



**ANNUAL GENERAL  
ADMINISTRATION REPORT**  
*AS PER THE PROVISIONS OF SECTION 4(1)(b) OF THE  
RIGHT TO INFORMATION ACT, 2005*

2009-2010

**GOVERNMENT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH  
DEPARTMENT OF HOME  
DIRECTORATE OF PROSECUTION  
HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA**

Website: [www.himachal.nic.in/home/prosecution](http://www.himachal.nic.in/home/prosecution)  
email: [prosecution-hp@mail.nic.in](mailto:prosecution-hp@mail.nic.in)

Block No. 22, SDA Complex, Kasumpti,  
Shimla 171 009 (HP)

## FOREWORD

The Constitution of India has granted the fundamental right of liberty to its people and said right can not be curtailed or interfered with except by rule of law. No one can be punished without giving him an opportunity in accordance with rule of law and in this direction; procedure to conduct prosecution has been provided under the Criminal Procedure Code in order to achieve the aims and objects of law. Regular cadre of Prosecution Agency has been established in the State of Himachal Pradesh in the year 1974 on coming into force of the amended Criminal Procedure Code, 1973. Role of this agency is to assist the Administration of Justice and Criminal Justice System.

The laws, rules and procedures are, in the nature of things, general, and it is difficult to make law, provision for all conceivable eventualities. Fluidity of norms and lack of precision makes the lot of even the most diligent and conscientious public servant difficult. The public servant must be flexible so as to be effective. However, flexibility turns out to be a double edged weapon and has often served to evade accountability. Strict adherence to the letter of the law on the other hand invites understandable criticism of rigidity, unresponsiveness, or at the very least, of too impersonal an approach.

In these circumstances, limits and safeguards have to be provided enabling service delivery with sufficient creativity but without too much scope for misjudgments, doubts and suspicion. What is required perhaps is the framing of procedures with a far greater attention to detail, seeing that laws and rules are often also interpreted and implemented by public servants, who may not be attuned to the policy imperatives underlying the procedures.

Of course, the accountability of the supervisory and senior levels of the administration is the guarantor of the limits and safeguards. The mere prescription of procedures, however, does not mean that they will be followed. It is their proper enforcement and it is the ability of the system to change, modify or add to the procedures to keep up with the passage of time that will ensure flexibility within reasonable limits and safeguards.

In this context, the job of the Public Prosecutor is not always an enviable one. Presenting the results of investigation with all its complexities requires skill and some flair. To be able to convince the court requires not only that the investigation should have been fair, but also that the presentation should be compelling, and that the test of 'guilt beyond reasonable doubt' should be met in the process. Though it is not an easy test to pass, failure to do so is often followed by doubts and suspicions.

The prescription of procedures and the setting down of accountability and its enforcement has to address this central issue and put in such checks and balances that minimize the scope for doubts regarding the diligence and fairness of the Prosecutor. In order to improve the working of the prosecution and to make them more accountable in the day-to-day working this Department has circulated the Prosecution Manual.

It is expected that in case the similar effort is made by the Police to bring out Investigation manual will complete the process of imparting transparency into the system and restore and reinforce the confidence of the public in the essential fairness of the system of administration of criminal justice in this State.

**(Subhash Chander Negi, I.A.S.)  
Principal Secretary (Home) to the  
Government of Himachal Pradesh**

**June, 2010.**

-----

## **PREFACE**

As a result of recent initiatives taken by the Supreme Court of India and Government of India, attention is at last being paid to the system of administration of criminal justice which has to a large extent got subordinated to the development imperatives inherent in a democratic set-up. It is now being realized that proper administration of Criminal Justice is a key ingredient in ensuring that the fruits of democracy reaches to all citizens. As a result, there has been a paradigm shift in planning and budgeting priorities; expenditures on the system of Administration of Justice are no longer seen as being at the cost of development; instead it is being seen as a worthwhile investment to ensure optimum utilization of funds earmarked for development.

It is, however, also a fact that this paradigm shift has so far focused mainly on the Courts and the Policing system, rather ignoring the role of the Prosecutor as an intermediary. The Prosecutor, on the one hand is tasked with presenting fairly and comprehensively the results of the police and investigative effort, and on the other hand, as an officer of the Court, is responsible to help prevent miscarriage of justice. Despite its crucial role in the system, so far the prosecution system is yet to get adequate attention in modernizing itself. Partly it is a result of lack of awareness, but mainly it is because the element of 'soft skill' or knowledge based inputs is very high and planning and budgeting in such matters is difficult unless there are adequate guidelines and monitoring mechanisms to ensure that financial investments are yielding the desired returns. This Manual is intended to fill this gap, in the expectation that planners will be able to adequately fund this sub-sector of the system of Administration of Justice with adequate confidence.

Recently, the Department has brought out its Prosecution Manual, which is coinciding with major legislative reforms in criminal justice. The Criminal Procedure Code (Amendment) Act, 2005 has brought about several changes, including a statutory Directorate of Prosecution and the Criminal Procedure Code (Amendment) Bill, 2006 promises more major reforms, based mainly on the Malimath Committee on reforms of the criminal justice system.

The Department is attending the various meetings with the Police Department, Law Department, and Forensic Science and taking the number of valuable comments and suggestions of all other departments into account for the improvement of the working of the Prosecution Department. The participation of the Police Department is particularly significant in the context of ongoing policing reforms and the recent enactment of the HP Police Act, 2007. The legislation, which is largely based on Govt. of India's Model Act, professionalizes investigation. The improvement in the standard of investigation and coordination between the police and prosecution will improve the rate of success in the trial courts and will provide substantial justice to the poor victims and downtrodden people.

All suggestions for improvement are most welcome and may be addressed either to Director of Prosecution or Principal Secretary (Home), Govt. of Himachal Pradesh. All out efforts will be made in due course to implement the valuable suggestions.

**(H.S. Rana)**  
**Director of Prosecution**  
**Himachal Pradesh**

**June, 2010.**

## CONTENTS

Chapter	Particulars	Page Nos.
I	Particulars of organization of Prosecution Department, Himachal Pradesh, functions & duties.	1 to 4
II	Powers and duties of officers and officials of Prosecution Department.	5-9
III	Procedure followed in decision making process, including channels of supervision and accountability.	10 to 11
IV	Norms set for discharge of functions.	12 to 13
V	Rules, regulations, instructions, manuals and records held by Department.	14 to 17
VI	Statement of categories of documents held by offices.	18
VII	Particulars of arrangement for consultation with members of public in relation to formulation of policy etc.	18
VIII	State of boards, councils, committees and other bodies etc.	18
IX	Directory of officers and officials.	19 to 24
X	Monthly remuneration received by each of officer and officials	25
XI	Budget allocated to each of its agency, indicating particulars of all plans etc.	26 to 28
XII	Manner of execution of subsidy programmes, including the amounts allocated and the details etc.	29
XIII	Particulars of recipients of concessions, permits or authorizations	29
XIV	Details in respect of information available in electronic form.	29
XV	Particulars of facilities available to citizens for obtaining information including the working hours of library or reading room etc.	29
XVI	Names, designations and other particulars of the Public Information Officers/ Assistant Public Information Officers.	30 to 32
XVII	Any other information	33 to 42
XVIII	Details regarding criminal cases <b>(Annexure A-1)</b>	43 to 52
XIX	Details regarding criminal appeals/revisions <b>(Annexure A-2)</b>	53 to 55
XX	Details regarding civil cases <b>(Annexure A-3)</b>	56 to 60
XXI	Details of cases under section 107/109/110/145 Cr. PC <b>(Annexure A-4)</b>	61 to 63
XXII	Budget Allocation <b>(Annexure A-5)</b>	63 to 67

## Chapter I

### THE PARTICULARS OF ITS ORGANIZATION, FUNCTIONS AND DUTIES:

#### 1. **Historical Background:**

Before the enactment of Criminal Procedure Code, 1974, Prosecution Agency of the State was part of Police organization in Himachal Pradesh. At that time prosecution in the State was being conducted by Police Prosecutors who were posted as Prosecuting Sub Inspector/ Prosecuting Inspectors/ Prosecuting Deputy Superintendent of Police. They were conducting prosecution in cases of the State. The Police Prosecutors were under the administrative control of the Superintendent of Police at District Level but over-all control rested with the Inspector General of Police of the State.


After coming into force the new Criminal Procedure Code, 1973, the provisions for the appointment of Assistant Public Prosecutors and Public Prosecutors were made under Section 24 & 25 of Cr.P.C., 1973. Regular cadre of Prosecutors came into existence in the year, 1974 as the earlier cadre of Police Prosecutors was abolished keeping in view of the provisions contained U/s 25(2) Cr.P.C., wherein it is provided that no Police Officer shall be eligible to be appointed as Assistant Public Prosecutor. The intention of the legislation while separating the Prosecution Department from the Police Department clearly indicates that the Prosecution Department of the State shall function independently without any undue pressure or favour from any corner including the Police Department.

#### **Directorate of Prosecution :**


As the Directorate of Prosecution came into existence in the year 1974 and the charge of the post of Director of Prosecution was initially given to Secretary (Law)-cum-Legal Remembrancer, who used to supervise the working of Prosecution Department and was also having the Administrative control through out the State. The Administrative control was transferred from Law Department to the Home Department in the year, 1996 and the post of the Director of Prosecution was manned by I.A.S. officers and thereafter for the first time, Director of Prosecution was appointed from the cadre of Prosecution Department in the year 1999. Presently Director of Prosecution has been appointed by the State Govt. from the cadre of Prosecution Department with the concurrence of Hon'ble Chief Justice of Himachal Pradesh High Court from amongst the Joint Directors of the Prosecution Department. The above appointment was made in consonance with the provisions of Section 25-A of Code of Criminal Procedure.

At present, the Directorate of Prosecution has the cadre strength of 169 Prosecutors which consists of 1 post of Director of Prosecution, 3 posts of Joint Directors, 15 posts of District Attorneys, 33 posts of Deputy District Attorneys and 88 posts of Assistant District Attorneys. Apart from it, there are 4 Joint Directors, 9 District Attorneys, 11 Deputy District Attorneys and 5 Assistant District Attorneys working in other Departments. The detail of which is mentioned at page No. 33 & 35 of this report.

## ORGANIZATIONAL SET UP OF PROSECUTION DEPARTMENT



# LAW OFFICERS POSTED IN OTHER DEPARTMENTS/BOARD



## **2. Main Functions of The Prosecution Department**

### **1. Criminal Cases:**

Prosecution of all type of criminal cases in the Courts of Sessions Judges, Additional Sessions Judges, Chief Judicial Magistrates and Judicial Magistrates is being conducted by the Public Prosecutors and Assistant Public Prosecutors of the Department.

### **2. Civil Cases:**

i) All types of civil suits or proceedings filed by or against the Government in the court of Distt. Judges, Additional District Judges, Civil Judges (Senior Divisions) and Civil Judges (Junior Divisions), State Consumer Disputes Redressal Commission, District Consumer Disputes Redressal Forum and before Presiding Officer Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court, Motor Accident Claims Tribunal, Rent Controller are being conducted by the District Attorneys, Dy.District Attorneys and Asst.District Attorneys of the Department.

ii) Drafting/vetting of plaints/written statements/replies including notices under Section 80 CPC on behalf of the State Government and Public servants.

### **3. Revenue cases:**

All kind of revenue cases before the Financial Commissioner/ Divisional Commissioner/ Settlement Collectors, District Collectors and all revenue cases at District Head quarter/ Sub Divisional level by Government Pleaders.

### **4. Legal opinion/ advice:**

a) Opinion to Government departments in legal, and service matters.

b) Planning, budgeting, human resource management and infrastructure, management of the Prosecution Department.

c) Scrutiny of police Challans and legal opinion to investigating agency.

d) Drafting/vetting/filing of applications in all type of cases including civil, criminal revenue matters, appeals and revisions etc.



## **Chapter II**

### **POWERS AND DUTIES OF OFFICERS AND OFFICIALS:**

#### **OFFICERS:**

##### **1. Director of Prosecution:**

The State Government has appointed Director of Prosecution as per provisions of Section 25-A of the Criminal Procedure Code with the concurrence of Hon'ble Chief Justice of H.P. High Court. The Director of Prosecution performs statutory functions as notified by the State Government as per notification under Sub Section (7) of Section 25-A of the Code of Criminal Procedure (Act No.2 of 1974) vide Notification No. Home (Prosecution) B (14)-2/04 dated 12.1.2007, which are reproduced as under:-

- (i) Director (Prosecution) shall be the Head of the prosecution agency of the State and exercise the control over Prosecution Department in the matters relating to planning, budgeting, human resource management and infrastructure management.
- (ii) He shall advise the Home Department on all the matters relating to prosecution of offences
- (iii) He shall effectively coordinate with the Police Department to ensure adequate synergy between investigation and prosecution.
- (iv) He shall be the Head of the Prosecution Department, while exercising the financial powers under the Himachal Pradesh Financial Rules.
- (v) He shall be the Appointing and Disciplinary Authority under the provisions of Central Civil Services (Classification, Control and Appeal) Rules, 1965 and Central Civil Services Conduct Rules, 1964 in respect of Class III and IV employees of the Prosecution Department.
- (vi) He shall be the reviewing authority in the case of Class-I (Gazetted Officers) and Accepting authority in the case of Class III employees posted in the Prosecution Department for the purpose of Annual Confidential Reports.
- (vii) He cause to be rendered, necessary guidance, advice and clarifications to all the prosecuting officers of the Department from time to time in relation to legal, financial and service matters in accordance with the orders & instructions issued by the Government from time to time.
- (viii) He shall cause the conduct of inspections of the subordinate offices of the Prosecution Department in the State from time to time and issue necessary guidelines to the officers and officials, as deemed necessary.
- (ix) He shall generally supervise the working of Directorate of Prosecution and shall perform such other functions in relation to the Directorate of Prosecution as may be assigned by Government from time to time.

##### **2. Joint Directors (Prosecution) :**

In the Prosecution Department, 3 posts of Joint Director of Prosecution are sanctioned, out of which one post of Joint Director of Prosecution is in North Zone, Dharamshala and 2 Joint Director of Prosecution are working in the Directorate of Prosecution, Hqrs to aid and assist the Director of Prosecution in the day today functioning of the

Department The Joint Directors (Prosecution) have been designated as Public Prosecutors vide Government notification dt. 28.8.1988 who are also required to conduct trial and appear in the courts with in the State of Himachal Pradesh in important cases as and when ordered by the State Government/Director of Prosecution. The organizational chart of the department is given above at page-2 of the report. However, the work assigned to amongst the Joint Directors is as under:

**I. Joint Director (Prosecution, NZ, Dharamshala:**

- i) Controlling Officer of all the Law Officers and their subordinate staff pertaining to Districts Chamba, Una, Kangra and Hamirpur.
- ii) To lookafter the work both Civil and Criminal etc. pertaining to the offices of DAs/ADAs and APPs of the districts mentioned in para-1.
- iii) To supervise the functioning of the law officers/ministerial staff working under his control as above and shall also be responsible for its smooth and efficient working.
- iv) To inspect the subordinate offices as per directions of the Director of Prosecution.
- v) To render the opinion on files referred to him by the Incharge of the District Prosecution Agency.
- vi) Any other work is entrusted to him from time to time by the Director of Prosecution from time to time.

**II. Joint Director (Prosecution)-I Hqrs., Shimla:**

- i) To look after the entire litigation work both civil & criminal including monthly diaries, counsel fee bills etc. at the Directorate Hqrs., Shimla-9.
- ii) To inspect the subordinate offices as per directions and approval of Director of Prosecution.
- iii) To tender opinion on the matters marked by the Director of Prosecution and any other legal matter i.e. including enactment/amendments of any Act and Rules.
- iv) Supervision of the work relating to Litigation Cell, Directorate of Prosecution.
- v) Subject regarding Right to Information Act, 2005.

**III. Joint Director (Prosecution)-II Hqrs., Shimla:**

- i) Controlling Officer of the establishment of Directorate of Prosecution, Hqrs, Shimla-9.
- ii) Budget & Accounts, Audit Paras, maintenance of Stores & Stationery and Library.
- iii) To supervise the functioning of the Law officers and ministerial staff.
- iv) Any other work including inspection of subordinate Offices as entrusted by the Director of Prosecution.
- v) Controlling Officer of the maintenance of vehicles.

