District	6,00,000.00	0	3,000.00	5,000.00	60,000.00	2,000.00	0
	Attorney, L&S at Kullu								
		TOTAL	24,79,000.00	44,000.00	20,000.00	10,000.00	72,000.00	14,000.00	3,000.00

ALLOCATION OF FUNDS UNDER MAJOR HEAD 2014-ADMINISTRATION OF JUSTICE, 114-LEGAL ADVISORS AND COUNSELS, 02-OTHER LAW OFFICERS(NON PLAN) FOR THE YEAR **2009-10**.

Sr. No.	Name of office	SALARY	WAGES	T.E.	LIVER Y	O.E.	Publ icati on	M.R.	RRT	Oth ers	PP & SS	M.V.	T.T.E.	Total
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
1.	Hqrs.	5412650	52500	23800	24750	302250	4950	26000	72500	450	340000	79050	27000	6365900
2.	DA Fts.	800000	25000	1000	0	20000	0	8000	0	0	0	0	0	854000
3.	DA, BLP	2000000	100000	10000	0	40000	0	14000	5000	0	0	0	0	2169000
4	DA Chamba	1700000	75000	10000	0	40000	0	5000	0	0	0	0	0	1830000
5	DA Kangra	3500000	110000	20000	0	155000	0	50000	10000	0	0	0	0	3845000
6	DA HMR	1800000	90000	12000	0	45000	0	10000	5000	0	0	0	0	1962000
7	DA Kullu	1500000	75000	10000	0	40000	0	5000	10000	0	0	0	0	1640000
8	DA Mandi	3000000	100000	18000	0	120000	0	22000	15000	0	0	0	0	3275000
9	DA Sirmour	1500000	35000	10000	0	30000	0	38400	0	0	0	0	0	1613400
10	DA RMP/Ani	500000	25000	5000	0	25000	0	5000	0	0	0	0	0	560000
11	DA Shimla	3000000	85000	18000	0	120000	0	25000	10000	0	0	0	0	3258000
12	DA Solan	2300000	75000	15000	0	60000	0	15000	40000	0	0	0	0	2505000
13	DA Una	2300000	75000	10000	0	40000	0	47000	35000	0	0	0	0	2507000
14	DA Delhi	800000	0	10000	0	45000	0	5000	0	0	346700	24000	0	1230700
	Grand Total:-	30112650	922500	172800	24750	1082250	4950	275400	202500	450	686700	103050	27000	33615000

DETAILS OF BUDGET SANCTIONED UNDER DEMAND NO. 3 & 31 FOR THE YEAR 2008-09. BUDGETARY PROVISIONS

#### DEMAND NO. 3.

STATEMENT SHOWING THE SANCTIONED BUDGET AND ACTUAL EXPENDITURE (EXCESS & SURRENDER) UNDER MAJOR HEAD 2014-ADMINISTRATION OF JUSTICE, 114-LEGAL ADVISORS AND COUNSELS, 02-OTHER LAW OFFICERS (NON PLAN) FOR THE YEAR 2008-09 PERTAINING TO THE PROSECUTION DEPARTMENT, HIMACHAL PRADESH.

Sr. No.	SOE	Sanctioned budget	Supplemen tary /additionally	Total	Actual expenditure Up-to 31.03.09	Excess (+)	Surrender (-)
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
1	SALARY	60833000	0	60833000	66456500	5623500	0
2	WAGES	2050000	0	2050000	1781064	0	268936
3	TE	384000	250000	634000	639450	5450	0
4	LIVERY	55000	400000	455000	352500	0	102500
5	OE	2405000	200000	2605000	2543947	0	61053
6	MR	612000	300000	912000	1167693	255693	0
7	RRT	450000	0	450000	239761	0	210239
8	PUBLI CATION	11000	80000	91000	70467	0	20533
9	PP & SS	1526000	0	1526000	1526000	0	0
10	OTHER Charges	1000	20000	21000	30000	0	18000
11	MV	229000	100000	329000	324801	0	4199
12	TTE	60000	0	60000	47139	0	12861
	Total	68616000	1350000	69966000	75179322	5884643	698321

#### **DEMAND NO. 31**

STATEMENT SHOWING THE SANCTIONED BUDGET AND ACTUAL EXPENDITURE (EXCESS & SURRENDER) UNDER MAJOR HEAD 2014-ADMINISTRATION OF JUSTICE,(796)-TRIBAL AREA SUB PLAN-03- EXPENDITURE ON OTHER LAW OFFICERS (Non Plan) FOR THE YEAR 2008-09 PERTAINING TO THE PROSECUTION DEPARTMENT, HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA.

Sr. No.	SOE	Sanctioned budget	Additionally/ Supplementa ry.	Total	Expen diture	Excess (+)	Surren der (-)
1.	2.	3	4	5	6	7	8
1.	SALARY	2254000	0	2254000	2291700	37700	0
2.	Wages	44000	30000	74000	78511	4511	0
3.	TE	20000	40000	60000	46820	0	13180
4.	Livery	10000	0	10000	5000	0	5000
5.	OE	72000	150000	222000	233422	11422	0
6.	MR	14000	55000	69000	30805	0	38195
7.	TTE	3000	5000	8000	6398	0	1602
	Total:-	2417000	280000	2697000	2692656	53633	57977

#### **Chapter XII**

## THE MANNER OF EXECUTION OF SUBSIDY PROGRAMMES, INCLUDING THE AMOUNTS ALLOCATED AND THE DETAILS OF BENEFICIARIES OF SUCH PROGRAMMES.

No such subsidy programme is undertaken by this Department.

	Chapter XIII
PARTICULA	ARS OF RECIPIENTS OF CONCESSIONS, PERMITS OR AUTHORISATIONS GRANTED BY IT.
Nil.	
	Chapter XIV
DETAII	S IN RESPECT OF THE INFORMATION, AVAILABLE TO OR HELD BY IT, REDUCED IN ANY ELECTRONIC FORM.
a) Aı	nnual Administrative Report.
	early Budget.

#### **Chapter XV**

THE PARTICULARS OF FACILITIES AVAILABLE TO CITIZENS FOR OBTAINING INFORMATION INCLUDING THE WORKING HOURS OF LIBRARY OR READING ROOM, IF MAINTAINED FOR PUBLIC USE.

The information is available on the official website of Home Department, Govt. of Himachal Pradesh	for
obtaining information under the Act.	

#### **Chapter XV**

## THE NAMES, DESIGNATIONS AND OTHER PARTICULARS OF THE PUBLIC INFORMATION OFFICERS.

NAME, DESIGNATION AND LIST OF THE **PUBLIC INFORMATION OFFICERS** OF PROSECUTION DEPARTMENT, HP As on 31.03.2009

Sr. No.	Name of the officer & designation	DISTRICT/ SUB DIVISION	Tel. Office				
1.	Shri H.S. Rana, Director of Prosecution	Appellate Authority in respect of the of Public Information Officers of the Prosecution Department, HP	0177 2623871 0177 2620147 94184 54479				
2.	Shri Jawahar Sharma, Joint Director of Prosecution-II	PIO Directorate of Prosecution, Hqrs, Shimla-9.	94180 19254				
3.	Sh. Deep Ram Sharma, Supdt. Grade I	APIO Directorate of Prosecution, Hqrs, Shimla-9.	2623882 9816464299				
	PUBLIC INFORMATION OFFICERS AT DISTRICT LEVEL						
4.	Sh.Sansar Chand.Ranot, Dist. Attorney	Bilaspur	0 1978 222597 94186 75200				
5.	Sh. Vinod Chaudhary, District Attorney	Mandi	0 1905 223358 94184 60442				
6.	Sh. Rajinder Sharma, Dist. Attorney	Nahan	0 1702 222507 94186 48935				
7.	Sh Ravinder, Kumar. Dist. Attorney	Una	01975 223446 94184 57100				
8.	Sh.RD Sharma, Dist. Attorney	Chamba	0 1899 222469 94182 23307				
9.	Sh.B, S. Pathania. Dist. Attorney	Dharamshala	0 1892 223103 94180 45262				
10.	Sh. C.B.Acharya, Dist. Attorney	Solan	0 1792 223829 94180 74522				
11.	Sh. P.R. Patial, District Attorney.	Shimla	0 177 2657031 94184 74425				
12.	Sh.P.K.Hazari, Dist. Attorney	Kullu	0 1902 222318 94184 82800				
13.	Sh. K.S. Verma, District Attorney	Hamirpur	0 1972 222484 94180 51555				
14.	Sh. M.S. Chauhan, District Attorney	Kinnaur at Rampur	0 1782 233145 94181 92495				
15.	Sh. Anil Sood, DA, HP LC New Delhi	District Attorney, Office, Himachal Bhawan, New Delhi	011 23356776 094180 75158				
16.	Sh. L.S. Negi, Distt. Attorney.	(Forest) Shimla	0177 265211 94180 38266				
	ASSISTANT PUBLIC INFORMATION						

	OFFICERS		
	AT SUB DIVISIONAL/DISTRICT LEVEL IN HP		
17.	Sh. Naveen Chander, ADA	Lahul & Spiti	0 1902 226722 94181 19753
18.	Sh. R.S. Parmar, ADA	Shimla	0177 2657031 94184 93999
19.	Sh. Suresh Hetta, ADA	Rohru	0 1781 240134 94186 44243
20.	Sh. S.D. Vasudeva, ADA	Theog	0 1783 238570 94180 21154
21.	Sh. Atma Ram, ADA	Jubbal	98163 29825
22.	Sh. Atma Ram till joining of ADA at Chopal	Chopal	0 1783 260588 98163 29825
23.	Sh. Bhagwan Singh, ADA till the joining of Dy. Distt. Attorney at Rampur.	Kinnaur at Rampur	0 1782 233145 98161 35798
24.	Sh. Digvijay Singh, ADA	Reckong Peo	0 51786 223702 94181 36967
25.	Sh. Parkash Chand Thakur, Dy.DA	Solan	0 1792 223829 94184 22108
26.	Sh. Kapil Mohan Goutam, ADA	Kasauli	0 1792 272809 94184 56715
27.	Sh. Reena Chauhan, ADA	Kandaghat	0 1792 256157 94180 22498
28.	Sh. Kulbhushan Goutam, ADA	Arki	0 1796 220717 94180 57440
29.	Sh. Sandeep Attri, ADA	Nalagarh	0 1795 223239 94181 68163
30.	Sh. Sanjay Pandit , ADA	Nahan	0 1702 222507 94180 86259
31.	Sh. M.K. Sharma, ADA	Rajgarh	0 1799 221205 94180 57809
32.	Sh. Umesh Mohan,ADA	Paonta	0 1704 222149 94184 64929
33.	Ms. Champa Devi, ADA	Bilaspur	0 1978 222597 94184 72837
34.	Sh. Jitender Kumar, ADA.	Ghumarwin	0 1978 255251 94184 83837
35.	Sh. V.K. Sharma, Dy.DA	Mandi	0 1905 223358 94182 79481
36.	Sh. Sohan Singh, ADA	Sundernagar	0 1907 266413 94181 15333
37.	Sh. Shashi Kant, ADA	Karsog	0 1907 221219 94180 43515
38.	Sh. NS Chauhan, ADA	Joginder Nagar	0 1908 222003 94181 38112
39.	Sh. Vinod Bhardwaj, ADA	Sarkaghat	0 1905 230007 94180 94369
40.	Sh. Umesh Sharma, ADA	Gohar	0 1907 250912 94181 82444
41.	Sh. DS Parmar, ADA	Hamirpur	01972 222484 98161 15611
42.	Sh. Sharad Lagwal, ADA	Barsar	0 1972 289564 94180 35457
43.	Sh. Eklabya, ADA	Nadaun	0 1972 232626 98173 74759
44.	Sh. SK Bharwal, ADA	Una	0 1975 223446