**3. District Attorneys:**

The District Attorney while functioning as Public Prosecutor and Government Pleader shall work as under:-

- a) The District Attorney shall be the In-charge of the district prosecution agency and is responsible for ensuring conduct of State cases civil, revenue, criminal and other miscellaneous matters through-out the District including motor vehicle/labour/consumer matters.

- b) He shall tender opinion on legal matters at district level when called upon by the District Magistrate or directed by the Director or Joint Director (Prosecution).
- c) He shall facilitate scrutiny of challans in criminal cases by Law Officers/Prosecutors subject to general directions of the Director of Prosecution.
- d) He shall cause the preparation of grounds of appeal in civil/criminal matters etc. after examining all cases of acquittal/inadequate sentence passed at Sessions level or in other cases where appeal is to be filed in the High Court.
- e) He shall also perform any other duty assigned by the Director of Prosecution.
- f) He shall further communicate all the instructions issued by the Director of Prosecution/ State Government to all the Law Officers posted under his control.
- g) The District Attorney is the controlling officer of all the Law Officers and the Ministerial staff of his District. The District Attorney is the competent authority for sanctioning earned leave up to one month to the ministerial staff and sanctions casual leave of Deputy District Attorneys, Dy. Dist. Attorneys (FTC) and Assistant District Attorneys in the District. The Superintendent of Police is the Controlling Officers of the Law Officers posted in their respective offices.
- h) The District Attorney is also required to review and supervise the working of Deputy District Attorneys, Additional District Attorneys and Assistant District Attorneys.
- i) The District Attorney gives guidance to Law Officers posted in the Superintendent of Police offices for making them effective Legal Advisors to the said offices.
- j) Quarterly meetings of all the Prosecutors posted in the District use to be called in the District headquarter by the District Attorney and date of such meeting is fixed in advance for the current year so that no case is fixed for hearing on those days and Presence of all prosecutors should to be ensured. Agenda of such meeting shall be finalized in advance. Endeavour is made in the quarterly meetings to apprise the Prosecutors about new developments regarding important legal issues/judgments/laws etc. and serious prosecution deficiencies noticed recently and remedial action for the future etc. Record of such meeting is being maintained and communicated to the Director of Prosecution.

Apart from the District Attorneys in the Districts, there is one post of District Attorney (Forest) who conducts the Forest cases under the Indian Forest Act, Forest (Conservation) Act or Wild Life (Protection) Act before the Special Judge (Forest) Himachal Pradesh. There is one post of District Attorney (Revenue), who conducts the revenue cases in the courts of Financial Commissioner (Appeals), Divisional Commissioners and Settlement Commissioner and before the State Consumer Disputes Redressal Commission, District Consumer Disputes Redressal Forum. The State Government has created a post of District Attorney at Delhi to keep liaison with the Advocates-on-Record/Additional Advocate General in pending litigation by or against the State of Himachal Pradesh before the Hon'ble Supreme Court, Central Administrative Tribunal, National Human Rights Commission etc.

#### **4. Deputy District Attorneys:**

- a) The Government of Himachal Pradesh vide Notification No. LLR-B (1A)-2/89 dt. 18th February, 1994 has notified all the Deputy District Attorneys of Prosecution Department as Public Prosecutors for conducting prosecution in the State of Himachal Pradesh under the provisions of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

- b) The Deputy District Attorneys shall draft plaints/written statements/replies and affidavits in cases relating to their court and in matters assigned to them by the District Attorney.
- c) The Deputy District Attorneys will generally conduct civil, criminal, revenue and other miscellaneous work in the courts of Additional District & Sessions Judge. They will also look after the work which is assigned to them by the District Attorney and during the leave period of District Attorney, they will attend to court work of District & Sessions Judge and other administrative and miscellaneous work in addition to their own duties as may have been assigned by the District Attorney. They are also to examine decided cases relating to their court on the receipt of certified copies and submit proposals of appeals/revisions etc. to the District Attorney.
- d) The Deputy District Attorneys are also to conduct scrutiny of police challans in respect of their court and such other challans as may be assigned to them by the District Attorney. As in the case of District Attorney, in cases of serious crime where the Law Officer of SP office has scrutinized the challan at an earlier stage, they will verify that the observations of the Law Officer on the scrutiny memo have been complied with by the Investigating Agency.
- e) They will also render legal opinion on different matters and submit their opinion to the District Attorney concerned whenever asked in a case.

#### **5. Assistant District Attorneys:**

Assistant District Attorneys at District Headquarters function as Assistant Public Prosecutors/ Government Pleaders and work under the overall control and supervision of the District Attorney in the district, and conduct cases in the courts of Chief Judicial Magistrates and other Judicial Magistrates as per work allocation or as per directions of the District Attorney from time to time. They also examine judgments of acquittals, discharge and cases of inadequate sentence and submit their opinion/reports to the District Attorney for taking further action. An Assistant District Attorney at District Headquarters may be asked by the District Attorney to conduct government cases in revenue/executive courts of Deputy Commissioner/Additional Deputy Commissioner/Additional District Magistrate and take further steps for appeals/revision in decided cases. They will, in respect of the criminal Court to which they are assigned, scrutinize the challans on the scrutiny memo format before the challan is put in the court. Scrutiny of the police challans relating to their court or as marked to them by the District Attorney before its submission to the concerned courts will also be carried out by them and they shall also look after the additional work, which is assigned by the District Attorney. They shall draft plaints/written statements/replies and affidavits relating to their court and matters assigned to them by the District Attorney. The Assistant District Attorney is the Officer in charge of the Prosecution Department at Sub-divisional level and while exercising the powers of Drawing and Disbursing Officer, he also looks after the establishment and accounts matters.

#### **6. Superintendent Grade-I (Gazetted Class I):**

There is 1 post of Superintendent Grade-I in the Directorate of Prosecution, Headquarters, who shall be responsible for overall supervision of the working of Ministerial Staff posted in the Directorate of Prosecution, Headquarters.

## **MINISTERIAL STAFF (OFFICIALS):**

### **7. Superintendent Grade-II:**

There is 1 post of Superintendent Grade-II in the Directorate of Prosecution, Headquarters, who deals with the establishment of Class-I Gazetted Officers of the Department.

### **8. Personal Assistant:**

The Personal Assistant is required to assist the Director of Prosecution in his day-to-day working.

### **9. Senior Assistants:**

There are 18 posts of Senior Assistants in the Department. At the Directorate level, the Senior Assistants work as Dealing Hand in various branches as Litigation/Establishment/ Accounts/ Budget (Finance)/ vehicles. At the District level, the Senior Assistants are required to deal with the establishment/ budget/ correspondence/Service Books etc. of the concerned District.

### **10. Junior Scale Stenographer/Steno-typists :**

There are 14 posts of Junior Scale Stenographers and 3 posts of Steno-typists in the Department. The District Attorneys in the Districts have been provided with Junior Scale Stenographers, who are required to take dictation from the officers and attend to the phone calls as well as personal management of said officers.

### **11. Junior Assistant/Clerks:**

There are 93 posts of Junior Assistants and Clerks in the Department. The Junior Assistants/Clerks posted in Directorate, District and Sub-divisional level are assigned various duties like dealing and maintenance of office records including case files, Diary & Dispatch, preparation of bills, cash work, service records of the officials and miscellaneous registers pertaining to office working.

### **12. Peons:**

There are 94 posts of various categories of Class IV regular employees including Peons, Chowkidars and Sweeper in the Department.

## **Chapter III**

### **PROCEDURE FOLLOWED IN DECISION MAKING INCLUDING CHANNELS OF SUPERVISION AND ACCOUNTABILITY:**

**(A) Decision making in Criminal Cases:**

This Department conducts the litigation work of the State both criminal and civil. In this process, the Prosecution Department plays pivotal role. After conclusion of the criminal cases in the court, the Prosecutor Incharge of the case examines the decision on facts and law. In criminal cases, if the case ends in conviction and the punishment is adequate, the ends of justice is met but in case of acquittal and inadequate punishment in the case, the appeal/revision is proposed by the concerned Prosecutor and sent to the concerned quarter as deemed necessary. If the case is fit for appeal he submits the grounds of appeal to the District Attorney, who further examines the grounds/proposals for appeal and forward them to the concerned District Magistrate. The District Magistrate further submits the proposal for filing an appeal to the Home Department, where the decision, whether the appeal is to be preferred before the Hon'ble High court of Himachal Pradesh or not, is taken for filing appeal before the Sessions Court. D.M. directs the Public Prosecutor to prefer appeal or otherwise.

**(B) Decision making in Civil Matters:**

The Government Pleader, on the date of announcement of judgment/decree in a civil case/Land reference case, applies for a copy of judgment/decree. If the case has ended in favour of Department/State, the case is forwarded to the Department concerned for records. If the judgment/decree is against the Department/State, the Government Pleader examines the judgement and decree on facts as well as law. In case if the judgement is wrong and illegal, he prepares the grounds of appeal and submits the same along with his opinion to the Department concerned, who further examines the matter in consultation with the Law Department. In case the judgement is correct and legal, he gives his legal opinion and sends the matter as above for the decision and implementation of the judgement and decree at the earliest. As such this department is a part and parcel in the decision making process of the Government relating to court matters regarding filing of appeal.

**(C) Decision Making in Administrative Matters:**

In the matters where a complaint/application etc. is made against some Officer/Official of the Department, the PUC (paper under consideration) is moved on file by a Dealing Hand of the Directorate. The file will be moved through Superintendent Grade-I to the Joint Director (Prosecution). The Joint Director (Prosecution) shall further examine the matter and submits the file to the Director of Prosecution, Himachal Pradesh, who will decide whether the case is fit for further inquiry or any action required to be taken.

**Supervision and Accountability:-**

The Directorate of Prosecution, Himachal Pradesh is under the administrative control of the Home Department of Himachal Pradesh and the Hon'ble Chief Minister of Himachal Pradesh is the Minister-in-Charge.

In the Department, working of Assistant District Attorneys/ Deputy District Attorneys is supervised by the District Attorney, concerned; further, the Joint Directors supervise and conduct inspection of the offices of District Attorneys/Assistant District Attorneys. The Director of Prosecution supervises the working of Joint Director of

Prosecutions/District Attorneys/Assistant District Attorneys and submits inspection reports to the Government from time to time.

Government of Himachal Pradesh has sanctioned six posts of various categories i.e. one District Attorney, one Deputy District Attorney, one Senior Assistant, one Stenographer and two Clerks for a Litigation Branch in the Directorate of Prosecution for the purpose of examination of Judgments of acquittal passed by various Courts with a view to pinpoint the deficiencies in investigation or prosecution. In this Cell, the copies of judgment received from the District Attorneys are examined, analyzed and deficiencies pinpointed and remedial action are suggested to the investigating agency as well as the Prosecutors. This Branch functions under the supervision of Joint Director (Prosecution) Hqrs.

## Chapter IV

### NORMS SET BY IT FOR DISCHARGE OF ITS FUNCTIONS

Officers of the Directorate of Prosecution are required to discharge any of the following functions, depending on the nature of their posting:-

- a) Prosecution of criminal cases in the court of Sessions Judge/ Special Judge/Additional Sessions Judge including Fast Track Courts, and Judicial Magistrates.
- b) Institution and defence of suits or proceedings filed by or against the Government in the court of District Judge, Subordinate Courts, before the State Consumer Disputes Redressal Commission, Industrial Tribunal–cum-Labour Court, Motor Accident Claims Tribunal, Rent Controller and before Executive Magistrates.
- c) Drafting/vetting of plaints/written statements/replies, including pleading/presentation on behalf of the Collectorate and such Government Departments where a Law Officer is provided from the Directorate.
- d) Conduct of revenue cases before the Financial Commissioner /Divisional Commissioners / Settlement Collectors/ Collectors.
- e) Legal Opinion in Government Departments where Law Officer is provided from the Directorate.
- f) Scrutiny of police Challans and legal opinion to investigating agency through Law Officers provided from the Directorate and also through the Prosecutors.
- g) Drafting/vetting/filing of civil, criminal and revenue appeals etc. in respect of Collectorates and in such departments where a Law Officer is provided.

#### **Norms:**

After a case is registered with the Police, same is investigated and challan under relevant sections is submitted to the APP for examination who examines the same satisfying himself that all mandatory provisions have been complied with and investigation has been done in a proper way and legal evidence has been collected, APP recommends it to be put up in the Court. In case of deficiency, same are pointed to be removed by the investigating agency.

After this, the case is entrusted to the APP/PP, who conducts the same before the Court till its final decision. After decision of the same, in case of acquittal or inadequate sentence, proposal for filing appeal is submitted to the District Attorney, who further submits the same to the District Magistrate for the approval of the competent authority to file an appeal/revision. etc.

Assistant District Attorneys at District Hqrs and those manning sub-division offices are required to submit monthly diary of decided cases conducted by them before the courts to the District Attorney concerned on the prescribed proforma on or before 5th day of each month. District Attorney is required to submit monthly diaries of civil, criminal, revenue, MACT, reference and miscellaneous cases decided by the different courts in the district on composite prescribed proforma to the Directorate of Prosecution on or before 10th of each month. Annual Administration report regarding all the cases conducted during the financial year are submitted by the District Attorneys, in charge of district prosecution agency, in the first week of April to the Director of Prosecution for perusal and reconciliation. District Attorney inspects the offices of the Prosecutors under his control in the District and hold meetings as per instructions issued by the Directorate and efforts are made in such meetings to develop and enhance personality, legal skill, acumen and effective presentation in the Courts by the Prosecutors. Each law officer is called to join, deliberate and air his independent legal opinion and not be a mere spectator. While holding meetings emphasis is to interact with the prosecutor and to apprise/guide them about the latest



amendments/notifications/orders/laws/legal citations. The District Attorney submits inspection report and minutes of such meetings to the Director of Prosecution along-with remedial measures for strengthening the working of the Prosecution Department in the Districts with special measures required to be taken for avoiding such lapses/mistakes in future. Joint Directors inspect each and every office of the Prosecutor at District Hqrs and sub-divisional level and hold meetings, twice in a year. The register of such meetings is regularly maintained as per prescribed proforma and minutes communicated to the Directorate for perusal. The Joint Directors of Prosecution submit inspection report and minutes of such meetings to the Director of Prosecution along with remedial measures for strengthening the working enhancement of the performance and efficiency of each Prosecutor in their respective Districts. Personal Assistant attached to the Director of Prosecution on the receipt of e-mail regarding daily conducting of criminal cases from all the Prosecution offices in the State, submits the same before the Director of Prosecution on the same day for perusal and further action. Director of Prosecution holds inspection of the Prosecution Offices throughout the State of Himachal Pradesh once a year and special emphasis is laid on the detailed legal discussion regarding pending criminal cases of different nature with the Prosecutors concerned. The Director of Prosecution submits detailed inspection reports to the Principal Secretary (Home) to the Government of Himachal Pradesh. The Director of Prosecution while submitting report suggests remedial measures for improving the working of each prosecutor and their efficiency and immediate steps required to be taken for removing difficulties or lacunae being noticed by the Prosecutors in the various enactments, while conducting prosecution of cases.

## Chapter V

### RULES, REGULATIONS, INSTRUCTIONS, MANUALS AND RECORDS, HELD BY IT OR UNDER ITS CONTROL OR USED BY ITS EMPLOYEES FOR DISCHARGING ITS FUNCTIONS.

#### 1. Cr.P.C SECTION 24:-

##### Public Prosecutors:

(1) For every High Court, the Central Government or the State Government shall, after consultation with the High Court, appoint a Public Prosecutor and may also appoint one or more Additional Public Prosecutor for conducting in such court, any prosecution, appeal or other proceeding on behalf of the Central Government or State Government, as the case may be.

(2) The Central Government may appoint one or more Public Prosecutors for the purpose of conducting any case or class of cases in any district, or local area.

(3) For every district the State Government shall appoint a Public Prosecutor and may also appoint one or more Additional Public Prosecutors for the district:

Provided that the Public Prosecutor or Additional Public Prosecutor appointed for one district may be appointed also to be a Public Prosecutor or an Additional Public Prosecutor, as the case may be, for another district.

(4) The District Magistrate shall, in consultation with the Sessions Judge, prepare, a panel of names of persons, who are, in his opinion fit to be appointed as Public Prosecutor or Additional Public Prosecutors for the district.

(5) No person shall be appointed by the State Government as the Public Prosecutor or Additional Public Prosecutor for the district unless his name appears in the panel of names prepared by the District Magistrate under sub-section (4).

(6) Notwithstanding anything contained in sub-section (5), where in a State there exists a regular Cadre of Prosecuting Officers, the State Government shall appoint a Public Prosecutor or an Additional Public Prosecutor only from among the persons constituting, such Cadre:

Provided that where, in the opinion of the State Government, no suitable person is available in such Cadre for such appointment that Government may appoint a person as Public Prosecutor or Additional Public Prosecutor, as the case may be, from the panel of names prepared by, the District Magistrate under sub-section (4).

*(Explanation: -- For the purpose of this sub- section,-*

- (a) “regular Cadre of Prosecuting Officers” means a Cadre of Prosecuting Officers which includes therein the post of a Public Prosecutor, by whatever name called, and which provides for promotion of Assistant Public Prosecutors, by whatever name called, to that post;

(b) “Prosecuting Officer” means a person, by whatever name called, and appointed to perform the functions of a Public Prosecutor, an Additional Public Prosecutor or an Assistant Public Prosecutor under this Code.)

(7) A person shall be eligible to be appointed as a public Prosecutor under sub-section (1) or sub-section (2) or sub-section (3) or sub-section (6), only if he has been in practice as an advocate for not less than seven years.

(8) The Central Government or the State Government may appoint, for the purposes of any case or class of cases, a person who has been in practice as an advocate for not less than ten years as a Special Public Prosecutor.

(9) For the purposes of sub-section (7) and sub-section (8), the period during which a person has been in practice, is a pleader, or has rendered (whether before or after the commencement of this Code) service as a Public Prosecutor or as an Additional Public Prosecutor or Assistant Public Prosecutor or other Prosecuting Officer, by whatever name called, shall be deemed to be the period during which such person has been in practice as an advocate.]

#### **Section 25. Assistant Public Prosecutors.**

(1) The State Government shall appoint in every district one or more Assistant public Prosecutors for conducting prosecutions in the courts of Magistrates.

1[(1A) The Central Government may appoint one or more Assistant Public Prosecutors for the purpose of conducting any case or class of cases in the courts of Magistrates]

(2) Save as otherwise provided in sub-section (3), no police officer shall be eligible to be appointed as an Assistant Public Prosecutor.

(3) Where no Assistant Public Prosecutor is available for the purposes of any particular case, the District Magistrate may appoint any other person to be the Assistant Public Prosecutor in charge of that case:

Provided that a police officer shall not be so appointed-

(a) If he has taken any part in the investigation into the offence with respect to which the accused is being prosecuted: or

(b) If he is below the rank of Inspector.

#### **SECTION “25- A”.**

##### **Directorate of Prosecution –**

(1) The State Government may establish a Directorate of Prosecution consisting of a Director of Prosecution and as many Deputy Directors of Prosecution as it thinks fit.

(2) A person shall be eligible to be appointed as a Director of Prosecution or a Deputy Director of Prosecution, only if he has been in practice as an advocate for not less than ten years and such appointment shall be made with the concurrence of the Chief Justice of the High Court.

(3) The Head of the Directorate of Prosecution shall be the Director of Prosecution, who shall function under the administrative control of the Head of the Home Department in the State.

(4) Every Deputy Director of Prosecution shall be subordinate to the Director of Prosecution.

(5) Every Public Prosecutor, Additional Public Prosecutor and Special Public Prosecutor appointed by the State Government under sub-section (1), or as the case may be, sub section (8), of section 24 to conduct cases in the High Court shall be subordinate to the Director of Prosecution.

(6) Every Public Prosecutor, Additional Public Prosecutor and Special Public Prosecutor appointed by the State Government under sub-section (3), or as the case may be, sub section (8), of section 24 to conduct cases in District Courts and every Assistant Public Prosecutor appointed under sub-section (1) of section 25 shall be subordinate to the Deputy Director of Prosecution.

(7) The powers and functions of the Director of Prosecution and the Deputy Directors of Prosecution and the areas for which each of the Deputy Directors of Prosecution have been appointed shall be such as the State Government may, by notification, specify.

(8) The provisions of this section shall not apply to the Advocate General for the State while performing the functions of a Public Prosecutor.”

#### **PROSECUTION MANUAL**

In the year 2008 i.e. January, 2008, the Government of Himachal Pradesh has published its first Prosecution Manual, which will increase efficiency of Prosecutors in the State. The rules, procedure and guidelines will make the prosecutors/law officers more accountable and curb delays in day-today functioning of the Department. The Manual will also guide and improve the working of the Prosecution Department of Himachal Pradesh.

#### **R&P Rules of following categories are available:**

<b>Category</b>	<b>Notification No. &amp; date</b>
Director of Prosecution	Home (Prose) B (2)13/99 dt. 22.5.2002 Home (Prose) B (2)13/99 dt. 22.5.2006
Joint Director of Prosecution	LLR-B (14)13/84 dt. 9.11.2000 LLR-B (14)13/84 dt. 20.10.2004
Dist. Attorney	LLR-B (1)1/89 dt. 30.9.1993 LLR-B (1)1/89 dt. 30.7.1997 LLR-B (1)1/89 dt. 10.12.98 LLR-B (1)1/89dt. 20.10.2003
Dy. Dist. Attorney	LLR-B (1)-2/89 dt. 7.5.1997 LLR-B (1)-2/89 dt. 19.3.1999
Asstt. Dist. Attorney	Home (Prosecution)B(2)1/2003 dt. 5.8.2003 Home (Pros)B(1)-1/2003 dated 21.5.2009
Supdt. Grade I	LLR-A (3)-2/95 dt. 30.1.1997 LLR-A (3)-2/2002 dt. 30.6.2003
Supdt. Grade II	LLR-B (2)4/87 dt. 12.7.1990 LLR-B (2)4/87 dt. 2.7.1993 notified LLR-B (14)1/95 dt. 15.3.1997 Home (Prose) B (2)7/99 dt. 4.8.1999 Home(Pros)A(3)1/2006 dated 16.04.2007
Personal Asstt.	LLR-B (14)1/95 dt. 15.3.1997

Sr. Asstt.	LLR-B (1)2/84 dt. 26.7.1993 LLR-B (1)2/84 dt. 20.10.1994 Home (Prose) B (2)9/99 dt. 6.5.2003
Librarian	LLR-B (2)1/91 dt. 29.4.1993 LLR-B (2)1/91 dt. 20.10.1994 Home (Prose) B (2)3/99 dt. 30.5.2005
Jr. Scale Stenographer	Home(Pros)B(2)11/99- dated 28.11.07 Home(Pros)B(2)11/99 dated 12.2.2009
Steno typist	LLR-B (2)4/91 dt. 7.6.1993 Home(Pros)B(2)4/99 dated 24.9.2009
Driver	LLR-B (2)3/91 dt. 24.10.1992 LLR-B (2)3/91 dt. 26.7.1993 Home (Prose) B (2)5/99 dt. 4.8.1999 Home (Prose) B (2)5/99 dt. 2.12.2005
Clerk	LLR-B (2)2/91 dt. 24.9.1993 LLR-B (2)2/91 dt. 4.12.1993 LLR-B (2)2/91 dt. 20.8.1994 Home (Prose) B (2)6/99 dt. 4.10.1999 Home (Prose) B (2)6/99 dt. 28.8.2000
Peon	LLR-B (1)4 /841 dt. 1.1.993 LLR-B (1)4 /841 dt. 10.7.1993 Home (Prose) B (14)8/97 dt. 7.6.2003
Chowkidar	LLR-B (1)4/84 dt. 10.7.1993 Home (prose) B (12)12/99 dt. 10.7.2003 Home(Pros)B(2)12/99 dated 12.7.07
Sweeper	Home (Prose) A (3)1/98 dt. 26.8.1999 Home (Prose) A (3)1/98 dt. 6.10.2005

There are no separate rules, regulations, instructions, manuals and records of this Department however it is governed by the CCS (CCA) conduct Rules as are applicable to other State Govt. employees. Moreover, the functions of the Prosecutors are governed by the provisions of Cr.P.C. in conduct of prosecution.

## **Chapter VI**

### **A STATEMENT OF THE CATEGORIES OF DOCUMENTS THAT ARE HELD BY IT OR UNDER ITS CONTROL.**

The Police files in various criminal cases containing zimni orders/FIR/MLCs/Statements of PWs/DWs etc. pending trial. Beside it, the civil files containing Copy of the plaint/written statements/copies of statements of PWs/ DWs /judgments/ decree sheets/orders etc.

Other than the court files, there are other routine files like: Establishment files/Service Books of the employees/ accounts/ Budget/bills/ Store purchase bills/pay bill etc.

-----

## **Chapter VII**

### **THE PARTICULARS OF ANY ARRANGEMENT THAT EXISTS FOR CONSULTATION WITH OR REPRESENTATION BY, THE MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC IN RELATION TO THE FORMULATION OF ITS POLICY OR IMPLEMENTATION THEREOF.**

The Prosecution Department does not have any direct public dealing as it works under the provisions of Cr.P.C. and represents State before various courts in the State. As such, presently, there is no such arrangement for consultation with, or representation by, the members of the public in relation to the formulation of its policy or implementation thereof.

-----

## **Chapter VIII**

### **A STATEMENT OF THE BOARDS, COUNCILS, COMMITTEES AND OTHER BODIES CONSISTING OF TWO OR MORE PERSONS CONSTITUTED AS ITS PART OR FOR THE PURPOSE OF ITS ADVICE, AND AS TO WHETHER MEETINGS OF THOSE BOARD, COUNCILS, COMMITTEES AND OTHER BODIES ARE OPEN TO THE PUBLIC, OR THE MINUTES OF SUCH MEETINGS ARE ACCESSIBLE FOR PUBLIC.**

As stated earlier, this Department deals with the State cases criminal/civil/land reference/revenue/appeals in all such matters, before the Judicial courts, revenue courts and consumer courts through-out the State. Therefore, no necessity to constitute any boards, councils, committees and other bodies to seek advice.

-----

## Chapter IX

### A DIRECTORY OF ITS OFFICERS AND EMPLOYEES.

#### TELEPHONE DIRECTORY PROSECUTION DEPARTMENT

Sr. No.	Name of officer S/Sh.	Designation	Place of posting	Office	Fax	Mobile	Residence
1	2	3	4	5			6
1.	Subhash Chander Negi, IAS	Pr. Secy (Home)	HP Sectt.	2621907		94181 29215	2621006
2.	J.P. Singh, IAS	Secretary(Home)	HP Sectt. E-319	2621768 2880657		94188 88888	2807444
3.	Vijay Kumar Sharma	Dy. Secy (Home)	HP Sectt.	2880551		94180 62926	2624420
4.	Smt. Meera Mahajan	SO(Prosecution)	HP Sectt. E219	2880-564		-	2774352
<b>DIRECTORATE OF PROSECUTION SHIMLA SDA COMPLEX BLOCK NO. 22 171009</b>							
5.	H.S. Rana	Director of Prosecution	Shimla-9	2620147 2623871 2002147	2623882	94184 54479	2656264
6.	Jawahar Sharma	Joint Director (Prosecution) I	Shimla-9	2624590	2623882	94180 19254	2620577
7.	B.S. Thakur	Joint Dir(Prosecution)II	Shimla-9	2621759	2623882	94180 81455	-
8.	R.C. Bakshi	DA(Revenue)	Shimla-9	2623882		98170 80839	-
9.	N.S. Verma	DA (Lit)	Shimla-9	2623882		94180 45437	-
10.	Giri Raj Singh	Dy. DA(Litigation)	Shimla-9	2623882		94180 76139	-
11.	Anoop Sharma	ADA Hqrs	Shimla-9	2623882		94184 82115	-
12.	Lal Singh	ADA Hqrs(Sect)	Shimla-2	2623382		94180 37529	
13.	Deep R Sharma	Supdt. Grade- I	Shimla-9	2623882		98164 64299	2835542
14.	Rustam S Patial	PA to Director(P)	Shimla-9	2623871		94180 75667	
<b>DISTRICT SHIMLA 0 177</b>							
15.	P.R. Patial	Distt. Attorney	Shimla-1	2657031 2800140	2653535 DC	94184 74425	2627449
16.	L.S. Negi.	Distt. Attorney (Forest)	Shimla-1	2652121		94180 38266	
17.	Jagdish Kanwar	Dy. DA, (FTC)	Shimla	2657031		94184 57611	
18.	G.S. Rathore	Dy. DA	Shimla	2657031		94182 14606	
19.	Suneel Kuthiala	Dy. DA	Shimla(Sectt.)	2880832		94184 94401	
20.	Dharamvir Singh Parmar	Dy. DA	Shimla	2657031		94180 78353	
21.	Ashwani Dhiman	Dy. DA	Shimla	do		94180 40405	
22.	Ms. Gulab Negi	ADA	Do	do		94184 50919	

23.	Randeep Singh Parmar	Dy. DA (SP Office)	Do	-		94184 93999	
24.	Ritu Malik	ADA	Do	2657031		94180 84006	
25.	Ms.Sheetal Bansal	ADA	Do	do		98161 78857	
26.	Vikas Dhaura	ADA	Do	do		94180 66481 98161 66481	
27.	Manu Kalia	ADA	do	do		98172 78899	
28.	Reena Chauhan	ADA	Do	do		94180 22498	
29.	HCS Negi	ADA	Do	do		94181 40565	
30.	Prithi Singh	ADA	Rohru	01781-240134		94184 00065	
31.	Suresh Hetta	ADA	Rohru	01781-240134		94186 44243	
32.	Vacant	ADA	Chopal	01783-260588		--	
33.	Atma Ram	ADA	Jubbhal	01781		98163 29825	
34.	Naveen Chander	ADA	Theog	01783-238570		94181 19753	
<b>DISTRICT KINNAUR AT RAMPUR 0 1782</b>							
35.	vacant	DA	Rampur	01782 233145	233002 DC	-	
36.	Vacant	Dy. DA	-	-	-	-	
37.	Digvijay Singh	ADA	Kinnaur at R/Peo	951786-223702		94181 36967	
38.	Bhagwan Singh Negi	ADA	Anni at Rampur	Do		98161 35798	
<b>DISTRIC SOLAN 01792</b>							
39.	CB Acharya	DA	Solan	223829	220086 DC	94180 74522	226250
40.	S.D. Vasudeva	Dy. DA (FTC)	Solan	226314		94188 42954	
41.	Parkash Thakur	Dy .DA office back-up	Solan	223829		94180 22108	
42.	NL Sen	Dy .DA	Solan	do		94180 70712	
43.	Yashpal Singh	ADA	Solan	do		94184 92277	
44.	Sanjay Chauhan	ADA	Solan	do		94180 36120	
45.	Kapil Mohan Gautam	ADA	Kasauli	01792 272809		94180 16715	
46.	Sangeeta Justa	ADA	do	-do-		94183 18064	
47.	Rajeshwar Dutt	ADA	Kandaghat	01792-256157		94182 03838	
48.	Kulbhushan Gautam	ADA	Arki	01796-220717		94180 57440	
49.	Sandeep Attri	ADA	Nalagarh	01795-223239		94181 68163	
50.	Rajender Chauhan	ADA SP office	SP Solan	223863		94186 86784	
<b>DISTRICT SIRMAUR AT NAHAN 0 1702</b>							
51.	Rajinder Sharma	DA	Nahan	222507	223175 DC	94186 48935	222506
52.	Ajmer Singh	Dy. DA (FTC)	Nahan	do		94181 60439	
53.	Bhima N Shandil	ADA SP office	Nahan	225002		98160 79797	