			94183 07129
45.	Sh. C.S.Bhatia, ADA	Amb	0 1976 260074
	·		94180 68756
46.	Sh. Sandeep Kumar, ADA	Kangra at Dharamshala	0 1892 222895
	•		94180 39894
47.	Sh. Praveen Kumar, ADA	Baijnath	0 1894 263874
			98162 36790
48.	Sh. Satpal Garg, ADA	Dehra	0 1970 223152
			94184 15600
49.	Sh. Bhishm Badresh Jawal, ADA	Jawali	0 1893 262048
			94181 22848
50.	Sh. Rahul Chopra, ADA	Palampur	0 1893 220139
	•	•	94180 23155
51.	Sh. Ashok Kumar ADA,	Nurpur	0 1894 230478
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	94180 83399
52.	Sh. R.D. Chaudhary, ADA	Kangra	0 1892 265191
			94180 48219
53.	Sh. Vijay Kumar, ADA	Indora	01893 241752
			94182 15002
54.	Sh. S.S. Pathania, Dy.DA	Chamba	0 1899 222469
			94180 60022
55.	Sh. Bhuvnesh, ADA	Dalhausie	0 1899 242347
			94182 88818
56.	Sh. Mahesh Sen, Dy.DA	Kullu	0 1902 226722
			94180 74002
57.	Sh. Arvind Malhotra, ADA	Manali	0 1902 250200
			94180 53297
58.	Sh. Bhagwan Singh, ADA.	Anni	0 1904 253227
			98161 35798

#### **Chapter XVII**

#### **OTHER INFORMATION**

#### (i) DETAIL OF SANCTIONED STRENGTH OF DIRECTORATE OF PROSECUTION

		Demand No.3 (Non-Plan	n)		
Sr. No.	Post	Sanctioned post	Filled up	Vacant	Remarks.
1.	2.				6.
1	Director (Prosecution)	1	1	0	
2	Joint Director of Prosecution	3	3	0	
3	District. Attorneys	14	14	0	
4	Deputy Distt. Attorneys	23	20	3	
5	Asstt. District .Attorneys	86	76	10	
6	Superintendent, Grade-I	1	1	0	
7	Superintendent, Grade-II	1	1	0	
8	Personal Assistant	1	1	0	
9	Senior Assistants	17	16	1	
10	Librarian	1	0	1	
11	Junior Scale Stenographer	13	12	1	
12	Steno Typist	3	2	1	
13	Clerks	81	66	15	
14	Drivers	3	2	1	
15	Peons	74	68	6	
16	Chowkidars	3	3	0	
17	Sweeper	1	1	0	
	Total	326	287	39	

Demand No.3 (Plan)						
1	Deputy District Attorney	9	9	0		
2	Clerks	9	7	2		
3	Peons	9	8	1		
4	Total	27	24	3		

	Demand No.31 (NON PLAN)					
1	Distt. Attorneys	1	1	-		
2	Deputy .Distt. Attorneys	1	0	1		
3	Asstt .Distt. Attorneys	2	1	1		
4	Senior Assistants	1	1	-		
5	Junior Scale Stenographer	1	1	-		
6	Clerks	3	2	1		
7	Peons	3	3	-		
8	Chowkidar	1	1	-		
	Total	13	10	3		

#### Detail of sanctioned strength of daily wages staff/Part time worker (Demand No. 3)

1	Peon (Daily wage)	4	2	2	
2	Sweeper(Daily wage)	6	6	-	
	Total	10	8	2	

Total 326+27+13+10 = 376

## LAW OFFICER OF PROSECUTION DEPARTMENT WHO ARE WORKING IN OTHER DEPARTMENT

## (A) Officers on secondment basis :

	1. STATE VIGILANCE & ANTICURRPTION BUREOU, H.P. SHIMLA.
1.	Sh. Kashmir Singh Thakur, Joint Director of Prosecution, Shimla.
2.	Sh. N.K. Sharma, Joint Director of Prosecution, Shimla.
3.	Sh. A.K. Pattarwal, Distt. Attorney, North Zone, Dharamshala.
4.	Sh. J.S. Rana, Distt. Attorney, South Zone, Shimla.
5.	Sh. R.L. Bhardwaj, District Attorney(Vigilance), Hqrs, Shimla-2.
6.	Sh. R.L. Saini, District Attorney, Central Zone, Mandi.
7.	Sh. Harvinder Singh, Deputy District Attorney, South Zone, Shimla.
8.	Sh. R.S. Sharma, Dy. Distt. Attorney, D/shala.
9.	Sh. Jagdish Chandel, Deputy District Attorney, Central Zone, Mandi.
10.	Sh. Prakash Thakur, Asstt. Distt. Attorney, Vigilance Hqrs Shimla.
	2. DIRECTORATE OF EDUCATION
11.	Sh. M.R. Sharma, Distt. Attorney (Secondary Education)
12.	Sh. Ram Lal, Distt. Attorney (Elementary Education)
	3. POLICE TRAINING COLLEGE, DAROH (PALAMPUR)
13.	Sh. Kushal Singh, Joint Director of Prosecution.
14.	Sh. Raghibir Singh, Distt. Attorney
15.	Sh. Ashok Kumar Sugyan, Dy. Distt. Attorney
	4. HEALTH & FAMILY WELFARE DEPARTMENT, HP, SHIMLA-9
16.	Sh. Purender Sharma, Dy. Distt. Attorney.
	5. RURAL DEVELOPMENT AND PANCHAYATI RAJ, SHIMLA-9:
17.	Smt. Gulab Negi, Asstt. Distt. Attorney
	6. EXCISE AND TAXATION DEPARTMENT:
18.	Sh. Vinod K Behl, Distt. Attorney.
	7. HP PUBLIC WORKS DEPRTMENT:
19.	Vacant, Dy. Distt. Attorney, Shimla.
20.	Sh. R.K. Kaushal, Dy. Distt. Attorney, PWD NZ, D/Shala.
21.	Smt. Monika Malhotra, Dy. Distt. Attorney, PWD Mandi.
	8. TOWN AND COUNTRY PLANNING DEPARTMENT
22.	Smt Mukta Kashyup, Asstt. District Attorney.
	9. HP PRISON DEPARTMENT, SHIMLA.
23.	Sh. Chander Mohan, Asstt. District Attorney,
	10. POLICE HEADQUARTER:
24.	Sh. R.P. Raina, Joint Director of Prosecution, Police Hqrs

	11. INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL -CUM- LABOUR COURT, DHARAMSHALA.
25.	Sh. Jagdish Kanwar, Deputy Distt. Attorney, Shimla.
26.	Sh. Hardayal Singh Dhiman, Deputy District Attorney, Dharamshala.
	12. BEAS BHAKRA MANAGEMENT BOARD, NANGAL.
27.	12. BEAS BHAKRA MANAGEMENT BOARD, NANGAL. Sh. Tara Chand, District Attorney.
27.	

#### (B) VACANT POSTS

At the end of the year 2007-08, 55 posts under different categories were vacant, wherein 2 posts of District Attorneys, 4 posts of Deputy District Attorneys, 16 posts of Assistant District Attorneys, 1 post of Senior Assistant, 4 posts of Junior Scale Stenographer, 3 posts of Steno typists, one post of Librarian, 16 posts of Clerks (14 regular & 2 contract basis) and 8 posts of Peons (5 regular, 1 contract & 2 daily wages) were lying vacant.

Out of aforesaid vacant posts during the year 2008-09, 2 post of District Attorneys, 4 posts of Assistant District Attorneys, 3 posts of Clerks, 3 posts of Junior Scale Stenographers, 2 posts of Steno typists, 11 posts of peons and 1 post of Chowkidar have been filled up. At the end of the year 2008-09 following posts are lying vacant:

Sr.	Post	Number of posts
No.		
1	District Attorney	0
2	Deputy District Attorney	4
3	Assistant District Attorney	11
4	Senior Assistants.	1
5	Junior Scale Stenographer	1
6	Steno-Typist	1
7	Librarian	1
8	Driver	1
8	Clerks (Regular)	16
9	Clerks(Contract basis)	2
10	Peon(Regular 6, Contract 1 & daily	9
	waged 2)	
	Total	47

The posts of Librarian, Junior Scale Stenographers, Steno-typist and Clerks are to be filled-up by direct recruitment as per the Govt. instructions. These posts cannot be filled without the prior approval of the Finance Department, which is still awaited. However, requisition has been sent to the H.P. Subordinate Services Selection Board, Hamirpur, for filling up the posts of Steno typist and Clerks, but so far no name has been sponsored.

#### (C) JUDICIAL WORK

#### 1. <u>CRIMINAL CASES:</u>

During the year 2008-09 in the beginning as on 1.4.2008 there were 38014 cases under Indian Penal Code and Special/other laws in various courts in the State of H.P., were pending. 14234 new cases were instituted during the year 2008-09 and in 2986 cases accused were convicted and in 7774 cases accused were acquitted. 306 Cases were withdrawn. In 224 cases proceedings have been abated /stopped due to the death of the accused persons and declaration of accused as proclaimed offender. 2350 Cases were transferred to different courts. 38 Cases were discharged and 1174 cases were compromised & compounded. Hence, at the end of the year 2008-09 as on 31.3.2009, 37073 cases were pending in the different courts. The rate of conviction during the year 2008-09 is 35%., which is quite satisfactory. Detailed statement of criminal cases is given in annexure A-I.

#### (i) Murder cases:

During the year 2008-09 in the beginning as on 1.4.2008 there were 168 murder cases under Indian Penal Code in various courts in the State of H.P., were pending. 163 new cases were instituted during the year 2008-09 and in 51 cases accused were convicted and in 37 cases accused were acquitted. One Case was withdrawn. In one case proceedings have been abated /stopped. 62 Cases were transferred to different courts. One Case was discharged. Hence, at the end of the year 2008-09 as on 31.3.2009, 177 cases were pending in the different courts. The rate of conviction during the year 2008-09 is 58%., which is quite satisfactory.

#### (ii) Rape cases:

During the year **2008-09** in the beginning as on **1.4.2008** there were **281 rape** cases under Indian Penal Code in various courts in the State of H.P., were pending. **244 new cases** were instituted during the year **2008-09** and in **35** cases accused were convicted and in **110** cases accused were acquitted. 83 Cases were transferred to different courts. In 2 cases accused were declared as proclaimed offender. Hence, at the end of the year **2008-09** as on **31.3.2009**, **295 cases** were pending in the different courts. The rate of conviction during the year **2008-09** is **24%**.

#### (iii) N.D.P.S. Cases:

During the year 2008-09 in the beginning there were 480 NDPS Act cases in various courts in the State of H.P., were pending. 451 new cases were instituted during the year 2008-09 and in 97 cases accused were convicted and in 146 cases accused were acquitted. 23 Cases were transferred to different courts. Hence, at the end of the year 2008-09 as on 31.3.2009, 560 cases were pending in the different courts. The rate of conviction during the year 2008-09 is 40%.

#### (iv) Forest cases:

During the year 2008-09 in the beginning as on 1.4.2008 there were 814 Forest cases under Forest Act in various courts in the State of H.P., were pending. 208 new cases were instituted during the year 2008-09 and in 16 cases accused were convicted and in 138 cases accused were acquitted. 47 Cases were transferred to different courts. Hence, at the end of the year 2008-09 as on 31.3.2009, 815 cases were pending in the different courts. The rate of conviction during the year 2008-09 is 10%.

#### (v) Excise Cases:

During the year 2008-09 in the beginning as on 1.4.2008 there were 7485 cases under Excise Act in various courts in the State of H.P., were pending. 2171 new cases were instituted during the year 2008-09 and in 334 cases accused were convicted and in 2234 cases accused were acquitted. 132 Cases were transferred to different courts. 278 cases were compounded/compromised. An amount of Rs. 15, 41,100/- of fine has been imposed thereon during the period under

**reference.** Hence, at the end of the year **2008-09** as on **31.3.2009**, **6604 cases** were pending in the different courts. The rate of conviction during the year **2008-09** is **13%**.

#### 2. <u>DETAIL RELATING TO CRIMINAL APPEALS/REVISIONS</u>:

During the year, 2008-09 in the beginning there were 1316 Criminal appeals/revision pending in different courts of the State. 1069 Criminal Appeals/revisions were instituted during the year 2008-09. 335 Cr. Appeals/revisions were decided against the State and in 371 Cr. Appeals/revisions convictions of the accused persons were maintained and as such they were decided in favour of the State. 163 Cases of appeals/revisions were transferred to other courts. 24 Cases were compromised and as such percentage of success to the State during the year 2008-09 is 53%. Thus the number of appeals/revisions at the end of the year 2008-09 is 1481 which is quite good. Detailed statement of Criminal Appeals/revisions is attached as Annex.-A 2.

#### 3. **JUDICIAL WORK OF CIVIL CASES**

During the year, 2008-09 in the beginning as on 1.4.2008, there were 3900 civil cases pending in various courts of the State 1765 cases were instituted during the year, 2008-09, 933 cases were decided in favour of the State. 356 cases were decided against the State and 32 cases were compromised. 45 Cases were withdrawn from the courts. 180 cases were transferred to other courts. Hence, at the close of the year as on 31.3.2009 number of cases pending is 4119. The percentage of success in civil cases during the year under reference is 73 % which is quite encouraging. The detailed statement of civil cases during the year under reference is given in Annexure A-3.

#### 4. HP LEGAL CELL, NEW DELHI (SUPREME COURT CASES)

#### (a) <u>CIVIL MATTERS</u>:

There were 270 cases pending in the beginning of the year, 2008-09. 152 civil cases were instituted during the year 2007-08. 19 cases were decided in favour of the State and 8 cases were decided against the State. Hence at the end of the year 2008-09, 395 cases remained pending. The percentage of success in favour of the State comes to 70%, which is quite satisfactory.

#### (b) <u>CRIMINAL & OTHER MATTERS:</u>

In beginning of the year 2008-09, 114 cases were pending in the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India and 144 cases were presented in the year 2008-09. 17 cases were decided in favour of State and 28 cases were decided against the State. Hence, 213 cases remained pending at the end of the year 2008-09. The success rate comes to 38%.

#### 5. PROCEEDINGS UNDER PREVENTIVE SECTIONS OF CR.P.C.

At the beginning of the year 2008-09, 3758 cases under the preventive sections of Cr. PC were pending. 3305 cases were instituted during the year 2008-09 in the courts of different Executive Magistrates. In 56 cases persons were bounded down. 2850 cases were discharged, 33 cases were transferred and 119 cases were compromised. Hence, at the end of the year 2008-09, 4005 cases were pending in different preventive sections of Cr. PC. Detailed Statement of security cases is attached as Annexure A-4. Proceedings under sections 107/109/145 Cr. PC were instituted directly by the Police before the Executive Magistrates as preventive measure to maintain the law and order.

#### 6. REVENUE CASES:-

At the beginning of the year 2008-09 (1.4.2008), 519 cases were pending. 136 new cases were instituted during the year, 2008-09. 101 cases were decided in favour of the State and 7 cases were decided against the State. 70 cases were transferred/ remand back. Hence, at the end of year 2008-09 (31.03.2009), 453 cases were remained pending. The success rate in favour of the State comes to 94%.

#### 7. FOREST CASES AT SHIMLA

At the beginning of the year, **2008-09**, **1** case was pending before the Special Judge (Forest) Shimla. No case was instituted during the year. **One** case was acquitted. Hence, **no** case was pending at the close of the year **2008-09**.

#### 8. (i) <u>Labour Court, Shimla.</u>

At the beginning of the year, 2008-09, 184 cases were pending before Industrial Tribunal- cum- Labour court, Shimla. 42 cases were instituted during the year 2008-09. 39 cases were decided in favour of the state and 37 cases were decided against the state of H.P. 1 case was transferred. Hence, 149 cases were remained pending at the end of the year 2008-09. The success rate comes to 51%.

#### 8. (ii) <u>Labour Court, Kangra at Dharamshala</u>.

There were 975 cases pending at the beginning of the year. 197 new cases were instituted. 63 cases were decided in favour of the State and 48 cases were decided against the State. One case was withdrawn. 1069 cases were remained pending. The success rate comes to 57%.

#### 9. <u>Consumer Court Cases.</u>

At the beginning of the year 2008-09, **67** cases were pending before District Consumer Forum and H.P. State Consumer Redressal Commission, Shimla. **32** new cases were instituted during the year 2008-09. **37** cases were decided in favour of the State and **3** cases were decided against the State, **5** cases were compromised and **2** cases remained back. Hence, **52** cases were remained pending at the end of the year 2008-09. The success rate comes to **93**% in favour of the State.

#### (D) OTHER WORK

#### 1. TRAINING PROGRAMME:

In addition to this, various courses on financial administration, service matters, Court procedure on Cr.P.C., revenue laws, Course on human rights, Good Governance and E-mail/internet, Protection of Consumer Rights Act, Disaster Management, Judicial Colloquium on PC & PNDT Act were attended by the Officers of this department at HIPA, Palampur and course on Scientific Investigation at Junga. During the year many law officers were also sent for refresher courses on criminal law in the Institute of Criminology and Forensic Science at New Delhi.

#### 2. PUBLIC ACCOUNTS COMMITTEE/AUDIT PARAS/INSPECTIONS

During the opening of the financial year 2008-09 w.e.f. 1.4.2008 there was no Para pending with Public Accounts Committee pertaining to this Department and also during this financial year there is no PAC para pending at the end as on 31.03.2009. At the end of the year 2007-08 there were 17 reports and 31 paras/ Test Audit Notes were pending for settlement/ compliance. During the year 2008-09, 6 reports & 13 paras / test audit notes have been settled. Hence, at the end of the year 2008-09 only 11 reports and 18 paras / Test Audit Notes remained pending for settlement. During the year 2008-09 steps were taken by the department for the settlement of the paras stated in the audit reports.

#### 3. **REGARDING RULES AND REGULATIONS:**

The recruitment and promotion rules for all the categories of employees have been finalised.

#### 4. MEETING OF DEAPRTMENTAL PROMOTION COMMITTEE:

During the year **2008-09**, **6** meetings of Departmental Promotion Committee were held i.e. **5** for giving benefits under Assured Career Progression Scheme to eligible Class III/IV employees and one for special increment granted after completion of 20 years regular service as driver.

#### 5. **SENIORITY LISTS**:

This department has released the seniority lists of all the Class III and IV employees.

#### 6. CONFIDENTIAL REPORTS:

The confidential reports for the year 2007-08 of Class III employees of this department have been completed.

#### 7. USE OF HINDI

Almost all the work of this department except legal work is being done in Hindi. However, some legal work was also done in **Hindi**.

#### 8. BUDGET

The detail of the amount, which is received from the Government on different sub Heads for the year **2008-09** under demand No. 3 and 31 is attached as Annexure **A-5** for perusal. Under this department, except Directorate other 39 offices are included under demand No. 3 and 31 offices fall under the demand No. 31.

During the year 2007-08 under the sub head Office Expenses less amount was allotted and the amount allotted was not sufficient to cope up with the pending bills of this Directorate. It is, therefore necessary that sufficient funds be provided under the above mentioned sub head for the smooth functioning of this Directorate.

#### 9. DIFFICULTIES:

This department is not having sufficient ministerial staff to cope up with the day to day work. At the Head quarter level only one Sr. Asstt. 2 Clerks, one Junior Scale Stenographer, 2 peons are posted with the Distt. Attorneys, whereas at Dharamshala, Chamba, Hamirpur, Una, Bilaspur, Solan, Nahan, Shimla, Kullu and Mandi, the number of Law Officers are more than 5 and 6 and require more supporting staff. Thus the Law Officers are facing great hardship and work of the department is also suffering. The private advocates always have sufficient staff to assist them, but as compared to the private advocates, the law officers of this department have no sufficient manpower to assist them. In this department one post of Junior Scale Stenographer had been sanctioned for each district head quarter level. However, at the sub divisional level, there is no post of stenographer and as such the work of this department is also suffering at sub divisional level in the absence of Stenos. The post of Steno is very much essential for the smooth and effective functioning of the offices at the sub divisional level.

It is, therefore, necessary that for the proper functioning of the work of this department, one post of clerk with each law officer at District Level and one post of Steno at each Sub Divisional level should be sanctioned.

At the District HQ level the District Attorney is the office-in-charge. He looks after the work of establishment/financial matters etc. In addition to legal works and mostly remains busy in the courts. Hence, the post of Superintendent Grade II is very essential for the smooth working of office of District Attorneys at the District Level. At the District level, law officers have been attached with the judicial courts and they remained busy in the judicial work for whole day and as such the cases before the Revenue courts/Executive Magistrate are not attended to. It is essential that in each district HQ and sub divisional level additional post of Asstt. District Attorney is created so that work may not suffer. This department has taken steps for the creation of above posts in the past, many times with the Government and is still under consideration with the Govt.