54.	Sandeep Sharma	ADA	Nahan	222507		98164 49059	
55.	Sanjay Pandit	ADA	Nahan	222507		94180 86259	
56.	Mohinder Kumar	ADA	Rajgarh	0 1799 221205		94180 57809	
57.	Umesh Mohan	DDA as ADA	Poanta	0 1704 222149		94184 64929	
58.	Hemant Singh	ADA	Poanta	do		94180 24006	
<b>DISTRICT BILASPUR 0 1978</b>							
59.	R.P. Kashav	DA	Bilaspur	222597	223065 DC	94181 31100	222637
60.	Vacant	Dy. DA Backup-office	Bilaspur	do			
61.	Champa Devi	ADA	Bilaspur	222597		94184 71837	
62.	Joginder Singh	ADA SP Office	Bilaspur	do		98164 92531	
63.	Ravinder Kumar Bharwal	Dy. DA FTC	Ghumarwin	254211		94181 63636	
64.	Jitender Goswami	ADA	Ghumarwin	255251		94184 83837	
65.	Rahul Chopra	ADA	Ghumarwin	do		94184 58036	
<b>DISTRICT MANDI 0 1905</b>							
66.	Vinod Chaudhary	DA	Mandi	223358	225213 DC	94184 60442	
67.	Vacant	Dy.DA	Mandi				
68.	Yash Pal Negi	Dy. DA SP Office	Mandi	223019		94181 70490	
69.	J.K. Lakhanpal	DA adj as. DDA FTC	Mandi	222470		94180 20719	
70.	Kishan Singh	ADA	Mandi	223358		94180 20719	
71.	Anuj Sharma	ADA	Mandi	do		94184 96388	
72.	Chanan Singh	ADA	Mandi	do		98163 22493	
73.	Ajay Thakur	ADA	Mandi	do		01905 242509 94184 00032	
74.	Shashi Kant	ADA	Karsog	0 1907 221219		94180 43515	
75.	NS Chauhan	ADA	J/nagar	0 1908 222003		94181 38112	
76.	Sohan Singh	ADA	S/Nagar	0 1907 266413		94181 15333	
77.	Sudeep Singh	ADA	S/Nagar	do		94180 16674	
78.	Vinod Bhardwaj	ADA	Sarkaghat	01905 230007		94180 23509	
79.	Umesh Sharma	ADA	Gohar	01907 250912		94181 82444	
<b>DISTRICT HAMIRPUR 0 1972</b>							
80.	Kashmir Singh Verma	DA	Hamirpur	222484		94180 59555	222485
81.	Sanjeev Katoch	Dy. DA FTC	Hamirpur	225944		94181 21680	
82.	G.C. Rana	Dy. DA SP office	Hamirpur	224358		94186 35509	
83.	D.S. Parmar	ADA	Hamirpur	222484		9816115611	
84.	Kapil Sharma	ADA	Hamirpur	do		94180 87300	

85.	Vacant	ADA	Hamirpur	do			
86.	Sudhir Sharma	ADA	Hamirpur	do		94183 06255	
87.	Sharad Lagwal	A.DA	Barsar	0 1972 289564		94180 35457	
88.	Eklabya	ADA	Nadaun	0 1972 233845		98173 74759	
<b>DISTRICT UNA 0 1975</b>							
89.	Ram Swaroop	Distt. Attorney	Una	223446	223781 DC	94181 22395	226150
90.	Krishan Kumar	Dy. DA SP Office	Una	225056		94181 02904	
91.	A.K. Nadda	Dy. DA	Una	223446		94181 25101	
92.	Ashok Kumar	Dy .DA FTC	Una	224305		94180 83399	
93.	S.K. Bharwal	ADA	Una	223446		94183 07129	
94.	Kamal Kishore	ADA	Una	223446		98176 32718	
95.	Devender Kumar	ADA	Una	do		94180 68756	
96.	CS Bhatia	ADA	Amb	0 1976 260074		94181 51081	
97.	Sandeep Kumar	ADA	Amb	do		94180 39894	
<b>DISTRICT KANGRA 0 1892</b>							
98.	R.P. Raina	Joint Director	D/sala	223103	223323 DC	94180 12952	223106
99.	R.L. Saini	District Attorney	D/sala	222895		94180 01331	203700
100.	Vacant	Dy.DA					
101.	Kuldeep Sen	Dy. DA	D/sala	222895		94180 83233	
102.	H.S. Dhiman	Dy. DA( Labour Court)	D/Sala	do		94181 22395	
103.		Dy. DA	D/sala				
104.	Jagdish Chandel	Dy .DA S.P. office	D/sala	222911			
105.	Ravi Kant Kaushal	Dy .DA FTC	D/sala	223654		94180 62405	
106.	Geetranjan Bhardwaj	ADA	D/sala	222895		94180 28282	
107.	Vacant	ADA		-			
108.	Anil Awasthi	ADA	D/sala	222895		98170 34880	
109.	Luddar Mani	ADA	Dehra	951970 233152		94181 84724	
110.	Satpal Garg	ADA	Dehra	do		94184 15600	
111.	Bisham J Badresh	ADA	Jawali	951893 262048		94181 22848	
112.	Vacant	ADA	Kangra	01892 265191		-	
113.	Pankaj Dhiman	ADA	Kangra	do		94180 80435	
114.	Vacant	ADA	Nurpur	01893 220139			
115.	Udey Singh	ADA	do	do		94181 89340	
116.	Navina Rahi	ADA	Palampur	01894 230478		94183 00827	
117.	Sanjeev Rana	ADA	do	do		94181 21124	

118.	Bhuvnesh Awasthi	ADA	Bajjnath	0 1894 263874		94180 16474	
119.	Vijay Kumar	ADA	Indora	0 1893 241758		94182 15002	
<b>DISTRICT CHAMBA 0 1899</b>							
120.	Mohinder Chauhan	DA	Chamba	222469	225371 DC	94181 92495	222788
121.	S.S. Pathania	Dy. DA FTC	Chamba	222469		94180 60022	
122.	Naveen Kumar	ADA	Chamba	do		98163 16522	
123.	Praveen Kumar	ADA	Chamba	do		98162 36790	
124.	Bhuvnesh	ADA	Dalhausie	0 1899 242347		94182 88818	
<b>DISTRICT KULLU 0 1902</b>							
125.	S.C. Ranout	DA	Kullu	222318	225396 DC	94186 75200	222353
126.	Mahesh Sen	Dy. DA (FTC)	Kullu	224757		94180 74002	
127.							
128.	Ajit Thakur	Dy. DA	Kullu	222318		94184 82800	
129.		ADA	L&S	0 1902 226722			
130.	NS Katoch	ADA	Manali	0 1902 250200		94182 85817	
131.	Umesh Sharma	ADA	Anni	0 1904 253227		94181 82444	
<b>DELHI 011</b>							
132.	Anil Sood	DA	H. Bhawan New, Delhi	011 23356776	23321072 M 23715087 RC	099711 56986	
133.	Smt. Ritu Randeve	ADA	do	do	-	099580 91042	

**TELEPHONE DIRECTORY OF PROSECUTION DEPARTMENT  
OFFICERS ON SECONMENT WITH OTHER DEPARTMENTS AS ON 31.03.2010**

Sr. No.	Name of officer S/Sh.	Designation	Place of posting	Office	Fax	Mobile	Residence
1	2	3	4	5			6
1	J.L. Sharma	Special PP SV & ACB Khalini	Shimla-2	2625287		94180 69111	
2	R.R. Thakur	Special PP SV & ACB Khalini	Shimla-2	2625287		98160 70887	
3	N.K. Sharma	JD(P) SV & ACB SR	Shimla-2	2623460		94184 62895	
4	Ram Lal Thakur	JD(P) SV & ACB SR	Shimla-2	2623460		94184 03556	
4	K.S. Thakur	PHQ Shimla	Shimla-2	2621714		94180 11090	
5	Raghuvir Singh	Jt. Dir., PTC Daroh	Daroh Kangra	01894 275138 275170		94181 79203	
6	Tara Singh	Jt. Dir.,BBMB	Nangal Pb.	01887 223113		94175 87855	223317
7	Ravinder Chaudharty	DA SV&ACB D-sala	D/sala	224623		94180 88804	267017
8	RD Sharma	DA PTC	Daroh	01894 275138 27570		94182 23307	

9	V.K. Behl	DA Excise Dept.	Shimla-9	2621268		98170 43270	
10	J.S. Rana	DA SV&ACB SR	Shimla-2	2623061		98160 92127	2831535
11	RL Bhardwaj	DA (Vig HQ)	Shimla-2	2622484		94181 58482	
12	AK Pattarwal	DA (Ele.Edn.)	Shimla	2657054		94184 84374	
13	MR Sharma	DA (Higher Edn.)	Shimla-1	2658434		98160 36250	
14	Naresh Ghai	DA Pr. CCF Shimla	Shimla	2624207		94181 14770	
15	Bipin Kumar Marwah	DA SJVNL, Shimla	Shimla	2670741		94181 57977	
16	B.S. Pathania	DA SV&ACB	Mandi			94180 45262	
17	Ashok Sugyan	Dy. DA PWD	D-Sala	224948		94181 88327	
18	Shamsher Singh	Dy. DA SV&ACB	Shimla	2656535		94184 57890	
19	Mohinder Chauhan	Dy. DA Health	Shimla	2621892		94180 85084	
20	Vipul Sharma	Dy. DA SV&ACB	Mandi			94182 79481	
21	RD Chaudhary	Dy. DA SV&ACB D/sala	D/sala	224623		94180 48219	
22	T.C. Kainthla	Dy. DA (PWD)	Shimla	267041		94184 91956	
23	Monika Malhotra	Dy. DA (PWD)	Mandi	223913		94180 55501	
24	Purinder Sharma	Dy .DA (PWD)	Shimla-2	2654968		94184 83414	
25	Surender Negi	Dy. DA Power Corpn.	Shimla			94180 85076	
26	Mukta Kashyup	ADA TCP	Shimla	2623061		98165 94505	
27	Rajesh Kumar	ADA	PTC Daroh	01894 275138 275170		94184 62456	
28	Parkash Thakur	ADA(Vig.HQ)	Shimla	2622484		94181 04491	
29	Chander Mohan	ADA, Prisons, Hq	Shimla-9	2628850		94180 60744	

## Chapter X

### THE MONTHLY REMUNERATION RECEIVED BY EACH OF ITS OFFICERS AND EMPLOYEES INCLUDING THE SYSTEM OF COMPENSATION AS PROVIDED IN ITS REGULATIONS.

Sr. no.	Category of post	Pay scale + Grade pay and other allowances.
1.	Director of Prosecution	37400-67000
2.	Joint Director Prosecution	15600-39100
3.	Dist. Attorneys	15600-39100
4.	Deputy Dist. Attorneys	10300-34800
5.	Asstt District Attorneys	10300-34800
6.	Superintendent Grade I	10300-34800
7.	Superintendent Grade II	10300-34800
8.	Personal Assistant	10300-34800
9.	Sr. Assistant	10300-34800
10.	Librarian	10300-34800
11.	Jr. S. Stenographer	5910-20200
12.	Steno Typist	5910-20200
13.	Clerks	5910-20200
14.	Drivers	5910-20200
15.	Peons	4900-10680
14.	Chowkidars	4900-10680
15.	Sweeper	4900-10680

## Chapter XI

### THE BUDGET ALLOCATED TO EACH OF ITS AGENCY, INDICATING THE PARTICULARS OF ALL PLANS, PROPOSED EXPENDITURES AND REPORTS ON DISBURSEMENTS MADE.

ALLOCATION OF FUNDS UNDER DEMAND NO. 31 UNDER MAJOR HEAD 2014-ADMINISTRATION OF JUSTICE, 796-TRIBAL AREA SUB PLAN, 03-EXPENDITURE ON OTHER LAW OFFICERS (NON PLAN) FOR THE YEAR 2010-11.

Sr. No.	Name of DDO	SALARY	WAGES	TE	LIVERY	O.E.	MR	Training	TTE
1.	District Attorney, Kinnaur at Rampur	16,70,000.00	18,000.00	12,000.00	5,000.00	40,000.00	9,000.00	15,000	3,000
2.	Assistant District Attorney, Kinnaur at Rekong Peo	8,00,000.00	15,000.00	5,000.00	-	25,000.00	5,000.00	5,000	0
3.	Assistant District Attorney, L&S at Kullu	5,00,000.00	15,000.00	8,000.00	5,000.00	14,000.00	4,000.00	5,000	0
	<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>29,70,000.00</b>	<b>48,000.00</b>	<b>25,000.00</b>	<b>10,000.00</b>	<b>79,000.00</b>	<b>18,000.00</b>	<b>25,000</b>	<b>3,000.00</b>

DETAILS OF BUDGET SANCTIONED UNDER DEMAND NO. 3 & 31 FOR THE YEAR 2008-09. BUDGETARY PROVISIONS

**DEMAND NO. 3.**

STATEMENT SHOWING THE SANCTIONED BUDGET AND ACTUAL EXPENDITURE (EXCESS & SURRENDER) UNDER MAJOR HEAD 214-ADMINISTRATION OF JUSTICE, 114-LEGAL ADVISORS AND COUNSELS, 02-OTHER LAW OFFICERS (NON PLAN) FOR THE YEAR 2009-10 PERTAINING TO THE PROSECUTION DEPARTMENT, HIMACHAL PRADESH.

Sr. No.	SOE	Sanctioned budget	Supplementary /additionally	Total	Actual expenditure Up-to 31.03.10	Excess (+)	Surrender (-)
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
1	SALARY	66917000	0	66917000	81250886	14333886	0
2	WAGES	2050000	0	2050000	1739244	0	310756
3	TE	384000	200000	584000	555450	0	28550
4	LIVERY	55000	250000	305000	85000	0	220000
5	OE	2405000	0	2405000	2462069	57069	0
6	MR	612000	600000	1212000	1524979	312979	0
7	RRT	450000	0	450000	243162	0	206838
8	PUBLICATION	11000	0	11000	10456	0	544
9	PP & SS	1526000	1400000	2926000	3092688	166688	0
10	OTHER Charges	1000	0	1000	1000	0	0
11	MV	229000	100000	329000	372760	43760	0
12	TTE	60000	0	60000	43543	0	16457
	<b>Total</b>	<b>74700000</b>	<b>2550000</b>	<b>77250000</b>	<b>91381237</b>	<b>14914382</b>	<b>783145</b>

**DEMAND NO. 31**

STATEMENT SHOWING THE SANCTIONED BUDGET AND ACTUAL EXPENDITURE (EXCESS & SURRENDER) UNDER MAJOR HEAD 2014-ADMINISTRATION OF JUSTICE,(796)-TRIBAL AREA SUB PLAN-03- EXPENDITURE ON OTHER LAW OFFICERS (Non Plan) FOR THE YEAR 2009-10 PERTAINING TO THE PROSECUTION DEPARTMENT, HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA.

Sr. No.	SOE	Sanctioned budget	Additionally/ Supplementa ry.	Total	Expen diture	Excess (+)	Surren der (-)
1.	2.						
1.	SALARY	2479000	0	2479000	2492795	13795	0
2.	Wages	44000	20000	64000	54491	0	9509
3.	TE	20000	25000	45000	45433	433	0
4.	Livery	10000	5000	15000	0	0	15000
5.	OE	72000	150000	222000	216924	0	5076
6.	MR	14000	30000	44000	53895	9895	0
7.	TTE	3000	0	3000	0	0	3000
	<b>Total:-</b>	<b>2642000</b>	<b>230000</b>	<b>2872000</b>	<b>2863538</b>	<b>24123</b>	<b>32585</b>

**Budget sanctioned and Exp. Incurred under Demand No. 3(Plan/Non Plan for the year 2009-10**

Sr. No.	Major head	Sanctioned	Expenditure	Total
1	Major head 4059-Outlay on Public Works, 01-Office Building, 051-Works, 25-construction of offices for District Attorneys(Plan)	1,00,00,000	1,00,00,000	1,00,00,000
2	Major head 2059-Public Works, 01-Office Building - 053-Maintence of Directorate of Prosecution Department building (Non-Plan)	1,00,000	1,00,000	1,00,000
	<b>Total</b>	<b>1,01,00,000/-</b>	<b>1,01,00,000</b>	<b>1,01,00,000</b>



## Chapter XII

### **THE MANNER OF EXECUTION OF SUBSIDY PROGRAMMES, INCLUDING THE AMOUNTS ALLOCATED AND THE DETAILS OF BENEFICIARIES OF SUCH PROGRAMMES.**

No such subsidy programme is undertaken by this Department.