In addition to this, it is pointed out that presently law officers/officials at the District level/sub divisional level have not been provided suitable official/residential accommodation. This matter also requires urgent attention.

#### 10. ACHIEVEMENTS OF THE DEPARTMENT

(i) This Department has provided computers at Distt. Hqrs. and Sub Divisional Level which will help in monitoring of court cases and will increase the efficiency of Law Officers as the computers has become most indispensable accessory in the modern office working.

#### (ii) Special Achievement:-

During the period under report an amount of Rs. 56, 00,000/-have been allotted for the construction of office/ residential accommodation for the following offices:-

Sr. No.	Name of the office	Funds deposited with	Remarks.
		PWD authorities.	
1	Office accommodation D.A. Solan.	10,00,000.00	Foundation stone laid on
			12.1.2009.
2	Office accommodation of A.D.A. Arki.	7,76,300.00	
3	Office accommodation of D.A. Bilaspur.	10,00,000.00	
4	Residential accommodation of DA and staff	5,00,000.00	
	at Bilaspur.		
5	Office accommodation of D.A. Shimla.	1,00,000.00	
6	Office accommodation of A.D.A. Theog.	6,00,000.00	
7	Office accommodation of A.D.A. Anni.	5,03,200.00	
8	Residential accommodation of D.A. Kangra	7,20,500.00	
	at Dharamshala.		
9	Residential accommodation of D.A. & staff	4,00,000.00	
	at Chamba.		
	Total:-	56,00,000.00	

#### 11. PROSPECTIVE PLAN OF THE DEPARTMENT.

The Department has provided Law Officers in the office of Superintendent of Police to tender legal advice at the stage of investigation which will improve the quality of investigation and increase the rate of conviction in criminal cases.

In order to protect the state interest in revenue cases pending before the revenue courts, the Department has also sent a proposal to the Govt. to provide Law Officers exclusively for these courts which will facilitate the early disposal of revenue cases and the property of the state worth crores of rupees will be protected. of. Efforts are afoot to increase the rate of conviction by applying latest technology i.e. Forensic science etc., in the prosecution of criminal cases.

#### 12. INSPECTION:

Periodic inspections of the offices of District Prosecution agency and sub divisional level prosecution agency are carried out by the Director of Prosecution & Joint Director of Prosecution. The heads of the District Prosecution Agency have been instructed to inspect the office of Prosecution agencies in the Sub Division level within their jurisdiction.

#### 13. RIGHT TO INFORMATION ACT.

During the year 2008-09, 15 applications under the Right to Information Act have been received in the department, and were disposed off well in time.

#### 14. CONVICTION RATE

#### a. IN TRIAL COURTS:

Conviction rate in criminal cases conducted before different Trial Courts in H.P. w.e.f. 1.4.2008 to 31.3.2009 figured at 35%. For the corresponding period during last preceding year it was at 24 %. Therefore, there is marked improvement in conviction rate and further steps are being taken to enhance the same by issuing directions to the prosecutors of this Directorate to increase their performance and efficiency accordingly.

#### b. IN APPELLATE COURTS

In criminal appeals/revisions preferred/instituted by the State of H.P. before the different appellate Courts of Ld. Sessions Judge/Additional Sessions Judge/Fast Track Courts respectively against the judgment of acquittals/orders passed by the Judicial Magistrates, the success rate of acceptance of appeal/revision in favour of State of H.P., comes to 53 % which is quite encouraging and shows the effective performance by the Prosecution agency.

#### 15. GENERAL

On the whole, the work of the prosecution agency in the State during the year **2008-09** has been found satisfactory. Efforts are being made to streamline the functioning of the Prosecution Department with a view to achieve a higher level of conviction rate so that HP becomes number one State in the field.

Director of Prosecution, Himachal Pradesh.

### STATEMENT OF CRIMINAL CASES W.E.F. 1.4.2008 TO 31.03.2009 SHOWING RATE OF CONVICTION & PERFORMANCE OF LAW OFFICERS

Sr No	Name of the court	Name of the Law Officer	No. of cases pending at the beginning of year. (1.4.2008)	Instituted during the year 2008-09.	Convicted during the year.	Acquitted during the year.	Dischar ged during the year	Compro mised / compou nded during year.	Transfe rred during the year.	Proceedi ngs dropped	withdra wn during the year	Proclaimed offender.	Others.	Total disposal during the year.	Cases pending at the close of year.	Rate of convicti on. (2008-09).
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17
1.	DISTRICT I	BILASPUR HP														
1.	Session Judge	S.C. Ranout, DA	61	43	2	18	1	0	17	1	2	0	2	43	61	10 %
2.	CJM BLP	Champa Devi, ADA	1370	327	27	166	0	21	5	2	11	0	6	238	1459	22%
3.	JMIC BLP	Joginder Singh, ADA	1476	162	12	56	1	4	10	7	19	0	0	109	1529	22%
4.	ACJM Gwin	Jitender Kumar, ADA	1135	173	18	91	0	32	11	11	8	0	0	171	1137	13%
5.	JMIC Gwin	Anil Kumar, ADA	460	77	19	132	0	31	6	2	9	0	0	199	338	27%
6.	ASJ FTC Ghumarwin	R.K. Bharwal, DDA	36	14	5	2	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	9	41	71%
	Total		4538	796	83	465	2	88	50	23	50	0	8	769	4565	27%
2.	DISTRICT (	CHAMBA HP					.ā		.i				und mannananananananana	, , , <del>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </del>	.i	
1.	Session Judge.	RD Sharma, DA	52	41	14	25	0	0	34	0	1	0	0	74	19	36%
2.	CJM Chamba	Naveen Kumar ADA	801	415	77	102	2	38	5	0	1	4	11	240	976	53%
3.	JMIC Chamba	Kamal Kishore, ADA	650	175	24	87	0	17	7	2	2	4	17	160	665	32%
4.	SDJM Dalhouise.	Bhuvesh, ADA	926	311	190	222	1	9	5	12	8	14	10	471	766	47%
5.	FTC	S.S. Pathania, DDA	0	60	13	23	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	38	22	36%
	Total		2429	1002	318	459	3	64	51	15	12	23	38	983	2448	45%

3.	DISTRICT	HAMIRPUR														
1.	Sessions Judge	K.S. Verma, DA	13	32	11	19	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	37	8	37%
2,	FTC	Sanjeev Katoch, DDA	18	7	5	7	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	16	9	42%
3.	СЈМ	D.S. Parmar, ADA	300	183	34	175	0	41	14	5	6	0	0	275	208	30%
4.	JMIC I	Sudhir Sharma, ADA	217	59	16	63	0	12	2	6	6	0	0	105	171	31%
5.	JMIC II	K.D. Sharma, ADA	205	128	11	56	0	11	4	4	2	0	0	88	245	28%
6.	JMIC III	Geetranjan, ADA	273	67	11	90	0	15	4	4	5	0	0	129	211	22%
7.	JMIC Badsar	Shard Lagwal, ADA	130	124	45	41	0	20	6	1	1	0	0	114	140	61%
8.	JMIC Nadaun	Eklabya, ADA	453	115	19	97	0	19	5	3	5	0	0	148	420	28%
	Total		1609	715	152	548	0	118	46	23	25	0	0	912	1412	33%
4.	DISTRICT	KANGRA AT DHARAM	ISHALA		.i	.1					<b></b>		· k	. <del>I</del>	.ł	<b></b>
1.	Sessions Judge	B.S. Pathania, DA	55	97	7	15	0	0	61	0	1	0	0	84	68	32%
2.	ASJ- I	KK Sharma DDA	33	27	8	6	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	17	43	57%
3.	ASJ- II	BK Marwah DDA	59	18	2	19	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	23	54	10%
4.	ASJ-FTC	N.S. Verma, DDA.	50	3	1	6	0	0	10	0	0	0	0	17	36	14%
5.	СЈМ	B. Awasthi, ADA,	199	160	59	39	0	4	6	3	1	1	3	116	243	62%
6.	JMIC I	Navina Rahi, ADA (Vacant)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
7.	JMIC II	S. Agnihotri, ADA	233	159	30	46	0	6	7	0	1	0	6	96	296	44%
8.	ACJM Palampur	Sanjeev Rana, ADA	508	179	122	244	0	28	0	11	11	6	5	427	260	38%
9.	JMIC	Rahul Chopra,	319	68	34	80	0	10	0	3	5	3	6	141	246	36%

	Palampur	ADA.			ennamananananananananananananananananana							***************************************				
10.	ACJM	Ashok Kumar,	741	216	28	148	0	21	0	7	7	0	5	216	741	25%
	Nurpur	ADA														
11.	JMIC	Rajesh Verma,	874	52	9	141	0	14	0	8	7	0	2	181	745	14%
	Nurpur	ADA														
12.	ACJM	Satpal Garg,	447	164	54	128	0	17	5	2	4	2	0	212	399	36%
	Dehra	ADA														
13.	JMIC	L.M. Sharma,	428	196	84	75	0	21	3	2	3	1	0	189	435	58%
1.4	Dehra	ADA	7.00	100	20	1.40		26			ļ		ļ	225	705	210
14.	ACJM	R.D. Chaudhary, ADA	768	192	39	140	0	26	7	6	5	0	2	225	735	31%
15.	Kangra JMIC	Pankaj Dhiwan,	774	171	39	93	0	18	6	2	0	0	1	159	786	38%
15.	Kangra	ADA	//4	1/1	39	93	U	18	0	2	U	0	1	139	/80	38%
16.	ACJM	Praveen	116	85	53	23	0	18	1	0	7	0	8	110	91	76%
10.	Baijnath	1	110	05		23	U	10	1		,	U		110	71	7070
17	l	Kumar, ADA	007	106	2.1	150		10	2	10				205	707	210
17.	ACJM Jawali	Bhishm Jwal, ADA	806	196	31	150	0	10	2	12	0	0	0	205	797	21%
18.	Jawan	Vijay K. Rehalia,	1534	217	10	421	0	10	4	20	4	0	13	482	1269	5%
10.	Indora	ADA	1334	217	10	421	U	10	4	20	4	U	13	402	1209	370
	Total	110/1	7944	2200	610	1774	1	203	116	76	56	13	51	2900	7244	31%
			,,,,,		020		-		110					_> 00		
5.	DISTRICT	KINNAUR			i											
1.	Session judge	M.S.Chauhan, Distt. Attorney	158	69	17	30	0	0	46	0	1	0	0	94	133	36%
2.	CJM KNR	D.S. Rana, Asstt.	334	199	7	166	0	80	7	3	27	6	0	296	237	34%
	at Peo	Distt. Attorney														
	Total		492	268	24	196	0	80	53	3	28	6	0	390	370	35%
6.	DISTRICT	KULLU			<u>I</u>			.1	.1			I		.L	.1	
1.	Session Judge	P.K. Hazri, DA	56	89	12	28	0	0	19	1	0	0	0	60	85	30%
2.	FTC	Mahesh Sen, DDA	57	20	15	30	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	47	30	33%
3.	CJM Kullu	Ajit Thakur, DDA	559	259	57	96	1	16	9	4	0	0	1	184	634	33%
4.	ACJM	Naveen Chander, DDA	386	204	35	112	0	12	3	5	3	0	0	170	420	30%

5.	JMIC Manali	Arvind Malhotra ADA	103	142	74	44	1	9	2	2	3	0	0	135	110	65%
6.	JMIC Karsog at Ani	Shashi Kant Sharma, AD A	290	180	31	16	0	6	11	2	0	0	0	66	404	70%
	Total		1451	894	224	326	2	43	45	15	6	0	1	662	1683	45%
7.	DISTRICT I	LAHOUL & SPITI AT	KULLU			I		.i	<u> </u>	i	<u>i</u>			<u>I</u>		
1.	CJM L & S at Keylong.	Naveen Chander, DDA	119	26	29	13	01	18	0	1	0	0	0	62	83	78%
8.	DISTRICT N	MANDI								-	-					
1.	Sessions Judge.	V.K. Chaudhary, DA	97	149	8	20	0	0	83	1	0	0	0	112	134	29%
2.	ASJ	VK Sharma, DDA	106	46	4	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	22	130	18%
3.	FTC	J.K. Lakhanpal, DDA	70	53	7	37	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	46	77	16%
4.	CJM Mandi	Uday Thakur, ADA	395	182	27	96	0	11	17	1	0	1	0	153	424	28%
5.	JMIC I	K.S. Verma, ADA	454	132	20	81	0	5	47	0	0	1	0	154	432	24%
6.	JMIC II	Anuj Sharma, ADA	462	180	29	103	0	10	52	2	1	0	0	197	445	27%
7.	JMIC III	Ajay Thakur, ADA	523	144	23	74	0	12	27	2	0	5	0	143	524	32%
8.	JMIC IV	Chanan Singh, ADA	271	167	9	63	1	4	85	0	0	1	0	163	275	17%
9.	JMIC-I Sunder Ngr	S.S. Koundal , ADA	850	247	58	169	0	14	0	5	1	1	0	248	849	30%
10.	JMI-II, SNR	Jagdish Kumar, ADA	529	170	20	71	0	20	28	8	7	2	0	156	543	36%
10.	JMIC Karsog	Shashi Kant Sharma,ADA	184	155	25	70	0	6	19	1	4	1	0	126	213	31%
11.	JMIC Gohar	Umesh Kumar Sharma, DDA	303	199	50	130	0	12	13	1	2	0	0	208	294	32%
12.	JMIC Sarkaghat	Vinod Bhardwaj, ADA	907	246	8	146	3	27	4	0	1	0	0	189	964	19 %

13.	JJMIC, J. Nagar.	NS Chauhan, ADA	576	217	43	154	0	44	9	8	5	0	0	263	530	36%
	Total		5727	2287	331	1232	4	165	386	29	21	12	0	2180	5834	29%
9.	DISTRICT	SHIMLA	İ	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	.i.					1		I	<u> </u>		
1.	Session Judge.	P.R. Patiyal, DA	8	20	2	9	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	13	15	25%
2.	ASJ	D.S. Parmar, DDA	24	23	6	20	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	27	20	23%
3.	SJ Forest	L.S. Negi, ADA	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0%
4.	СЈМ	H.S. Negi, ADA	221	102	98	55	0	0	45	4	0	0	0	202	121	64%
5	JMIC I	Shettal Bansal, ADA	229	117	20	29	1	9	50	0	3	2	2	116	230	50%
6	JMIC II	Manu Kalia, ADA	228	148	21	44	1	12	46	2	0	2	0	128	248	42%
7	JMIC III	Sudeep Singh, ADA	272	192	12	101	0	4	34	0	4	0	0	155	309	14%
8.	JMIC IV	Surinder Negi, ADA	307	54	17	86	0	3	30	2	2	1	1	142	219	19%
9.	JMIC V	Rajeshwar Dutt, ADA.	315	42	9	39	1	0	27	4	9	1	0	90	267	19%
10.	JMIC VI	Ritu Malik, ADA	129	97	17	54	0	7	30	0	5	9	0	122	104	31%
11.	JMIC-VIII	Vikas Doulta	0	211	4	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	5	206	100%
11.	Juvenile Court	Sheetal Bansal, ADA	173	68	21	80	0	10	0	0	0	6	0	117	124	28%
12.	JMIC-I Rohru.	Suresh Hetta , ADA	247	170	71	67	0	10	8	0	0	0	15	171	246	55%
13.	JMIC Rohru	Vacant	155	0	0	0	0	0	155	0	0	0	0	155	0	
14.	JMIC Theog	S.D. Vasudeva, ADA	395	175	48	119	0	5	6	0	0	1	1	180	390	31%
15.	JMIC Chopal	Vacant ADA.	165	102	10	29	1	6	0	1	1	0	0	48	219	36%
16.	JMIC	Atma Ram,	82	94	34	32	0	2	4	1	0	0	10	83	93	53%

	Jubbal	ADA.					••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••					,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,				
17.	JMIC Jubbal at Theog	-Do- ADA	67	0	0	0	0	0	67	0	0	0	0	67	0	-
18	SDJM Rampur	Bhagwan Dass Negi, DDA.	483	239	25	197	0	18	8	0	0	0	0	248	474	18%
19.	FTC-SML	G.S.Rathore, DDA.	24	19	10	22	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	33	10	31%
	Total		3525	1873	425	984	5	88	511	14	25	22	29	2103	3295	34%
10.	DISTRICTS	SIRMAUR AT NAHAN			<u>i</u>	<u>I</u>				j		I		<u>                                     </u>	<u>I</u>	
1.	Session Judge	Rajinder Sharma, DA	27	15	2	13	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	16	26	13%
2.	ASJ Nahan	Ajmer Singh, DDA	62	11	8	15	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	25	48	35%
3.	CJM - Nahan	Sanjay Pandit, ADA	340	148	9	90	1	9	59	2	0	0	5	175	313	17%
4.	JMIC I Nahan	Sandeep Sharma, ADA	149	141	6	60	1	4	0	0	0	0	2	73	217	14%
5.	JMIC Paonta	Umesh Mohan ADA	803	238	51	97	1	20	153	2	3	0	7	334	707	42%
6.	JMIC II Paonta	Hemant Singh, ADA	515	273	12	89	0	11	1	3	0	0	8	124	664	21%
7.	ACJM Rajgarh	M.K. Sharma ADA	84	93	27	70	1	0	0	3	3	0	5	109	68	28%
	Total		1980	919	115	434	4	44	214	10	6	2	27	856	2043	27%
11.	DISTRIC SO	DLAN			J			.4				I	.k	. k	<b>l</b>	
1.	Sessions Judge	C.B. Acharya, DA	11	67	4	6	0	0	38	0	0	1	0	49	29	40%
2.	ASJ Solan	NL Sen, ADA	27	32	10	27	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	37	22	27%
3.	ASJ FTC	K.K. Chaudhary, Dy.DA	19	9	4	2	1	0	12	0	0	0	0	19	9	67%
4.	CJM Solan	Yashpal Singh, ADA	766	205	49	69	0	19	42	9	14	6	0	208	763	50%

5.	JMIC Solan	Rajender Chauhan ADA	295	56	10	11	1	2	5	0	0	1	0	30	321	52%
6.	ACJM KASAULI	Kapil Mohan, ADA	405	116	50	137	3	26	6	3	5	1	0	231	290	36%
7.	JMIC KSL	Sangeeta Justa, ADA	367	100	49	70	4	15	3	0	1	11	0	153	314	48%
8.	ACJM K/ghat.	Reena Chauhan, ADA	237	54	12	90	0	3	3	0	2	0	0	110	181	14%
9	ACJM ARKI	Kulbhushan Goutam, ADA	441	139	25	110	6	6	2	1	12	0	0	162	418	22%
10	SDJM NALAGARH	Sundeep Attri, ADA	901	522	177	82	1	97	23	2	15	21	0	418	1005	77%
	Total		3469	1300	390	604	16	168	134	15	49	41	0	1417	3352	48%
12.	DISTRICT U	J <b>NA</b>			.i					<u>.</u>					<u>.</u>	
1.	Sessions Judge.	Ravinder Kumar DA	31	48	0	14	0	0	32	0	0	0	0	46	33	0%
2.	ASJ	A.K. Nadda, DDA	36	18	12	19	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	34	20	39%
3.	ASJ FTC	Mohinder Chauhan,DDA	30	14	11	21	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	33	11	34%
4.	СЈМ	Sanjjev Bharwal, ADA	670	284	104	134	0	24	140	0	3	0	6	411	543	40%
5.	ACJM I	-Do-	889	100	29	77	0	2	165	0	2	0	3	278	711	27%
6.	PMJJB, Una	-Do-	404	151	6	14	0	8	1	0	4	4	0	37	518	50%
7.	JMIC II	Devender Kumar, ADA	1057	115	4	125	0	10	223	0	0	8	0	370	802	10%
8.	JMIC III	-Do-	674	155	37	76	0	16	143	0	6	10	0	288	541	41%
9.	JMIC-IV	-Do-	0	743	36	88	0	5	12	0	2	9	1	153	590	32%
10.	JMIC- Camp	Shard Lagwal, ADA.	233	0	15	31	0	1	1	0	0	3	0	51	182	34%

11.	ACJM	CS Bhatia,	433	197	26	53	0	18	18	0	9	3	0	127	503	46%
	AMB	ADA														
12.	JMIC II	P.S. Negi,	274	129	5	87	0	11	5	0	2	3	0	113	290	16%
	Amb	ADA														
	Total		4731	1954	285	739	0	95	744	0	28	40	10	1941	4744	34%

Annexure A-I
STATEMENT OF CRIMINAL CASES FOR THE YEAR 2008-2009 (1.4.2008 TO 31.3.2009)

Sr No	Name Of The Court	Pending at the Beginning of the Year(1.4.08)	No. of Cases Instituted (2008-09)	Convicted.	Acquitted.	Discharged.	Compromise/ compounded	Transferred	<b>Proceeding Dropped</b>	Withdrawn.	Proclaimed offenders	Others.	Total Disposal.	Balance at the end of Year (31.3.09)	Rate Of Conviction.
1.	2.	3.	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
1	Bilaspur	4538	796	83	465	2	88	50	23	50	0	8	769	4565	27%
2	Chamba	2429	1002	318	459	3	64	51	15	12	23	38	983	2448	45%
3	Hamirpur	1609	715	152	548	0	118	46	23	25	0	0	912	1412	33%
4	Kangra	7944	2200	610	1774	1	203	116	76	56	13	51	2900	7244	31%
5	Kinnaur	492	268	24	196	0	80	53	3	28	6	0	390	370	35%
6	Kullu	1451	894	224	326	2	43	45	15	6	0	1	662	1683	45%
7.	Lahul &Spiti	119	26	29	13	1	18	0	1	0	0	0	62	83	78%
8	Mandi	5727	2287	331	1232	4	165	386	29	21	12	0	2180	5834	29%
9	Shimla	3525	1873	425	984	5	88	511	14	25	22	29	2103	3295	34%
10	Sirmour	1980	919	115	434	4	44	214	10	6	2	27	856	2043	27%
11	Solan	3469	1300	390	604	16	168	134	15	49	41	0	1417	3352	48%
12	Una	4731	1954	285	739	0	95	744	0	28	40	10	1941	4744	34%
	TOTAL	38014	14234	2986	7774	38	1174	2350	224	306	159	164	15175	37073	35%

Annexure- A-2. STATEMENT REGARDING CRIMINAL APPEALS/REVISIONS FOR THE YEAR 2008-09 (1.4.2008 to 31.03.2009).