## Chapter XIII

### **PARTICULARS OF RECIPIENTS OF CONCESSIONS, PERMITS OR AUTHORISATIONS GRANTED BY IT.**

Nil.

## Chapter XIV

### **DETAILS IN RESPECT OF THE INFORMATION, AVAILABLE TO OR HELD BY IT, REDUCED IN ANY ELECTRONIC FORM.**

- a) Annual Administrative Report.
- b) Yearly Budget.

## Chapter XV

### **THE PARTICULARS OF FACILITIES AVAILABLE TO CITIZENS FOR OBTAINING INFORMATION INCLUDING THE WORKING HOURS OF LIBRARY OR READING ROOM, IF MAINTAINED FOR PUBLIC USE.**

The information is available on the official website of Home Department, Govt. of Himachal Pradesh for obtaining information under the Act.

## Chapter XVI

### THE NAMES, DESIGNATIONS AND OTHER PARTICULARS OF THE PUBLIC INFORMATION OFFICERS.

NAME, DESIGNATION AND LIST OF  
THE **PUBLIC INFORMATION OFFICERS** OF PROSECUTION DEPARTMENT, HP  
As on **31.03.2010**

Sr. No.	Name of the officer & designation	DISTRICT/ DIVISION	SUB	Tel. Office
1.	Shri H.S. Rana, Director of Prosecution	Appellate Authority in respect of the of Public Information Officers of the Prosecution Department, HP		0177 2623871 0177 2620147 94184 54479
2.	Shri Jawahar Sharma, Joint Director of Prosecution-II	PIO Directorate of Prosecution, Shimla-9.	Hqrs,	2624590 94180 19254
3.	Sh. Deep Ram Sharma, Supdt. Grade I	APIO Directorate of Prosecution, Shimla-9.	Hqrs,	2623882 9816464299
<b>PUBLIC INFORMATION OFFICERS AT DISTRICT LEVEL</b>				
4.	Sh.R.P. Kashav, Dist. Attorney	Bilaspur		0 1978 222597 94181 31100
5.	Sh. Vinod Chaudhary, District Attorney	Mandi		0 1905 223358 94184 60442
6.	Sh. Rajinder Sharma, Dist. Attorney	Sirmour at Nahan		0 1702 222507 94186 48935
7.	Sh. R.S. Sharma, Dist. Attorney	Una		01975 223446 94181 22395
8.	Sh. Mohinder Singh Chauhan, Dist. Attorney	Chamba		0 1899 222469 94181 92495
9.	Sh. R.L. Saini, Dist. Attorney	Dharamshala		0 1892 223103 94180 01331
10.	Sh. C.B.Acharya, Dist. Attorney	Solan		0 1792 223829 94180 74522
11.	Sh. P.R. Patial, District Attorney.	Shimla		0 177 2657031 94184 74425
12.	Sh. S.C. Ranout, Dist. Attorney	Kullu		0 1902 222318 94186 75200
13.	Sh. K.S. Verma, District Attorney	Hamirpur		0 1972 222484 94180 51555
14.	District Attorney	Kinnaur at Rampur		0 1782 233145
15.	Sh. Anil Sood, DA, HP LC New Delhi	District Attorney, Office, Himachal Bhawan, New Delhi		011 23356776 094180 75158
16.	Sh. L.S. Negi, Distt. Attorney.	(Forest) Shimla		0177 265211 94180 38266

<b>ASSISTANT PUBLIC INFORMATION OFFICERS AT SUB DIVISIONAL/DISTRICT LEVEL IN HP</b>			
17.	Sh. , ADA	Lahul & Spiti	0 1902 226722
18.	Sh. Jagdish Kanwar, ADA	Shimla	0177 2657031 94184 57611
19.	Sh. Suresh Hetta, ADA	Rohru	0 1781 240134 94186 44243
20.	Sh. Naveen Chander, ADA	Theog	0 1783 238570 94184 57611
21.	Sh. Atma Ram, ADA	Jubbal	98163 29825 --
22.	Sh. Atma Ram till joining of ADA at Chopal	Chopal	0 1783 260588 98163 29825
23.	Sh. Digvijay Singh, ADA	Reckong Peo	0 1786 223702 94181 36967
24.	Sh. Parkash Chand Thakur, Dy.DA	Solan	0 1792 223829 94180 22108
25.	Sh. Kapil Mohan Goutam, ADA	Kasauli	0 1792 272809 94184 56715
26.	Sh. Rajeshwar Dutt, ADA	Kandaghat	0 1792 256157 94182 23838
27.	Sh. Kulbhushan Goutam, ADA	Arki	0 1796 220717 94180 57440
28.	Sh. Sandeep Attri, ADA	Nalagarh	0 1795 223239 94181 68163
29.	Sh. Sanjay Pandit , ADA	Nahan	0 1702 222507 94180 86259
30.	Sh. M.K. Sharma, ADA	Rajgarh	0 1799 221205 94180 57809
31.	Sh. Hemant Singh, ADA	Paonta	0 1704 222149 94180 24006
32.	Ms. Champa Devi, ADA	Bilaspur	0 1978 222597 94184 72837
33.	Sh. Jitender Kumar, ADA.	Ghumarwin	0 1978 255251 94184 83837
34.	Sh. Kishan Singh,ADA	Mandi	0 1905 223358 94180 20719
35.	Sh. Sohan Singh, ADA	Sundernagar	0 1907 266413 94181 15333
36.	Sh. Shashi Kant, ADA	Karsog	0 1907 221219 94180 43515
37.	Sh. NS Chauhan, ADA	Joginder Nagar	0 1908 222003 94181 38112
38.	Sh. Vinod Bhardwaj, ADA	Sarkaghat	0 1905 230007 94180 94369
39.	Sh. Umesh Sharma, ADA	Gohar	0 1907 250912 94181 82444
40.	Sh. DS Parmar, ADA	Hamirpur	01972 222484 98161 15611
41.	Sh. Sharad Lagwal, ADA	Barsar	0 1972 289564 94180 35457
42.	Sh. Eklabya, ADA	Nadaun	0 1972 232626 98173 74759
43.	Sh. SK Bharwal, ADA	Una	0 1975 223446 94183 07129

44.	Sh. C.S.Bhatia, ADA	Amb	0 1976 260074 94180 68756
45.	Sh. Anil Awasthi, ADA	Kangra at Dharamshala	0 1892 222895 98170 34880
46.	Sh. Bhuvnesh Awasthi, ADA	Baijnath	0 1894 263874 94180 16474
47.	Sh. Satpal Garg, ADA	Dehra	0 1970 223152 94184 15600
48.	Sh. Bhishm Badresh Jawal, ADA	Jawali	0 1893 262048 94181 22848
49.	Sh. Sanjeev Rana, ADA	Palampur	0 1893 220139 94181 21124
50.	Sh. Uday Singh ADA,	Nurpur	0 1894 230478 94181 89340
51.	Sh. Pankaj Dhiman, ADA	Kangra	0 1892 265191 94180 39526
52.	Sh. Vijay Kumar, ADA	Indora	01893 241752 94182 15002
53.	Sh. S.S. Pathania, Dy.DA	Chamba	0 1899 222469 94180 60022
54.	Sh. Bhuvnesh, ADA	Dalhausie	0 1899 242347 94182 88818
55.	Sh. Mahesh Sen, Dy.DA	Kullu	0 1902 226722 94180 74002
56.	Sh. N.S. Katoch, ADA	Manali	0 1902 250200 94182 85817
57.	Sh. Bhagwan Singh, ADA.	Anni	0 1904 253227 98161 35798

## Chapter XVII

### OTHER INFORMATION

(i) **DETAIL OF SANCTIONED STRENGTH OF DIRECTORATE OF PROSECUTION**

Demand No.3 (Non-Plan)					
Sr. No.	Post	Sanctioned post	Filled up	Vacant	Remarks.
1.	2.				6.
1	Director (Prosecution)	1	1	0	
2	Joint Director of Prosecution	3	3	0	
3	District. Attorneys	14	13	1	
4	Deputy Distt. Attorneys	23	14	9	
5	Asstt. District .Attorneys	86	67	19	
6	Superintendent, Grade-I	1	1	0	
7	Superintendent, Grade-II	1	1	0	
8	Personal Assistant	1	1	0	
9	Senior Assistants	17	17	0	
10	Librarian	1	0	1	
11	Junior Scale Stenographer	13	11	2	
12	Steno Typist	3	3	0	
13	Clerks	81	64	17	
14	Drivers	3	3	0	
15	Peons	77	71	6	
16	Chowkidars	3	3	0	
17	Sweeper	1	1	0	
	<b>Total</b>	<b>329</b>	<b>274</b>	<b>55</b>	

Demand No.3 (Plan)					
1	Deputy District Attorney	9	8	1	
2	Clerks	9	7	2	
3	Peons	9	8	1	
4	<b>Total</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>4</b>	

Demand No.31 (NON PLAN)					
1	Distt. Attorneys	1	1	-	
2	Deputy Distt. Attorneys	1	0	1	
3	Asstt .Distt. Attorneys	2	1	1	
4	Senior Assistants	1	1	-	
5	Junior Scale Stenographer	1	1	-	
6	Clerks	3	2	1	
7	Peons	3	3	-	
8	Chowkidar	1	1	-	
	<b>Total</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>3</b>	

**Detail of sanctioned strength of daily wages staff/Part time worker (Demand No. 3)**

1	Peon (Daily wage)	4	2	2	
2	Sweeper(Daily wage)	18	18	-	
3	Part time workers	24	23	1	
	<b>Total</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>3</b>	

**Total 329+27+13+46 = 415**

**LAW OFFICER OF PROSECUTION DEPARTMENT WHO ARE WORKING IN OTHER DEPARTMENT**

**(A) Officers on secondment basis:**

<b>1. STATE VIGILANCE &amp; ANTICORRUPTION BUREAU, H.P. SHIMLA.</b>	
1.	Sh. Ram Lal Thakur, Joint Director of Prosecution, Shimla.
2.	Sh. N.K. Sharma, Joint Director of Prosecution, Shimla.
3.	Sh. Ravinder Chaudhary, Distt. Attorney, North Zone, Dharamshala.
4.	Sh. J.S. Rana, Distt. Attorney, South Zone, Shimla.
5.	Sh. R.L. Bhardwaj, District Attorney (Vigilance), Hqrs, Shimla-2.
6.	Sh. B.S. Pathania, District Attorney, Central Zone, Mandi.
7.	Sh. Shamsher Singh, Deputy District Attorney, South Zone, Shimla.
8.	Sh. R.D. Chaudhary, Dy. Distt. Attorney, D/shala.
9.	Sh. Vipul Sharma, Deputy District Attorney, Central Zone, Mandi.
10.	Sh. Prakash Thakur, Asstt. Distt. Attorney, Vigilance Hqrs. Shimla.
<b>2. DIRECTORATE OF EDUCATION</b>	
11.	Sh. M.R. Sharma, Distt. Attorney (Secondary Education)
12.	Sh. Ram Lal, Distt. Attorney (Elementary Education)
<b>3. POLICE TRAINING COLLEGE, DAROH (PALAMPUR)</b>	
13.	Sh. Raghubir Singh, Joint Director of Prosecution.
14.	Sh. R.D. Sharma, Distt. Attorney
15.	Sh. Rajesh Kumar, Assistant Distt. Attorney
<b>4. HEALTH &amp; FAMILY WELFARE DEPARTMENT, HP, SHIMLA-9</b>	
16.	Sh. Mohinder Chauhan, Dy. Distt. Attorney.
<b>5. RURAL DEVELOPMENT AND PANCHAYATI RAJ, SHIMLA-9:</b>	
17.	Smt. Gulab Negi, Asstt. Distt. Attorney
<b>6. EXCISE AND TAXATION DEPARTMENT:</b>	
18.	Sh. Vinod K Behl, Distt. Attorney.
<b>7. HP PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT:</b>	
19.	Purinder Sharma, Dy. Distt. Attorney, Shimla.
20.	Sh. Ashok Sugyan, Dy. Distt. Attorney, PWD NZ, D/Shala.
21.	Smt. Monika Malhotra, Dy. Distt. Attorney, PWD Mandi.
<b>8. TOWN AND COUNTRY PLANNING DEPARTMENT</b>	
22.	Smt Mukta Kashyup, Asstt. District Attorney.
<b>9. HP PRISON DEPARTMENT, SHIMLA.</b>	
23.	Sh. Chander Mohan, Asstt. District Attorney,

<b>10. POLICE HEADQUARTER:</b>	
24.	Sh. K.S. Thakur, Joint Director of Prosecution, Police Hqrs
<b>11. INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL -CUM- LABOUR COURT.</b>	
25.	Sh. Jagdish Kanwar, Deputy Distt. Attorney, Shimla.
26.	Sh. Hardayal Singh Dhiman, Deputy District Attorney, Dharamshala.
<b>12. BEAS BHAKRA MANAGEMENT BOARD, NANGAL.</b>	
27.	Sh. Tara Chand, Joint Director(Prosecution)
<b>13. Satluj Jal Vidudh Nigam, Shimla.</b>	
28.	Sh. Bipin Kumar Marwah, Distt. Attorney
29.	Sh. Tara Chand, Kainthla., Dy. Distt. Attorney
<b>14. Pr. Chief Conservator of Forests, Shimla.</b>	
30.	Sh. Naresh Chand Ghai, District Attorney.
<b>15. Power Corporation, Shimla.</b>	
31	Sh. Surinder Negi, Dy. Distt. Attotney

**(B) VACANT POSTS**

At the end of the year **2008-09**, **47** posts under different categories were vacant, wherein **4** posts of Deputy District Attorneys, **11** posts of Assistant District Attorneys, **1** post of Senior Assistant, **1** post of Junior Scale Stenographer, **1** posts of Steno typists, **one** post of Librarian, **one** post of Driver, **18** posts of Clerks (16 regular & 2 contract basis) and **9** posts of Peons (**6** regular, **1** contract & **2** daily wages) were lying vacant.

Out of aforesaid vacant posts during the year **2009-10**, **1** post of Assistant, **1** post of Steno typist and **1** post of Driver have been filled up. At the end of the year **2009-10**., the following posts are lying vacant:

Sr. No.	Post	Number of posts
1	District Attorney	1
2	Deputy District Attorney	10
3	Assistant District Attorney	20
4	Senior Assistants.	0
5	Junior Scale Stenographer	2
6	Steno-Typist	0
7	Librarian	1
8	Driver	0
8	Clerks (Regular)	18
9	Clerks(Contract basis)	2
10	Peon( Regular 6, Contract 1 & daily waged 2)	9
11	Part time	1
<b>Total</b>		<b>64</b>

The posts of Librarian, Junior Scale Stenographers, Steno-typist and Clerks are to be filled-up by direct recruitment as per the Govt. instructions. These posts cannot be filled without the prior approval of the Finance Department, which is still awaited. However, requisition has been sent to the H.P. Subordinate Services Selection Board, Hamirpur, for filling up the posts of Steno typist and Clerks, but so far no name has been sponsored.

(C) **JUDICIAL WORK**

1. **CRIMINAL CASES:**

During the year **2009-10** in the beginning as on **1.4.2009** there were **37073** cases under Indian Penal Code and Special/other laws in various courts in the State of H.P., were pending. **15073 new cases** were instituted during the year **2009-10** and in **2301** cases accused were convicted and in **6727** cases accused were acquitted. **33** cases were discharged. **361** Cases were withdrawn. In **356** cases proceedings have been abated /stopped due to the death of the accused persons and in **109** cases accused were declared as proclaimed offenders. **3302** Cases were transferred to different courts. **1197** cases were compromised & compounded. Hence, at the end of the year **2009-10** as on **31.3.2010**, **37664** cases were pending in the different courts. The rate of conviction during the year **2009-10** is **34%**, which is quite satisfactory. Detailed statement of criminal cases is given in **annexure A-I**.