Sr. No.	Name of the court	Pending at beginning Of the Year (1.4.2008)	Instituted during the Year 2007- 2008	In favour of the State	Against the State	Compromised	Transfer	Ors.	Total Disposal	Pending at the Close of the Year (313.09)	Percentage of Success
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
1.	DISTRICT BILASPUR	i	ā								
1.	Session Judge BLP	23	18	3	18	0	0	0	21	20	14%
2.	FTC Ghumarwin	9	13	0	1	0	0	0	1	21	0%
i i	Total	32	31	3	19	0	0	0	22	41	14%
2.	DISTRICT CHAMBA				•						
1.	Session Judge Chamba	25	18	9	15	2	13	0	39	4	38%
2.	FTC Chamba	0	30	9	7	0	7	0	23	7	56%
Ĭ	Total	25	48	18	22	2	20	0	62	11	45%
3.	DISTRICT HAMIRPUR										
1.	Session Judge HMR	105	119	92	89	0	15	0	196	28	51%
2.	ASJ-FTC	54	27	7	12	4	1	0	24	57	37%
	Total	159	146	99	101	4	16	0	220	85	50%
4.	DISTRICT KANGRA										
1.	Session Judge KNG	92	91	39	10	0	13	0	62	121	76%
2.	ASJ –I KNG	103	80	9	6	0	5	0	20	163	60%
3.	ASJ- II KNG	75	27	9	3	1	0	0	13	89	75%
4.	ASJ- FTC	56	5	0	0	0	5	0	5	56	
	Total	326	203	57	19	1	23	0	100	429	75 %
5.	DISTRICT KINNAUR AT RAM				•						
1.	Session Judge RMP	50	61	6	18	0	24	0	48	63	25%
6.	DISTRICT KULLU				•						
1.	Session Judge KLU	16	19	7	6	0	0	0	13	22	54%
2.	ASJ-FTC	9	4	4	3	0	1	2	10	3	57%
	Total	25	23	11	9	0	1	2	23	25	55%
7.	DISTRICT SHIMLA				<u></u>				,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		
1.	Session Judge SML	7	44	0	1	0	0	0	1	50	0%
2.	Session Judge, Fts. SML	68	0	22	16	1	4	0	43	25	58%
2.	ASJ SML	60	77	9	15	0	20	0	44	93	38%

3.	ADJ(FTC)	58	31	27	10	0	2	7	46	43	73%
	Total	193	152	58	42	1	26	7	134	211	58%
8.	District Mandi			***************************************							
1.	Session Judge MND	96	90	12	9	0	28	0	49	137	57%
2.	ASJ MND	120	54	11	8	1	0	2	22	152	58%
3.	ASJ(FTC)	45	61	3	5	1	0	0	9	97	38%
	Total	261	205	26	22	2	28	2	80	386	54%
9.	DISTRICT SIRMAUR AT NAHA	\N			<u> </u>		<u> </u>	<u> </u>			
1.	Session Judge NHN	24	15	8	6	1	0	0	15	24	57%
2.	ASJ NHN	27	29	12	7	0	1	0	20	36	63%
	Total	51	44	20	13	1	1	0	35	60	61%
10.	DISTRICT SOLAN										
1.	Session, Judge SLN	20	36	5	10	0	16	0	31	25	33%
2.	ASJ SLN	13	27	17	7	0	0	0	24	16	71%
3.	ASJ (FTC)	9	13	1	14	0	0	0	15	7	7%
	Total	42	76	23	31	0	16	0	70	48	43%
11.	DISTRICT UNA										
1.	Session Judge Una	48	18	18	17	7	3	0	45	21	51%
2.	ASJ -Una	42	46	14	14	4	1	0	33	55	50%
3.	ASJ-FTC	62	16	18	8	2	4	0	32	46	69%
	Total	152	80	50	39	13	8	0	110	122	56%

Annexure A-2

## STATEMENT REGARDING CRIMINAL APPEALS/REVISIONS FOR THE YEAR 2008-09(1.4.2008-31.03.2009)

Sr. No.	Name of the court	Pending at beginning Of the Year (1.4.2008)	Instituted during the Year 2008-09.	In favour of the State	Against the State	Compro mised	Transfer	Ors.	Total Disposal	Pending at the Close of the Year (31.3.09)	Percenta ge of Success
1.	2.	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
1.	Distt. Bilaspur	32	31	3	19	0	0	0	22	41	14%
2.	Distt. Chamba	25	48	18	22	2	20	0	62	11	45%
3.	Distt. Hamirpur	159	146	99	101	4	16	0	220	85	50%
4.	Distt. Kangra	326	203	57	19	1	23	0	100	429	75%
5.	Distt. Kinnaur at Rampur	50	61	6	18	0	24	0	48	63	25%
6.	Distt. Kullu	25	23	11	9	0	1	2	23	25	55%
7.	Distt. Shimla	193	152	58	42	1	26	7	134	211	58%
8.	Distt. Mandi	261	205	26	22	2	28	2	80	386	54%
9.	Distt. Sirmaur	51	44	20	13	1	1	0	35	60	61%
10.	Distt. Solan	42	76	23	31	0	16	0	70	48	43%
11.	Distt. Una	152	80	50	39	13	8	0	110	122	56%
	Total	1316	1069	371	335	24	163	11	904	1481	53 %

Annexure A- 3.

ANNUAL ADMINISTRATION REPORT FOR THE YEAR 2008-2009 REGARDING CIVIL CASES W.E.F. 1.4.2008TO 31.3.2009

No.	Name of the court	Pending at the beginning of the year (1.4.2008)	Instituted during the year (2008-09)	No. of cases decided in favour of the State during the year 2008-09.	Decided against the State during the year 2008-09	Compromise.	Transfer.	Withdrawal.	Total Disposal	Pending at the close of the Year	Percentage of success during the year (31.3.09)
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.
1.	DISTRICT BILASPUR										
1.	District Judge BLP	45	22	12	2	0	4	1	19	48	86%
2	ASJ-FTC,BLP	28	5	7	4	0	1	0	12	21	64%
3	Civil Judge (Sr. Div) BLP	48	25	16	0	0	0	3	19	54	100%
4.	Civil Judge (Jr. Div.) BLP	45	14	14	1	0	0	1	16	43	93%
5	Civil Judge(JD)GhumarwinI	92	24	18	2	0	1	1	22	94	90%
6	Civil .Judge (JrDiv.) Ghumarwin-II	66	34	12	2	0	0	5	19	81	86%
	Total	324	124	79	11	0	6	11	107	341	88%
2.	DISTRICT CHAMBA	I	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		<u> </u>		<u> </u>		<u> </u>	
1.	District Judge Chamba	11	1	2	3	0	3	0	8	4	40%
2.	ASJ-FTC. Chamba	0	3	1	1	0	1	0	3	0	50%
3.	Sr. Civil Judge (Jr.Div.)	20	29	21	0	0	0	1	22	27	100%
4.	Civil Judge (Jr.Div.) Chamba	43	10	10	1	0	0	0	11	42	91%
5.	Civil Judge (Jr.Div.), Dalhouise.	10	9	6	0	0	0	0	6	13	100%
	Total	84	52	40	5	0	4	1	50	86	89%
3.	DISTRICT HAMIRPUR	L				<u>I</u>		<u>L</u>		<u></u>	
1.	District Judge HMR	32	42	15	1	1	0	0	17	57	94%
2.	ADJ(FTC) Hamirpur	36	5	10	2	1	0	0	13	28	83%
3.	Sr. Civil Judge (Jr.Div.) HMR	42	17	12	3	1	0	0	16	43	80%
4.	Civil Judge (Jr. Div.) I HMR	81	27	20	7	0	0	0	27	81	74%
5.	Civil Judge (Jr. Div.) II HMR	77	12	12	2	0	0	0	14	75	86%
6.	Civil Judge (Jr.Div.) III HMR	66	27	17	2	0	0	0	19	74	89%

7.	Civil Judge (Jr.Div.) ,Barsar	26	25	8	1	0	0	0	9	42	89%
8.	Civil Judge (Jr.Div.) Nadaun	93	9	22	0	0	1	0	23	79	100%
5	Total	453	164	116	18	3	1	0	138	479	87%
4.	DISTRICT KULLU										
1.	District Judge KLU	141	48	0	35	0	0	0	35	154	0%
2.	ADJ-FTC, Kullu.	170	15	1	72	0	0	0	73	112	1%
3.	Civil Judge (Sr. Div.) KLU	9	19	10	1	6	0	0	17	11	91%
4.	Civil Judge (Jr.Div.) KLU	21	15	10	1	0	0	0	11	25	92%
5.	Civil Judge (Jr. Div.), Anni	5	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	4	100%
6.	Civil Judge (Jr. Div.), Manali.	14	5	5	0	0	0	0	5	14	100%
	Total	360	102	27	109	6	0	0	142	320	20%
5.	DISTRICT KINNAUR										
1.	District Judge KNR at Rampur.	97	54	20	17	0	26	0	63	88	54%
2.	Civil Judge (Sr. Div.) KNR at Peo	18	8	6	1	1	0	0	8	18	86%
	Total	115	62	26	18	1	26	0	71	106	59%
6.	DISTRICT KANGRA									····	
1	District. Judge D/Sala	18	27	8	3	0	2	1	14	31	73%
2	Addl. Distt. Judge I D/sala.	64	26	15	2	0	6	0	23	67	88%
3	Addl. Distt. Judge II D/sala.	42	9	4	0	0	0	1	5	46	100%
4	Addl. Distt. Judge(FTC)	19	1	1	0	0	1	0	2	18	100%
5	Civil Judge (Sr. Div.) D/sala	52	21	5	0	0	0	3	8	65	100%
6	Civil Judge (Jr.Div.) (I)D/Sala	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-
7	Civil Judge (Jr. Div.) (II) D/S.	24	14	4	0	0	0	0	4	34	100%
8	Civil Judge (Jr. Div.) I PLP	11	10	10	0	0	0	0	10	11	100%
9	Civil Judge (Jr. Div.) II, PLP	36	15	15	4	1	0	0	20	31	79%
10	Civil Judge (Jr. Div.) (I) NPR	27	9	5	0	0	2	1	8	28	100%
11	Civil Judge (Jr.Div.) (II) NPR	42	8	9	0	0	0	0	9	41	100%
12	Civil Judge (Jr.Div.) Baijnath	9	3	3	0	0	0	1	4	8	100%
13	Civil Judge (Jr.Div.) (I) Dehra	21	9	6	0	0	0	1	7	23	100%
14	Civil Judge (Jr.Div.)(II)Dehra	27	20	7	1	0	0	1	9	38	88%
15	Civil Judge (Jr.Div.) (I) KNG	28	6	7	1	0	0	0	8	26	88%
16	Civil Judge (Jr.Div.) (II)KNG	37	7	9	1	0	0	0	10	34	90%
17	Civil Judge (Jr. Div.) Jawali	68	16	8	3	0	0	2	13	71	73%
18	Civil Judge (Jr.Div.) Indora.	25	11	8	2	0	0	0	10	26	80%
	Total	550	212	124	17	1	11	11	164	598	88%
7.	DISTRICT LAHUL & SPITI AT KULLU					<u>2</u>	***************************************				
1	Sr. Civil Judge (Jr.Div.) L&S At Kullu	3	0	1	1	_	-	-	2	1	50%
	Total:	3	0	1	1	-	-	-	2	1	50%