(i) **Murder cases:**

During the year **2009-10** in the beginning as on **1.4.2009** there were **177 murder** cases under Indian Penal Code in various courts in the State of H.P., were pending. **235 new cases** were instituted during the year **2009-10** and in **33** cases accused were convicted and in **50** cases accused were acquitted. **5** Cases were withdrawn. In **6** case proceedings have been abated /stopped. **126** Cases were transferred to different courts. **No** Case was discharged. Hence, at the end of the year **2009-10** as on **31.3.2010**, **191 cases** were pending in the different courts. The rate of conviction during the year **2009-10** is **40%**, which is quite satisfactory.

(ii) **Rape cases:**

During the year **2009-10** in the beginning as on **1.4.2009** there were **295 rape** cases under Indian Penal Code in various courts in the State of H.P., were pending. **419** new cases were instituted during the year **2009-10** and in **32** cases accused were convicted and in **111** cases accused were acquitted. **201** Cases were transferred to different courts. In **one** case accused was declared as proclaimed offender. **2** cases were **withdrawn**. Hence, at the end of the year **2009-10** as on **31.3.2010**, **365 cases** were pending in the different courts. The rate of conviction during the year **2009-10** is **22%**.

(iii) **N.D.P.S. Cases:**

During the year **2009-10** in the beginning there were **603 NDPS Act** cases in various courts in the State of H.P., were pending. **631** new cases were instituted during the year **2009-10** and in **69** cases accused were convicted and in **141** cases accused were acquitted. **172** Cases were transferred to different courts. **In 4** cases proceedings were dropped. Hence, at the end of the year **2009-10** as on **31.3.2010**, **847 cases** were pending in the different courts. The rate of conviction during the year **2009-10** is **33%**.

(iv) **Forest cases:**

During the year **2009-10** in the beginning as on **1.4.2009** there were **815 Forest** cases under Forest Act in various courts in the State of H.P., were pending. **171 new cases** were instituted during the year **2009-10** and in **25** cases accused



were convicted and in 130 cases accused were acquitted. 48 Cases were transferred to different courts. In 4 cases proceedings were dropped. Hence, at the end of the year 2009-10 as on 31.3.2010, 776 cases were pending in the different courts. The rate of conviction during the year 2009-10 is 16%.

(v) **Excise Cases:**

During the year 2009-10 in the beginning as on 1.4.2009 there were 6685 cases under Excise Act in various courts in the State of H.P., were pending. 2181 new cases were instituted during the year 2009-10 and in 289 cases accused were convicted and in 1649 cases accused were acquitted. 91 Cases were transferred to different courts. 299 cases were compounded/ compromised. In 103 cases proceedings were dropped. 49 accused persons were declared as proclaimed offender. **An amount of Rupees 17, 50,100 /- of fine has been imposed thereon during the period under reference.** Hence, at the end of the year 2009-10 as on 31.3.2010, 6171 cases were pending in the different courts. The rate of conviction during the year 2009-10 is 15%.

2. **DETAIL RELATING TO CRIMINAL APPEALS/REVISIONS:**

During the year, 2009-10 in the beginning there were 1481 Criminal appeals/ revision pending in different courts of the State. 928 Criminal Appeals/revisions were instituted during the year 2009-10. 265 Cr. Appeals/revisions were decided against the State and in 389 Cr. Appeals/revisions convictions of the accused persons were maintained and as such they were decided in favour of the State. 114 Cases of appeals/revisions were transferred to other courts. 18 Cases were compromised and as such percentage of success to the State during the year 2009-10 is 59%, which is quite good. Thus the number of appeals/revisions at the end of the year 2009-10 is 1607. Detailed statement of Criminal Appeals/revisions is attached as Annex.-A 2.

3. **JUDICIAL WORK OF CIVIL CASES**

During the year, 2009-10 in the beginning as on 1.4.2009, there were 4119 civil cases pending in various courts of the State. 2101 cases were instituted during the year, 2009-10, 886 cases were decided in favour of the State. 250 cases were decided against the State and 37 cases were compromised. 22 Cases were withdrawn from the courts. 453 cases were transferred to other courts. Hence, at the close of the year as on 31.3.2010 number of cases pending is 4572. The percentage of success in civil cases during the year under reference is 78 % which is quite encouraging. The detailed statement of civil cases during the year under reference is given in Annexure A-3.

4. **HP LEGAL CELL, NEW DELHI (SUPREME COURT CASES)**

(a) **CIVIL MATTERS:**

There were 395 cases pending in the beginning of the year, 2009-10. 87 civil cases were instituted during the year 2009-10. 12 cases were decided in favour of the State and 28 cases were decided against the State. Hence at the end of the year 2009-10, 442 cases remained pending. The percentage of success in favour of the State comes to 30%.

(b) **CRIMINAL & OTHER MATTERS:**

In beginning of the year 2009-10, 213 cases were pending in the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India and 85 cases were presented in the year 2009-10. 17 cases were decided in favour of State and 44 cases were decided against the State. Hence, 237 cases remained pending at the end of the year 2009-10. The success rate comes to 28%.

5. **PROCEEDINGS UNDER PREVENTIVE SECTIONS OF CR.P.C.**

At the beginning of the year **2009-10**, **4005** cases under the preventive sections of Cr. PC were pending. **3844** cases were instituted during the year **2009-10** in the courts of different Executive Magistrates. In **83** cases persons were bounded down. **3459** cases were discharged, **8** cases were transferred and **336** cases were compromised. Hence, at the end of the year **2009-10**, **3963** cases were pending in different preventive sections of Cr. PC. Detailed Statement of security cases is attached as **Annexure A-4**. Proceedings under sections 107/109/145 Cr. PC were instituted directly by the Police before the Executive Magistrates as preventive measure to maintain the law and order.

6. **REVENUE CASES:-**

At the beginning of the year **2009-10 (1.4.2009)**, **453** cases were pending. **200 new** cases were instituted during the year, **2009-10**. **161** cases were decided in favour of the State and **40** cases were decided against the State. **One** case was transferred. **58** cases were remand back. Hence, at the end of year **2009-10 (31.03.2010)**, **393** cases were remained pending. The success rate in favour of the State comes to **80%**.

7. **FOREST CASES AT SHIMLA**

At the beginning of the year, **2009-10**, **no** case was pending before the Special Judge (Forest) Shimla. **4** cases were instituted during the year. **No** case was decided. Hence, **4** cases were pending at the close of the year **2009-10**.

8. (i) **Labour Court, Shimla.**

At the beginning of the year, **2009-10**, **149** cases were pending before Industrial Tribunal- cum- Labour court, Shimla. **62** cases were instituted during the year **2009-10**. **62** cases were decided in favour of the state and **35** cases were decided against the state of H.P. Hence, **109** cases were remained pending at the end of the year **2009-10**. The success rate comes to **64%**.

8. (ii) **Labour Court, Kangra at Dharamshala.**

There were **1060** cases pending at the beginning of the year. **192** new cases were instituted. **9** cases were decided in favour of the State and **391** cases were decided against the State. **3** cases were withdrawn. **849** cases were remained pending. The success rate comes to **2 %**.

9. **Consumer Court Cases.**

At the beginning of the year **2009-10**, **52** cases were pending before District Consumer Forum and H.P. State Consumer Redressal Commission, Shimla. **51** new cases were instituted during the year 2009-10. **35** cases were decided in

favour of the State and 3 cases were decided against the State, 1 case was compromised and 7 cases remand back. Hence, 54 cases were remained pending at the end of the year 2009-10. The success rate comes to 92 % in favour of the State.

(D) **OTHER WORK**

1. **TRAINING PROGRAMME:**

**(i) National Seminar and Courses in the LNJN, National Institute of Criminology & Forensic Science, Rohini, Delhi:-**

During the current financial year w.e.f. 1.4.2009 to 31.3.2010, 10 officers have been sent for various Seminars, Courses i.e. the National Seminar on Organised Crime, Course on Stress healthy Copying & Contracture Empowerment for Criminal Justice Functionaries, Course on Advanced Forensic Techniques for CJF, Course on Documents Examination for Criminal Justice Functionaries, Course on Expediting Justice Research, Courses on Management and any Social & Criminal Behaviour, Course on Human Rights in Criminal Justice system, Course on Resent Forensic Techniques for Criminal Justice system & Course on Forensic Science and Medicine in the National Institute of Criminology & Forensic Science, Government of India, Rohini Delhi.

**(ii) Training/ Course in the Himachal Pradesh, Institute of Public Administration Fairlawn's Shimla-12:-**

During the financial year w.e.f. 1.4.2009 to 31.3.2010, 50 officers and 21 officials have been sent for various Training/ Courses i.e. Right to Information Act, Office Procedure and Financial Management, Basic Computer Course, Advance Computer Course, Disciplinary Proceedings, Good Governance, Protection of Human Rights, Formulation of Budget & Fiscal Responsibilities and Role of Audit and Financial Management and E- Governance and Information Technology in the H.P. Institute of Public Administration , Fairlawn's Shimla.

2. **PUBLIC ACCOUNTS COMMITTEE/AUDIT PARAS/INSPECTIONS**

During the opening of the financial year 2009-10 w.e.f. 1.4.2009 there was no Para pending with Public Accounts Committee pertaining to this Department and also during this financial year there is no PAC para pending at the end as on 31.03.2010. At the end of the year 2008-09, there were 11 Audit reports involving 17 audit paras and 1 Test Audit Note were pending for settlement/ compliance. During the year 2009-20, 1 audit report involving 1 audit para raised by the audit. Out of above 4 audit reports etc. involving 9 paras and 1 Test Audit Note have been settled during the period 1.4.2009 to 31.3.2010. Hence, at the end of the year 2009-10 only 8 audit reports involving 9 audit paras remained pending for settlement. During the year 2009-10 steps were taken by the department for the settlement of the paras stated in the audit reports.

3. **REGARDING RULES AND REGULATIONS:**

The recruitment and promotion rules for all the categories of employees have been finalised.

4. **MEETING OF DEAPRTMENTAL PROMOTION COMMITTEE:**

During the year 2009-10, one meeting of Departmental Promotion Committee was held for giving benefits under Assured Career Progression Scheme to eligible Class III employee.

**5. SENIORITY LISTS:**

This department has released the seniority lists of all the Class **III** and **IV** employees' up-to 31.07.2007.

**6. CONFIDENTIAL REPORTS:**

The confidential reports for the year **2008-09** of Class III employees of this department have been completed.

**7. USE OF HINDI**

Almost all the work of this department except legal work is being done in Hindi. However, some legal work was also done in **Hindi**.

**8. BUDGET**

The detail of the amount, which is received from the Government on different sub Heads for the year **2009-10** under demand No. 3 and 31 is attached as Annexure **A-5** for perusal. Under this department, except Directorate other 39 offices are included under demand No. 3 and 31 offices fall under the demand No. 31.

During the year **2008-09** under the sub head Office Expenses less amount was allotted and the amount allotted was not sufficient to cope up with the pending bills of this Directorate. It is, therefore necessary that sufficient funds be provided under the above mentioned sub head for the smooth functioning of this Directorate.

**9. DIFFICULTIES:**

This department is not having sufficient ministerial staff to cope up with the day to day work. At the Head quarter level only one Sr. Asstt. 2 Clerks, one Junior Scale Stenographer, 2 peons are posted with the Distt. Attorneys, whereas at Dharamshala, Chamba, Hamirpur, Una, Bilaspur, Solan, Nahan, Shimla, Kullu and Mandi, the number of Law Officers are more than 5 and 6 and require more supporting staff. Thus the Law Officers are facing great hardship and work of the department is also suffering. The private advocates always have sufficient staff to assist them, but as compared to the private advocates ,the law officers of this department have no sufficient manpower to assist them. In this department one post of Junior Scale Stenographer had been sanctioned for each district head quarter level. However, at the sub divisional level, there is no post of stenographer and as such the work of this department is also suffering at sub divisional level in the absence of Stenos. The post of Steno is very much essential for the smooth and effective functioning of the offices at the sub divisional level.

It is, therefore, necessary that for the proper functioning of the work of this department, one post of clerk with each law officer at District Level and one post of Steno at each Sub Divisional level should be sanctioned.

At the District HQ level the District Attorney is the office-in-charge. He looks after the work of establishment/financial matters etc. In addition to legal works and mostly remains busy in the courts. Hence, the post of Superintendent Grade II is very essential for the smooth working of office of District Attorneys at the District Level. At the District level, law officers have been attached with the judicial courts and they remained busy in the judicial work for whole day and as such the cases before the Revenue courts/Executive Magistrate are not attended to. It is essential that in each district HQ and sub divisional level additional post of Asstt. District Attorney is created so that work may not suffer. This department

has taken steps for the creation of above posts in the past, many times with the Government and is still under consideration with the Govt.

In addition to this, it is pointed out that presently law officers/officials at the District level/sub divisional level have not been provided suitable official/residential accommodation. This matter also requires urgent attention.

#### **10. ACHIEVEMENTS OF THE DEPARTMENT**

(i) This Department has provided computers at Distt. Hqrs. and Sub Divisional Level which will help in monitoring of court cases and will increase the efficiency of Law Officers as the computers has become most indispensable accessory in the modern office working.

##### **(ii) Special Achievement:-**

During the financial year 2009-10 an amount of Rupees One Crore have been provided for the construction of office as well as residential accommodation. The construction work of the office of District Attorney, Solan, Bilaspur and Assistant District Attorney, Theog have been started by the concerned Executive Engineer, H.P. PWD and Residential accommodation of District Attorney, Kangra at Dharamshala has also been started and is likely to be completed shortly. Besides this codal formalities to start the construction work has been completed by the respective Executive Engineers of H.P. PWD authorities for the construction of office cum residential accommodation of Assistant District Attorney, Anni and office accommodation of Assistant District Attorney, Amb and work have also been awarded to the contractor to execute the construction work. The preliminary estimates & administrative approval for the construction of office accommodation of Assistant District Attorney, Jawali, for residential accommodation office of District Attorney, Bilaspur and Chamba have been accorded by the Government and work in these places are being executed shortly. No objection Certificate for transfer of land for the construction of office accommodation of Assistant District Attorney, Karsog has been provided by the Agriculture Department.

#### **11. PROSPECTIVE PLAN OF THE DEPARTMENT.**

The Department has provided Law Officers in the office of Superintendent of Police to tender legal advice at the stage of investigation which will improve the quality of investigation and increase the rate of conviction in criminal cases.

In order to protect the state interest in revenue cases pending before the revenue courts, the Department has also sent a proposal to the Govt. to provide Law Officers exclusively for these courts which will facilitate the early disposal of revenue cases and the property of the state worth crores of rupees will be protected. Efforts are afoot to increase the rate of conviction by applying latest technology i.e. Forensic science etc., in the prosecution of criminal cases.

#### **12. INSPECTION:**

Periodic inspections of the offices of District Prosecution agency and sub divisional level prosecution agency are carried out by the Director of Prosecution & Joint Director of Prosecution. The heads of the District Prosecution Agency have been instructed to inspect the office of Prosecution agencies in the Sub Division level within their jurisdiction.

#### **13. RIGHT TO INFORMATION ACT.**

During the year 2009-10, 36 applications under the Right to Information Act have been received in the department, and were disposed off well in time in accordance with the Act and Rules.

**14. CONVICTION RATE****a. IN TRIAL COURTS:**

Conviction rate in criminal cases conducted before different Trial Courts in H.P. w.e.f. **1.4.2009 to 31.3.2010** figured at **34%**, which is quite satisfactory and further steps are being taken to enhance the same by issuing directions to the prosecutors of this Directorate to increase their performance and efficiency accordingly.

**b. IN APPELLATE COURTS**

In criminal appeals/revisions preferred/instituted by the State of H.P. before the different appellate Courts of Ld. Sessions Judge/Additional Sessions Judge/Fast Track Courts respectively against the judgment of acquittals/orders passed by the Judicial Magistrates, the success rate of acceptance of appeal/revision in favour of State of H.P., comes to **59 %** which is quite encouraging and shows the effective performance by the Prosecution agency.

**15. GENERAL**

On the whole, the work of the prosecution agency in the State during the year **2009-10** has been found satisfactory. Efforts are being made to streamline the functioning of the Prosecution Department with a view to achieve a higher level of conviction rate so that HP becomes number one State in the field.