8.	DISTRICT MANDI										
1.	District Judge MND	16	35	6	11	1	1	0	19	32	35%
2.	Addl. Distt. Judge Mandi	39	11	4	2	0	2	0	8	42	67%
3.	ADJ-FTC-MND	11	17	4	6	1	0	0	11	17	40%
4.	Civil Judge (SrDiv.) MND	18	12	9	3	0	0	0	12	18	75%
5.	Civil Judge (Jr.Div.) (I) MND	13	19	13	4	0	0	0	17	15	76%
6.	Civil Judge (Jr.Div.) (II)MND	16	13	10	2	0	0	0	12	17	83%
7.	Civil Judge (Jr.Div.)(III)MND	22	22	9	1	0	0	0	10	34	90%
8.	Civil Judge (Jr.Div.)(IV)MND	10	9	3	0	0	0	0	3	16	100%
9.	Civil Judge(Jr.Div.) ,SNR-1	37	10	1	1	1	0	2	5	42	50%
10	Civil Judge (Jr.Div.) SNR-II	47	6	9	13	0	2	2	26	27	41%
11	Civil Judge (Jr. Div.)- J/Ngr.	35	25	23	0	0	0	0	23	37	100%
12	Civil Judge (Jr.Div.) S/.Ghat	77	35	16	1	0	0	0	17	95	94%
13	Civil Judge (Jr.Div.) Karsog	8	11	6	3	0	0	0	9	10	67%
14	Civil Judge (Jr. Div.), Gohar	13	17	7	4	3	0	0	14	16	64%
	Total	362	242	120	51	6	5	4	186	418	70%
9.	DISTRICT SHIMLA										
1.	District Judge SML	18	11	4	2	0	2	0	8	21	66%
2.	Distt. Judge (Forest) SML	32	8	23	6	0	4	1	34	6	79%
3.	Addl. Distt. Judge, SML	22	21	20	4	0	9	0	33	10	83%
4.	ADJ(FTC) Shimla	168	35	18	7	0	13	0	38	165	72%
5.	Civil Judge (Sr .Div.) SML	56	6	10	2	0	3	1	16	46	83%
6.	Civil Judge (Jr. Div.) (I) SML	37	17	7	0	0	5	0	12	42	100%
7.	Civil Judge (Jr. Div.) (II)SML	31	0	1	1	0	12	0	14	17	50%
8.	Civil Judge (Jr. Div.) (III)	31	10	7	1	1	3	0	12	29	88%
9.	Civil Judge (Jr. Div.) (IV)	35	4	5	0	0	0	0	5	34	100%
10	Civil Judge (Jr. Div.) (V)	31	7	3	0	0	5	0	8	30	100%
11	Civil Judge (Jr. Div.) (VI)	31	11	9	0	0	3	0	12	30	100%
12	Civil Judge (Jr. Div.) (VIII)	0	44	1	0	0	0	0	1	43	100%
12	Civil Judge (Jr. Div.) Theog	31	28	7	1	0	0	1	9	50	88%
13	Civil Judge (Jr.Div.) (I)Rohru	34	18	7	1	0	0	1	9	43	88%
14	Civil Judge (Jr.Div.) (II) –d0-	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-
15	Civil Judge (Jr. Div.) Chopal	22	10	1	0	0	0	3	4	28	100%
16	Civil Judge(Jr. Div.), Rampur	21	13	6	3	0	0	2	11	23	67%
17	Civil Judge (Jr. Div.) Jubbal	17	8	8	0	0	0	0	8	17	100%
	Total	617	251	137	28	1	59	9	234	634	83%
10.	DISTRICT SIRMAUR AT NAHAN					<u>.</u>					y
1.	District Judge Nahan	11	2	6	3	0	0	1	10	3	67%

2.	Add. District Judge Nahan	6	16	4	3	0	0	0	7	15	57%
3.	Sr. Civil Judge (Sr. Div.) NHN	36	42	8	0	1	0	0	9	69	100%
4.	Civil Judge (Jr. Div.) NHN	38	27	2	0	1	0	0	3	62	100%
5.	Civil Judge (Jr. Div.) Poanta I	19	5	4	1	0	1	0	6	18	80%
6.	Civil Judge (Jr.Div.) Poanta II	21	5	6	0	0	0	1	7	19	100%
7.	Civil Judge (Jr. Div.) Rajgarh	14	11	9	2	0	0	2	13	12	82%
	Total	145	108	39	9	2	1	4	55	198	81%
11.	DISTRICT SOLAN										
1.	District Judge, SLN	14	21	6	11	0	5	0	22	13	35%
2.	Addl. Distt. Judge SLN	15	25	15	6	0	8	0	29	11	71%
3.	ADJ-FTC-SLN	20	4	5	3	0	5	0	13	11	63%
4.	Civil Judge(Sr. Div), SLN	29	16	7	1	0	0	0	8	37	88%
5.	Civil Judge (Jr. Div.) SLN	56	12	4	2	0	0	2	8	60	67%
6.	Civil Judge (Sr. Div.) Kasuali	60	16	32	3	4	0	0	39	37	91%
7.	Civil Judge (Jr. Div.) Kasauli	31	22	4	4	2	1	0	11	42	50%
8.	Civil judge (Jr. Div.) K/ghat	40	8	6	1	3	1	2	13	35	86%
9.	Civil Judge (Jr. Div ) Arki	64	27	24	2	0	0	0	26	65	92%
10.	Civil Judge (Jr.Div) Nalagarh.	71	17	28	8	3	0	1	40	48	78%
	Total	400	168	131	41	12	20	5	209	359	76%
12	DISTRICT UNA										
1.	District Judge, Una	39	39	6	10	0	5	0	21	57	38%
2.	Addl. Distt. Judge Una.	39	91	8	18	0	1	0	27	103	31%
3.	Addl. Distt. Judge(FTC)	93	26	3	3	0	0	0	6	113	50%
4.	Civil Judge (Sr.Div.) Una	49	11	15	5	0	9	0	29	31	75%
5.	Civil Judge (Jr. Div.) (I) Una	48	10	9	1	0	9	0	19	39	90%
6.	Civil Judge (Jr. Div.) (II) Una	45	9	6	0	0	8	0	14	40	100%
7.	Civil Judge (Jr. Div.) (III)Una	52	14	16	0	0	15	0	31	35	100%
8.	Civil Judge (Jr. Div.(IV) Una	0	51	14	0	0	0	0	14	37	100%
9.	Civil Judge (Jr. Div.), Barsar Camp	18	2	0	3	0	0	0	3	17	0%
10	Civil Judge(Jr. Div) (I)Amb	51	4	7	3	0	0	0	10	45	70%
11.	Civil Judge(Jr. Div) (II) Amb	53	23	9	5	0	0	0	14	62	64%
	Total	487	280	93	48	0	47	0	188	579	66%

Annexure A-3.

## Annual Statement of Civil Cases for the year 2008-09 (w.e.f. 1.4.08 to 31.3.2009)

Sr. No.	Name of the court	Pending at the beginning of the year (1.4.2008)	Instituted during the year (2008-09)	No. of cases decided in favour of the State	Decided against the State	Compromis <b>e</b>	Transfers	Withdraw <b>n</b>	Total Disposal.	Pending at the close of the year (31.03.09)	Percentage of success during the year.
1	2.	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
1	Distt Bilaspur	324	124	79	11	0	6	11	107	341	88%
2	Distt. Chamba	84	52	40	5	0	4	1	50	86	89%
3	Distt. Hamirpur	453	164	116	18	3	1	0	138	479	87%
4	Distt. Kullu	360	102	27	109	6	0	0	142	320	20%
5	Distt. Kinnaur	115	62	26	18	1	26	0	71	106	59%
6	Distt. Kangra	550	212	124	17	1	11	11	164	598	88%
7	Distt. L & S	3	0	1	1	0	0	0	2	1	50%
8	Distt. Mandi	362	242	120	51	6	5	4	186	418	70%
9	Distt. Shimla	617	251	137	28	1	59	9	234	634	83%
10	Distt. Sirmaur	145	108	39	9	2	1	4	55	198	81%
11	Distt. Solan	400	168	131	41	12	20	5	209	359	76%
12	Distt. Una	487	280	93	48	0	47	0	188	579	66%
	Total	3900	1765	933	356	32	180	45	1546	4119	73%

Annexure A-4
ANNUAL ADMINISTRATION REPORT FOR THE YEAR 2008-2009 STATEMENT REGARDING CASES U/s 107/109/110/145 Cr PC

Sr. No.	Name of the court	Pending at The beginning Of the year 1.4.2008	No. of cases Instituted During the Year	No. of cases Bound down	Discharged	Transferred	Compromised	No. of cases pending at the close of the year 31.3.2009
1.	2.	3.	4.	.5.	6.	7.	8.	9.
1.	DISTRICT BILASPUR							
1.	SDM BLP	42	64	3	54	0	0	49
2.	SDM Ghumarwin	15	5	0	8	0	0	12
	Total	57	69	3	62	0	0	61
2.	DISTRICT CHAMBA		••••					
1.	SDM Chamba	29	71	0	50	0	0	50
2.	SDM Dalhausie	27	23	0	17	0	21	12
3.	SDM Pangi	0	39		11	0	0	28
	Total	56	133	0	78	0	21	90
3.	DISTRICT HAMIRPUR		·····					
1.	SDM HMR	50	90	0	110	0	0	30
2.	SDM Naduan	35	52	0	55	0	0	32
3.	SDM Badsar	50	50	0	45	0	0	55
4.	TEHSILDAR HMR	70	75	0	84	0	0	61
5.	Tehs. Nadaun	29	30	0	25	0	0	34
6.	Tehs. Bhoranj	50	35	0	42	0	0	43
7.	Tehs. Sujanpur	25	40	0	32	0	0	33
8.	Tehs. Badsar	5	15	0	10	0	0	10
	Total	314	387	0	403	0	0	298
4.	DISTRICT KULLU		•••••					
1.	SDM KLU	5	83	0	73	0	0	15
2.	SDM MNL	9	26	0	20	0	0	15
3.	SDM Anni	41	49	0	38	0	0	52
	Total	55	158	0	131	0	0	82
5.	DISTRICT KINAUR							
1.	SDM Kalpa	47	-	-	17	-	-	30
6.	DISTRICT KANGRA			***************************************				
1.	SDM D/SHALA	216	99	0	85	0	0	230
2.	SDM Dehra	353	153	0	210	0	0	296
3.	SDM Kangra	262	120	0	112	0	0	270
4.	SDM Palampur	150	86	0	134	0	0	102