**Director of Prosecution,  
Himachal Pradesh.**

**STATEMENT OF CRIMINAL CASES W.E.F. 1.4.2009 TO 31.03.2010 SHOWING RATE OF CONVICTION & PERFORMANCE OF LAW OFFICERS**

Sr No	Name of the court	Name of the Law Officer	No. of cases pending at the beginning of year. (1.4.2009)	Instituted during the year 2009-10.	Convicted during the year.	Acquitted during the year.	Discharged during the year	Compromised / compounded during year.	Transferred during the year.	Proceedings dropped	withdrawn during the year	Proclaimed offender.	Others.	Total disposal during the year.	Cases pending at the close of year.	Rate of conviction. (2009-10).
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17
<b>1.</b>	<b>DISTRICT BILASPUR HP</b>															
1.	Session Judge	S.C. Ranout, DA	61	62	4	13	0	2	18	2	0	0	0	39	84	32%
2.	CJM BLP	Champa Devi, ADA	1459	244	43	105	2	34	28	10	10	1	0	233	1470	42%
3.	JMIC BLP	Joginder Singh, ADA	1529	255	21	100	0	4	17	6	7	0	0	155	1629	20%
4.	ACJM Gwin	Jitender Kumar, ADA	1137	355	29	76	0	24	251	5	28	0	2	415	1077	41%
5.	JMIC –I Gwin-	Anil Kumar, ADA	338	140	11	96	0	17	4	2	11	1	0	142	336	23%
6.	JMIC-II Ghumarwin	Rahul Chopra	0	248	0	0	0	0	248	0	0	0	0	248	0	-
7.	ASJ FTC Ghumarwin	R.K. Bharwal, DDA	41	22	3	15	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	20	43	17%
	<b>Total</b>		<b>4565</b>	<b>1326</b>	<b>111</b>	<b>405</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>81</b>	<b>568</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>56</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1252</b>	<b>4639</b>	<b>32%</b>
<b>2.</b>	<b>DISTRICT CHAMBA HP</b>															
1.	Session Judge.	RD Sharma, DA	19	47	12	21	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	36	30	36%
2.	CJM Chamba	Naveen Kumar ADA	976	470	112	114	2	59	5	10	6	4	20	332	1114	60%
3.	JMIC Chamba	Parveen Thakur, ADA	665	137	9	90	0	24	5	6	5	2	17	158	644	27%
4.	SDJM Dalhousie.	Bhuvesh, ADA	766	240	82	211	0	15	9	4	12	9	10	352	654	31%
5.	FTC	S.S. Pathania, DDA	22	46	8	22	0	0	2	0	1	0	1	34	34	27%
	<b>Total</b>		<b>2448</b>	<b>940</b>	<b>223</b>	<b>458</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>98</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>912</b>	<b>2476</b>	<b>41 %</b>

<b>3. DISTRICT HAMIRPUR</b>																
1.	Sessions Judge	K.S. Verma, DA	8	52	6	22	0	0	24	0	0	0	0	52	8	21%
2.	FTC	Sanjeev Katoch, DDA	9	24	8	13	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	24	9	38%
3.	CJM	D.S. Parmar, ADA	208	137	15	79	0	22	90	0	2	0	0	208	137	32%
4.	JMIC I	Sudhir Sharma, ADA	171	130	7	44	0	5	52	0	4	0	0	112	189	21%
5.	JMIC II	K.D. Sharma, ADA	245	65	2	16	0	2	56	2	7	0	0	85	225	20%
6.	JMIC III	Geetranjan, ADA (Vacant)	211	138	13	62	0	8	62	4	0	0	0	149	200	25%
7.	JMIC-IV	K.D. Sharma, ADA (Vacant)	0	270	3	24	0	25	3	0	2	0	0	57	213	54%
8.	JMIC Badsar	Shard Lagwal, ADA	140	108	31	60	0	22	6	3	3	0	0	125	123	47%
9.	JMIC Nadaun	Eklabya, ADA	420	117	21	112	0	17	5	5	14	0	0	174	363	25%
	<b>Total</b>		<b>1412</b>	<b>1041</b>	<b>106</b>	<b>432</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>101</b>	<b>301</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>986</b>	<b>1467</b>	<b>32%</b>
<b>4. DISTRICT KANGRA AT DHARAMSHALA</b>																
1.	Sessions Judge	R.L. Saini, DA	68	138	10	28	0	0	101	0	0	0	0	139	67	26%
2.	ASJ- I	H.S. Dhiman, DDA	43	38	2	9	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	13	68	18%
3.	ASJ- II	Kuldeep Sain, DDA	54	29	9	9	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	19	64	50%
4.	ASJ-FTC	R.K. Kaushal, DDA.	36	37	1	35	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	37	36	3%
5.	CJM	Geetranjan, ADA,	243	152	50	48	0	5	14	4	3	0	1	125	270	53%
6.	JMIC I	-do- (Vacant) ADA	0	291	18	15	0	5	3	0	2	0	1	44	247	61%
7.	JMIC II	Anil Awasthi, ADA	296	102	4	21	0	18	201	2	1	4	9	260	138	51%
8.	ACJM	Sanjeev Rana,	260	292	100	144	0	27	26	5	9	0	0	311	241	47%



9.	Palampur JMIC Palampur	ADA Navina Rahi, ADA.	246	47	24	62	0	9	4	6	5	0	0	110	183	35%
10.	ACJM Nurpur	Udhay Singh, ADA	741	253	11	123	0	11	0	4	8	0	8	165	829	15%
11.	JMIC Nurpur	-Do- (Vacant) ADA	745	76	2	106	0	5	2	11	11	1	5	143	678	6%
12.	ACJM Dehra	Satpal Garg, ADA	399	155	9	71	0	18	11	1	0	0	0	110	444	28%
13.	JMIC Dehra	L.M. Sharma, ADA	435	169	42	76	0	38	9	3	3	0	0	171	433	51%
14.	ACJM Kangra	Pankaj Dhiman ADA	735	228	43	195	0	16	11	4	5	0	0	274	689	23%
15.	JMIC Kangra	-Do- (Vacant), ADA	786	103	23	43	0	7	7	2	2	0	0	84	805	41%
16.	ACJM Baijnath	Bhuvnesh, ADA	91	86	4	25	0	9	11	0	1	0	0	50	127	34%
17.	ACJM Jawali	Bhisham Jamwal, ADA	797	223	19	94	0	12	0	12	0	0	0	137	883	25%
18.	JMIC Indora	Vijay K. Rehalia, ADA	1269	271	8	272	0	17	13	8	5	6	0	329	1211	8%
	<b>Total</b>		<b>7244</b>	<b>2690</b>	<b>379</b>	<b>1376</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>197</b>	<b>417</b>	<b>62</b>	<b>55</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>2521</b>	<b>7413</b>	<b>30%</b>
<b>5.</b>	<b>DISTRICT KINNAUR</b>															
1.	Session judge	M.S.Chauhan, Distt. Attorney	133	92	14	38	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	54	171	27%
2.	CJM KNR at Peo	D.S. Rana, Asstt. Distt. Attorney	237	191	14	127	0	52	8	0	12	3	0	216	212	34%
3.	FTC Solan at Rampur	DDA Vacant	41	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	41	-
	<b>Total</b>		<b>411</b>	<b>283</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>165</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>270</b>	<b>424</b>	<b>33%</b>
<b>6.</b>	<b>DISTRICT KULLU</b>															
1.	Session Judge	S.C. Ranout, DA	85	121	3	22	0	0	56	1	0	0	0	82	124	12%
2.	FTC	Mahesh Sen, DDA	30	64	14	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	31	63	45%
3.	CJM Kullu	Ajit Thakur,	634	216	10	77	0	36	18	2	14	0	0	157	693	37%

4.	ACJM	<b>DDA</b> <b>Vacant</b>	420	210	22	113	0	4	15	0	1	0	1	156	474	19%
5.	JMIC Manali	<b>N.S. Katoch,</b> <b>ADA</b>	110	121	54	56	0	9	2	4	3	0	0	128	103	53%
6.	JMIC, Ani	<b>Shashi Kant</b> <b>Sharma, AD A</b>	404	149	43	30	0	8	14	3	0	0	0	98	455	63%
	<b>Total</b>		<b>1683</b>	<b>881</b>	<b>146</b>	<b>315</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>105</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>652</b>	<b>1912</b>	<b>39%</b>
7.	<b>DISTRICT LAHOUL &amp; SPITI AT KULLU</b>															
1.	CJML & S at Keylong.	<b>Ajit Thakur, DDA</b>	83	9	11	7	0	37	0	3	0	0	0	58	34	87%
8.	<b>DISTRICT MANDI</b>															
1.	Sessions Judge.	<b>V.K. Chaudhary,</b> <b>DA</b>	134	159	11	27	0	0	97	0	0	0	0	135	158	29%
2.	ASJ	<b>Y.P. Negi,</b> <b>DDA</b>	130	40	0	1	0	0	15	0	0	0	0	16	154	0%
3.	FTC	<b>J.K. Lakhanpal,</b> <b>DDA</b>	77	54	7	24	0	0	2	0	0	1	0	34	97	23%
4.	CJM Mandi	<b>Uday Thakur,</b> <b>ADA</b>	424	137	29	68	0	5	5	5	1	1	0	114	447	33%
5.	JMIC I	<b>K.S. Verma,</b> <b>ADA</b>	432	128	32	70	0	11	2	0	1	0	0	116	444	38%
6.	JMIC II	<b>Anuj Sharma,</b> <b>ADA</b>	445	113	24	82	0	4	0	5	0	1	0	116	442	25%
7.	JMIC III	<b>Chanan Singh,</b> <b>ADA</b>	524	113	11	83	0	7	3	7	3	6	0	120	517	18%
8.	JMIC IV	<b>-do-,</b> <b>ADA (Vacant)</b>	275	154	17	35	1	11	2	3	1	1	0	71	358	44%
9.	JMIC-I Sunder Ngr	<b>S.S. Koundal ,</b> <b>ADA</b>	849	133	21	56	0	2	20	5	2	3	0	109	873	29%
10.	JMI-II, SNR	<b>Jagdish Kumar,</b> <b>ADA</b>	543	227	53	64	2	17	16	5	2	4	0	163	607	52%
10.	JMIC Karsog	<b>Shashi Kant</b> <b>Sharma, ADA</b>	213	90	15	49	0	17	8	0	1	1	0	91	212	40%
11.	JMIC Gohar	<b>Umesh Kumar</b> <b>Sharma, DDA</b>	294	120	25	143	0	21	3	9	3	0	0	204	210	24%

12.	JMIC Sarkaghat	Vinod Bhardwaj, ADA	964	215	19	142	0	32	8	6	7	1	0	215	964	26%
13.	JJMIC, J. Nagar.	NS Chauhan, ADA	530	287	33	121	0	45	9	0	10	7	0	225	592	39%
	<b>Total</b>		<b>5834</b>	<b>1970</b>	<b>297</b>	<b>965</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>172</b>	<b>190</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1729</b>	<b>6075</b>	<b>33%</b>
<b>9.</b>	<b>DISTRICT SHIMLA</b>															
1.	Session Judge.	P.R. Patiyal, DA	15	25	5	9	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	17	23	36%
2.	ASJ	D.S. Parmar, DDA	20	21	1	4	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	6	35	20%
3.	SJ Forest	L.S. Negi, ADA	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	-
4.	CJM	H.S. Negi, ADA	121	98	59	27	0	3	3	4	1	0	10	107	112	70%
5.	JMIC I	Shettal Bansal, ADA	230	65	12	33	0	6	59	0	1	1	2	114	181	35%
6.	JMIC II	Manu Kalia, ADA	248	128	18	21	1	11	50	2	3	0	0	106	270	58%
7.	JMIC III	Sudeep Singh, ADA	309	162	21	49	0	2	68	5	0	0	0	145	326	32%
8.	JMIC IV	Surinder Negi, ADA	219	42	18	54	0	1	8	1	6	5	0	93	168	26%
9.	JMIC V	Rajeshwar Dutt, ADA.	267	20	11	50	1	0	34	4	1	0	0	101	186	18%
10.	JMIC VI	Ritu Malik, ADA	104	45	21	26	0	1	32	2	1	3	0	86	63	46%
11.	JMIC-VII	Ms. Reena Chauhan	0	253	6	14	0	5	6	0	4	1	0	36	217	44%
12.	JMIC-VIII	Vikas Doultta	206	1	1	3	0	5	12	2	0	2	0	25	182	67%
13.	Juvenile Court	Sheetal Bansal, ADA	124	72	24	41	0	7	0	0	1	3	4	80	116	43%
14.	JMIC-I Rohru.	Suresh Hetta , ADA	246	129	34	66	0	5	141	0	0	0	2	248	127	37%
15.	JMIC Rohru	Vacant	0	185	16	20	0	11	17	0	0	0	3	67	118	57%
16.	JMIC	Naveen, ADA	390	168	30	79	2	28	27	6	0	0	5	177	381	42%

17.	Theog JMJC Chopal	Vacant ADA.	219	116	25	34	0	8	3	1	1	0	0	72	263	49%
18.	JMIC Jubbal	Atma Ram, ADA.	93	74	24	34	0	6	4	0	0	0	11	79	88	47%
19.	SDJM Rampur	Bhagwan Dass Negi, DDA.	474	300	43	188	0	26	83	0	0	0	2	342	432	27%
20.	FTC-SML	Vacant, DDA.	10	31	7	15	0	0	5	0	0	0	1	28	13	31%
	<b>Total</b>		<b>3295</b>	<b>1939</b>	<b>376</b>	<b>767</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>125</b>	<b>554</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>1929</b>	<b>3305</b>	<b>40%</b>
<b>10.</b>	<b>DISTRICT SIRMAUR AT NAHAN</b>															
1.	Session Judge	Rajinder Sharma, DA	26	68	3	20	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	24	70	13%
2.	ASJ Nahan	Ajmer Singh, DDA	48	74	5	4	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	11	111	56%
3.	CJM - Nahan	Sanjay Pandit, ADA	313	186	2	56	3	18	65	2	1	0	0	147	352	26%
4.	JMIC I Nahan	Sandeep Sharma, ADA	217	161	10	82	2	10	110	0	4	0	0	218	160	20%
5.	JMIC Paonta	Umesh Mohan ADA	707	214	112	52	0	26	10	12	4	3	0	219	702	73%
6.	JMIC II Paonta	Hemant Singh, ADA	664	158	35	109	0	12	8	7	3	1	0	175	647	30%
7.	ACJM Rajgarh	M.K. Sharma ADA	68	229	10	98	0	11	5	0	0	0	0	124	173	18%
	<b>Total</b>		<b>2043</b>	<b>1090</b>	<b>177</b>	<b>421</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>77</b>	<b>199</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>918</b>	<b>2215</b>	<b>38%</b>
<b>11.</b>	<b>DISTRIC SOLAN</b>															
1.	Sessions Judge	C.B. Acharya, DA	29	67	3	12	0	0	49	0	0	0	0	64	32	20%
2.	ASJ Solan	NL Sen, ADA	22	29	5	15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	20	31	25%
3.	ASJ FTC	S.D. Vasudeva, Dy. DA	9	25	2	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	4	30	100%
4.	CJM Solan	Yashpal Singh, ADA	763	167	24	135	0	19	23	13	6	0	0	220	710	24%