5.	SDM Nurpur	173	67	0	26	17	41	156
6.	SDM Baijnath	77	123	0	77	0	0	123
7.	SDM Jawali	36	123	42	41	16	31	29
	Total	1267	771	42	685	33	72	1206
7.	DISTRICT SHIMLA							
1.	SDM(U) SML	157	20	0	15	0	2	160
2.	SDM Theog	29	55	0	23	0	0	61
3.	SDM Rohru	156	117	0	86	0	0	187
4.	SDM Chopal	16	15	0	10	0	0	21
5.	SDM Rampur	76	0	0	0	0	0	76
6.	SDM Jubbal	17	18	0	8	0	0	27
	Total	451	225	0	142	0	2	532
8.	DISTRICT MANDI							
1.	SDM MND Sadar	277	198	0	159	0	0	316
2.	SDM S/NGR	12	133	11	72	0	3	59
3.	SDM J/NGR	143	104	0	60	0	0	187
4.	SDM S/GHAT	80	382	0	385	0	0	77
5.	SDM Karsog	37	2	0	11	0	0	28
6.	SDM Gohar	72	97	0	81	0	0	88
	Total	621	916	11	768	0	3	755
9.	DISTRICT SIRMAUR AT NAHAN			<b>18</b> 9100000000000000000000000000000000000				
1.	SDM Nahan	143	46	0	77	0	0	112
2.	SDM Poanta	336	46	0	7	0	3	372
3.	SDM Rajgarh	26	64	0	61	0	0	29
	Total	505	156	0	145	0	3	513
10.	DISTRICT SOLAN	·	•••					
1.	SDM Solan	50	90	0	66	0	0	74
2.	SDM K/GHAT	13	8	0	5	0	0	16
3.	SDM Arki	52	95	0	97	0	0	50
4.	SDM Nalagarh	112	20	0	16	0	18	98
	Total	227	213	0	184	0	18	238
11.	DISTRICT LAHUL & SPITI AT K	·	•••				······	
1.	SDM L& S	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	DISTRICT UNA							
12.			•••• <sub>•</sub> •••••••••••••••••••	<del></del>				
1.	SDM Una	115	148	0	145	0	0	118
		115 43 <b>158</b>	148 129 <b>277</b>	0 0 <b>0</b>	90 235	0 0 <b>0</b>	0 0 0	82 <b>200</b>

Annexure A-4

### STATEMENT REGARDING CASES U/s 107/109/110/145 CRIMINAL PROCEDURE CODE FOR THE YEAR 2007-08.

Sr. No.	Name of the court	Pending at the beginning of the year (1.4.2007)	No. of cases Instituted During the Year	No. of cases Bound down	Discharged	Transferred	Compromised	No. of cases pending at the close of the year (31.3.2008)
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
1.	District Bilaspur	57	69	3	62	0	0	61
2.	District Chamba	56	133	0	78	0	21	90
3.	District Hamirpur	314	387	0	403	0	0	298
4.	District Kullu	55	158	0	131	0	0	82
5.	District Kinnaur	47	0	0	17	0	0	30
6.	District Kangra	1267	771	42	685	33	72	1206
7.	District Shimla	451	225	0	142	0	2	532
8.	District Mandi	621	916	11	768	0	3	755
9.	District Sirmaur	505	156	0	145	0	3	513
10.	District Solan	227	213	0	184	0	18	238
11.	District L & S	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
12.	District Una	158	277	0	235	0	0	200
	TOTAL	3758	3305	56	2850	33	119	4005

#### Annexure-A-5.

#### DEMAND NO. 3.

# STATEMENT SHOWING THE SANCTIONED BUDGET AND ACTUAL EXPENDITURE (EXCESS & SURRENDER) UNDER MAJOR HEAD 2014- ADMN. OF JUSTICE, 114-LEGAL ADVISORS AND COUNSELS, 02-OTHER LAW OFFICERS (NON PLAN) FOR THE YEAR 2008-09 PERTAINING TO PROSECUTION DEPARTMENT, HIMACHAL PRADESH.

Sr. No.	SOE	Sanctioned budget	Supplementary /additionally	Total	Actual expenditure Up-to 31.3. 2008	Excess & Surrender	Reasons
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
1	SALARY	6,08,33,000	0	6,08,33,000	6,64,56,500	(+) 56,23,500	Due to drawl of salary for the month of March, 2009.
2	WAGES	20,50,000	0	20,50,000	17,81.064	(-) 2,68,936	Due to regularization of daily waged Class IV Employees.
3	TE	3,84,000	2,50,000	6,34,000	6,39.450	(+) 5,450	Minor variation.
4	LIVERY	55,000	4,00,000	4,55,000	3,52,500	(-) 1,02,500	Due to non eligibility of court dress of Law Officers.
5	OE	24,05,000	2,00,000	26,05,000	25,43,947	(-) 61,053	Due to heavy amount bills, the unutilized amount surrendered by the concerned DDOs.
6	MR	6,12,000	3,00,000	9,12,000	11,67,693	(+) 2,55,693	Govt. agreed for re-appropriation.
7	RRT	4,50,000	0	4,50,000	2,39,761	(-) 2,10,239	-do-
8	PUBLICATION	11,000	80,000	91,000	70,467	(-) 20,533	Due to non receipt of the bills.
9	PP & SS	15,26,000	0	15,26,000	15,26,000	(-) 15,26,000	•
10	OTHERS Charges	1,000	20,000	21,000	3,000	(-) 18,000	Govt. agreed for re-appropriation.
11	MV	2,29,000	1,00,000	3,29,000	3,24,801	(-) 4,199	Minor variation.
12	TTE	60,000	0	60,000	47,139	(-) 12,861	Due to non receipt of TTE bills.
	Total	6,86,16,000	13,50,000	6,99,66,000	7,51,52,322	(+) 51,86,322	(+) 58,84,643 & (-) 6,98,321

Annexure A-5.

#### **DEMAND NO. 31**

# ALLOCATION OF FUNDS UNDER DEMAND NO. 31 UNDER MAJOR HEAD 2014-ADMINISTRATION OF JUSTICE, 796-TRIBAL AREA SUB PLAN-03- EXPENDITURE ON OTHER LAW OFFICERS (Non Plan) FOR THE YEAR 2009-10 PERTAINING TO PROSECUTION DEPARTMENT,

Sr. No.	Name of DDO	Salary	Wages	TE	Livery	O.E.	MR	TTE	Total
1.	2.	3.	4	5.	6	7.	8.	9	10
1.	Distt. Attorney. Kinnour at Rampur	12,79,000	22,000	14,000	5,000	8,000	10,000	3,000	13,41,000
2.	Asstt. Distt. Attorney, Kinnour at Recong Peo	6,00,000	22,000	3,000	0	4,000	2,000	0	6,31,000
3.	Asstt. Distt. Attorney L&S at Kullu.	6,00,000	0	3,000	5,000	60,000	2,000	0	6,70,000
5	Total:-	24,79,000	44,000	20,000	10,000	72,000	14,000	3,000	26,42,000

## Sanctioned budget under demand No. 3(Non-Plan) for the year 2009-10 under Head 2014- Administration of Justice,114 Legal Advisors and Counsels ,02 Other Law Offices(Non Plan) pertaining to Prosecution Department.

Quarter	Percentage	Salary	Wages	TE	Livery	OE	MR	RRT	PP&SS	Publication	Others	MV	TTE	Total
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
		66917000	2050000	384000	55000	2405000	612000	450000	1526000	11000	1000	229000	60000	74700000
1 <sup>st</sup>	20%	13383400	410000	76800	11000	481000	122400	90000	305200	2200	200	45800	2000	14940000
2 <sup>nd</sup>	25%	16729250	512500	96000	13750	601250	153000	112500	381500	2750	250	57250	15000	18675000
Total	45%	30112650	922500	172800	24750	1082250	275400	202500	686700	4950	450	103050	27000	33615000
3 <sup>rd</sup>	30%	20075100	615000	115200	16500	721500	183600	135000	457800	3300	300	68700	18000	22410000
4 <sup>th</sup>	25%	16729250	512500	96000	13750	601250	153000	112500	381500	2750	250	57250	15000	18675000
Total	55%	36804350	1127500	211200	30250	1322750	336600	247500	839300	6050	550	125950	33000	41085000
Grand Total		66917000	2050000	384000	55000	2405000	612000	450000	1526000	11000	1000	229000	60000	74700000

Demand No. 31. Annexure A-5.

Statement showing the sanctioned budget and actual expenditure (Excess & Surrender) under Major Head 2014-Administration of Justice, 796-Tribal Areas Sub Plan, 03- Expenditure on Other Law Officers (Non-Plan) for the year 2008-09 pertaining to Prosecution Department. Himachal Pradesh, Shimla.

Sr. No.	SOE	Sanctioned budget	Supplementary	Re- appropriation	Total	Expenditure 31.03.2009	Excess & surrender	Reasons
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
1	Salary	22,54,000	0	0	22,54,000	22,91,700	(+) 37,700	Due to payment of Salary for the month of March, 2009.
2	Wages	44,000	30,000	0	74,000	78,511	(+) 4,511	Due to payment of daily wager staff.
3	TE	20,000	15,000	25,000	60,000	46,820	(-) 13,180	Due to less touring of Law Officers with regular/ Circuit Courts.
4	Livery	10,000	0	0	10,000	5,000	(-) 5,000	Due to non eligibility of Court Dress of Law Officer.
5	OE	72,000	1,00,000	50,000	2,22,000	2,33,422	(+) 11,422	Due to payment of pending O.E. Bills.
6	MR	14,000	25,000	30,000	69,000	30,805	(-) 38,195	Due to less receipt of M.R. Bills.
7	TTE	3,000	5,000	0	8,000	6,398	(-) 1,602	Minor variation
	Total	24,17,000	1,75,000	1,05,000	26,97,000	26,92,656 or say Rs. 26,93,000/-	(-) 4,344 or say Rs. 4,0000/-	