5.	JMIC Solan	<b>Rajender Chauhan ADA</b>	321	54	12	43	0	7	8	6	8	0	0	84	291	31%
6.	ACJM KASAULI	<b>Kapil Mohan, ADA</b>	290	156	54	85	0	12	22	5	4	0	0	182	264	44%
7.	JMIC KSL	<b>Sangeeta Justa, ADA</b>	314	39	10	22	0	1	18	18	9	0	0	78	275	33%
8.	<b>ACJM K/ghat.</b>	<b>R.D. Sharma, ADA</b>	181	59	2	26	0	2	3	6	3	0	0	42	198	13%
9	ACJM ARKI	<b>Kulbhusan Goutam, ADA</b>	418	145	12	105	0	6	15	6	16	0	0	160	403	15%
10	SDJM NALAGARH	<b>Sundeepp Attri, ADA</b>	1005	319	75	103	1	27	503	16	13	0	0	738	586	50%
11	JMIC-II Nalagarh	<b>-do-</b>	0	530	9	20	0	13	4	1	2	0	0	49	481	52%
	<b>Total</b>		<b>3352</b>	<b>1590</b>	<b>208</b>	<b>566</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>88</b>	<b>645</b>	<b>71</b>	<b>62</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1641</b>	<b>3301</b>	<b>34%</b>
<b>12.</b>	<b>DISTRICT UNA</b>															
1.	Sessions Judge.	<b>Sh. A.K. Nadda, DDA</b>	33	53	9	15	0	1	35	0	2	0	0	62	24	40%
2.	ASJ	<b>R.S. Sharma, DA</b>	20	23	15	13	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	30	13	54%
3.	ASJ FTC	<b>Sanjeev Kumar, DDA</b>	11	17	4	10	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	15	13	29%
4.	CJM	Sanjeev Bharwal, ADA	543	200	70	160	13	12	2	0	2	2	2	263	480	34%
5.	ACJM I	<b>-Do-</b>	711	151	3	82	0	5	50	15	6	0	0	161	701	9%
6.	PMJJB, Una	<b>-Do-</b>	518	142	29	28	0	23	0	0	1	1	0	82	578	65%
7.	JMIC II	<b>Kamal Kishore, ADA</b>	802	185	6	170	0	19	11	11	7	1	9	234	753	13%
8.	JMIC III	<b>Devender Kumar</b>	541	146	38	93	0	16	22	12	5	2	1	189	498	37%
9.	JMIC-IV	<b>-Do-</b>	590	150	33	117	1	10	18	6	9	11	8	213	527	27%
10.	JMIC- Camp	<b>Shard Lagwal, ADA.</b>	182	2	3	28	0	5	139	2	2	4	1	184	0	22%

11.	ACJM AMB	<b>CS Bhatia, ADA</b>	503	147	21	62	0	12	9	8	3	9	1	125	525	35%
12.	JMIC II Amb	<b>Sandeep ADA</b>	290	98	8	72	0	9	4	1	1	2	0	97	291	19%
	<b>Total</b>		<b>4744</b>	<b>1314</b>	<b>239</b>	<b>850</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>112</b>	<b>291</b>	<b>56</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>1655</b>	<b>4403</b>	<b>29%</b>

## Annexure A -I

## STATEMENT OF CRIMINAL CASES FOR THE YEAR 2009-2010 (1.4.2009 TO 31.3.2010)

Sr No	Name Of The Court	Pending at the Beginning of the Year(1.4.09)	No. of Cases Instituted (2009-10)	Convicted.	Acquitted.	Discharged.	Compromise/ compounded	Transferred	Proceeding Dropped	Withdrawn.	Proclaimed offenders	Others.	Total Disposal.	Balance at the end of Year (31.3.10)	Rate Of Conviction.
1.	2.	3.	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
1	Bilaspur	4565	1326	111	405	2	81	568	25	56	2	2	1252	4639	32%
2	Chamba	2448	940	223	458	2	98	24	20	24	15	48	912	2476	41%
3	Hamirpur	1412	1041	106	432	0	101	301	14	32	0	0	986	1467	32%
4	Kangra	7244	2690	379	1376	0	197	417	62	55	11	24	2521	7413	30%
5	Kinnaur	411	283	28	165	1	52	8	0	12	4	0	270	424	33%
6	Kullu	1683	881	146	315	0	57	105	10	18	0	1	652	1912	39%
7.	Lahul &Spiti	83	<b>9</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>58</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>87%</b>
8	Mandi	5834	1970	297	965	3	172	190	45	31	26	0	1729	6075	33%
9	Shimla	3295	1939	376	767	5	125	554	27	20	15	40	1929	3305	40%
10	Sirmour	2043	1090	177	421	5	77	199	23	12	4	0	918	2215	38%
11	Solan	3352	1590	208	566	1	88	645	71	62	0	0	1641	3301	34%
12	Una	4744	1314	239	850	14	112	291	56	39	32	22	1655	4403	29%
	<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>37073</b>	<b>15073</b>	<b>2301</b>	<b>6727</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>1197</b>	<b>3302</b>	<b>356</b>	<b>361</b>	<b>109</b>	<b>137</b>	<b>14523</b>	<b>37664</b>	<b>34%</b>

**Age wise breakup of pending Criminal cases in the courts as on 31.03.2010 pertaining to State of Himachal Pradesh.**

Sr. No.	Age wise breakup of pending Criminal cases as on <b>31.03.2010</b> .	Name of Districts.												<b>Total Cases</b>
		Shimla	Kangra	Mandi	Una	NHN	HMR	SOLAN	BLP	Chamba	Kullu	L & S	KNR	
		<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>12</b>	
1	<b>0-6 months</b>	1106	1914	1813	1052	671	184	684	867	381	896	15	90	<b>9673</b>
2	<b>6-12 months</b>	639	1536	1577	832	338	239	609	782	385	658	13	130	<b>7738</b>
3	<b>12-18 months</b>	473	1387	1195	576	271	331	502	517	460	265	4	109	<b>6090</b>
4	<b>18-24 months</b>	397	962	730	475	209	279	456	528	376	89	2	31	<b>4534</b>
5	<b>2 years</b>	291	763	461	417	180	235	408	479	319	4	0	35	<b>3592</b>
6	<b>3 years</b>	214	593	198	344	167	127	281	468	281	0	0	16	<b>2689</b>
7	<b>4 years</b>	103	91	74	294	146	42	184	392	167	0	0	8	<b>1501</b>
8	<b>5 years</b>	36	128	21	204	116	21	95	316	103	0	0	5	<b>1045</b>
9	<b>6-8 years</b>	16	25	6	126	91	5	65	290	4	0	0	0	<b>628</b>
10	<b>8-10 years</b>	30	14	0	83	26	4	17	0	0	0	0	0	<b>174</b>
11	<b>10-12 years</b>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	<b>0</b>
12	<b>12-15 years</b>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	<b>0</b>
13	More than <b>15 years</b> .	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	<b>0</b>
	<b>Total pending cases.</b>	<b>3305</b>	<b>7413</b>	<b>6075</b>	<b>4403</b>	<b>2215</b>	<b>1467</b>	<b>3301</b>	<b>4639</b>	<b>2476</b>	<b>1912</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>424</b>	<b>37664</b>



## Annexure- A-2.

**STATEMENT REGARDING CRIMINAL APPEALS/REVISIONS FOR THE YEAR 2009-10 (1.4.2009 to 31.03.2010).**

Sr. No.	Name of the court	Pending at beginning Of the Year (1.4.2009)	Instituted during the Year 2009-2010	In favour of the State	Against the State	Compromised	Transfer	Ors.	Total Disposal	Pending at the Close of the Year (31.3.10)	Percentage of Success
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
<b>1.</b>	<b>DISTRICT BILASPUR</b>										
1.	Session Judge BLP	20	8	3	4	0	1	0	8	20	43%
2.	FTC Ghumarwin	21	8	3	1	0	0	0	4	25	75%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>55%</b>
<b>2.</b>	<b>DISTRICT CHAMBA</b>										
1.	Session Judge Chamba	4	11	4	8	0	1	0	13	2	33%
2.	FTC Chamba	7	5	5	2	0	2	0	9	3	71%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>47%</b>
<b>3.</b>	<b>DISTRICT HAMIRPUR</b>										
1.	Session Judge HMR	28	82	27	41	3	21	2	94	16	40%
2.	ASJ-FTC	57	48	23	10	1	4	13	51	54	70%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>85</b>	<b>130</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>145</b>	<b>70</b>	<b>50%</b>
<b>4.</b>	<b>DISTRICT KANGRA</b>										
1.	Session Judge KNG	121	47	6	0	1	24	0	31	137	100%
2.	ASJ -I KNG	163	39	12	12	0	3	0	27	175	50%
3.	ASJ- II KNG	89	7	5	4	0	0	0	9	87	56%
4.	ASJ- FTC	56	17	22	2	0	0	0	24	49	92%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>429</b>	<b>110</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>91</b>	<b>448</b>	<b>71%</b>
<b>5.</b>	<b>DISTRICT KINNAUR AT RAMPUR</b>										
1.	Session Judge RMP	63	24	15	6	1	0	0	22	65	71%

<b>6.</b>	<b>DISTRICT KULLU</b>											
1.	Session Judge KLU	22	19	9	15	0	5	1	30	11	38%	
2.	ASJ-FTC	3	27	10	10	2	0	0	22	8	50%	
	<b>Total</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>43%</b>	
<b>7.</b>	<b>DISTRICT SHIMLA</b>											
1.	Session Judge SML	50	68	15	9	0	0	0	24	94	63%	
2.	Session Judge, Fts. SML	25	0	12	7	0	2	0	21	4	63%	
2.	ASJ SML	93	53	7	6	6	2	0	21	125	54%	
3.	ADJ(FTC)	43	65	35	11	0	4	0	50	58	76%	
	<b>Total</b>	<b>211</b>	<b>186</b>	<b>69</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>116</b>	<b>281</b>	<b>68%</b>	
<b>8.</b>	<b>District Mandi</b>											
1.	Session Judge MND	137	62	13	7	0	15	0	35	164	65%	
2.	ASJ MND	152	26	3	5	0	7	0	15	163	38%	
3.	ASJ(FTC)	97	34	8	2	4	2	0	16	115	80%	
	<b>Total</b>	<b>386</b>	<b>122</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>66</b>	<b>442</b>	<b>63%</b>	
<b>9.</b>	<b>DISTRICT SIRMAUR AT NAHAN</b>											
1.	Session Judge NHN	24	49	12	17	0	1	0	30	43	41%	
2.	ASJ NHN	36	33	6	1	0	0	0	7	62	86%	
	<b>Total</b>	<b>60</b>	<b>82</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>105</b>	<b>50%</b>	
<b>10.</b>	<b>DISTRICT SOLAN</b>											
1.	Session, Judge SLN	25	46	21	8	0	12	0	41	30	72%	
2.	ASJ SLN	16	27	22	15	0	0	0	37	6	59%	
3.	ASJ (FTC)	7	13	3	3	0	0	0	6	14	50%	
	<b>Total</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>86</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>84</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>64%</b>	
<b>11.</b>	<b>DISTRICT UNA</b>											
1.	Session Judge Una	21	37	23	8	0	4	0	35	23	74%	
2.	ASJ -Una	55	56	54	20	0	1	0	75	36	73%	
3.	ASJ-FTC	46	17	11	31	0	3	0	45	18	26%	
	<b>Total</b>	<b>122</b>	<b>110</b>	<b>88</b>	<b>59</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>155</b>	<b>77</b>	<b>60%</b>	

Annexure A-2

## STATEMENT REGARDING CRIMINAL APPEALS/REVISIONS FOR THE YEAR 2009-10(1.4.2009-31.03.2010)

Sr. No.	Name of the court	Pending at beginning Of the Year (1.4.2009)	Instituted during the Year 2009-10.	In favour of the State	Against the State	Compro mised	Transfer	Ors.	Total Disposal	Pending at the Close of the Year (31.3.10)	Percenta ge of Success
1.	2.	3.	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
1.	Distt. Bilaspur	41	16	6	5	0	1	0	12	45	55%
2.	Distt. Chamba	11	16	9	10	0	3	0	22	5	47%
3.	Distt. Hamirpur	85	130	50	51	4	25	15	145	70	50%
4.	Distt. Kangra	429	110	45	18	1	27	0	91	448	71%
5.	Distt. Kinnaur at Rampur	63	24	15	6	1	0	0	22	65	71%
6.	Distt. Kullu	25	46	19	25	2	5	1	52	19	43%
7.	Distt. Shimla	211	186	69	33	6	8	0	116	281	68%
8.	Distt. Mandi	386	122	24	14	4	24	0	66	442	63%
9.	Distt. Sirmaur	60	82	18	18	0	1	0	37	105	50%
10.	Distt. Solan	48	86	46	26	0	12	0	84	50	64%
11.	Distt. Una	122	110	88	59	0	8	0	155	77	60%
12.	Distt. L & S.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-
	<b>Total</b>	<b>1481</b>	<b>928</b>	<b>389</b>	<b>265</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>114</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>802</b>	<b>1607</b>	<b>59%</b>

## Annexure- A-3

## ANNUAL ADMINISTRATION REPORT FOR THE YEAR 2009-2010 REGARDING CIVIL CASES W.E.F. 1.4.2009 TO 31.3.2010

Sr. No.	Name of the court	Pending at the beginning of the year (1.4.2009)	Instituted during the year (2009-10)	No. of cases decided in favour of the State during the year 2009-10.	Decided against the State during the year 2009-10	Compromise.	Transfer.	Withdrawal.	Total Disposal	Pending at the close of the Year	Percentage of success during the year (31.3.10)
1.	2.	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12.
<b>1.</b>	<b>DISTRICT BILASPUR</b>										
1.	District Judge BLP	48	1	6	0	0	0	0	6	43	100%
2.	ASJ-FTC, BLP	21	9	7	2	0	2	0	11	19	78%
3.	Civil Judge (Sr. Div.) BLP	54	24	34	3	0	3	0	40	38	92%
4.	Civil Judge (Jr. Div.) BLP	43	22	9	0	0	0	0	9	56	100%
5.	Civil Judge(JD)Ghumarwin.-I	94	24	26	0	0	7	0	33	85	100%
6.	Civil Judge (Jr..Div.) Ghumarwin-II	81	43	21	2	0	0	0	23	101	91%
7.	Civil Judge (Jr. Div) Ghumarwin -III	0	48	0	0	0	48	0	48	0	-
	<b>Total</b>	<b>341</b>	<b>171</b>	<b>103</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>60</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>170</b>	<b>342</b>	<b>94%</b>
<b>2.</b>	<b>DISTRICT CHAMBA</b>										
1.	District Judge Chamba	4	0	2	2	0	0	0	4	0	50%
2.	ASJ-FTC. Chamba	0	8	1	0	0	6	0	7	1	100%
3.	Sr. Civil Judge (Jr.Div.)	27	20	15	0	0	0	0	15	32	100%
4.	Civil Judge (Jr.Div.) Chamba	42	14	19	3	0	2	0	24	32	86%
5.	Civil Judge (Jr.Div.), Dalhousie.	13	13	5	1	0	0	0	6	20	83%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>86</b>	<b>55</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>56</b>	<b>85</b>	<b>88%</b>
<b>3.</b>	<b>DISTRICT HAMIRPUR</b>										
1.	District Judge HMR	57	13	17	10	0	3	0	30	40	63%
2.	ADJ(FTC) Hamirpur	28	10	21	4	0	2	0	27	11	84%
3.	Sr. Civil Judge (Jr.Div.) HMR	43	37	6	3	0	17	0	26	54	67%
4.	Civil Judge (Jr. Div.) I HMR	81	18	14	2	0	11	0	27	72	88%
5.	Civil Judge (Jr. Div.) II HMR	75	9	6	0	0	16	0	22	62	100%
6.	Civil Judge (Jr.Div.) III HMR	74	31	17	1	0	20	0	38	67	94%
7.	Civil Judge(Jr.Div) IV HMR	0	76	10	0	0	0	0	10	66	100%

8.	Civil Judge (Jr.Div.) ,Barsar	42	23	21	3	0	0	0	24	41	88%
9.	Civil Judge (Jr.Div.) Nadaun	79	7	22	0	0	0	0	22	64	100%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>479</b>	<b>224</b>	<b>134</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>69</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>226</b>	<b>477</b>	<b>85%</b>
<b>4.</b>	<b>DISTRICT KULLU</b>										
1.	District Judge KLU	154	52	4	25	0	18	0	47	159	14%
2.	ADJ-FTC, Kullu.	112	26	2	5	0	42	0	49	89	29%
3.	Civil Judge (Sr. Div.) KLU	11	20	2	0	2	1	0	5	26	100%
4.	Civil Judge (Jr.Div.) KLU	25	4	4	0	0	0	0	4	25	100%
5.	Civil Judge (Jr. Div.), Anni	4	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	3	100%
6.	Civil Judge (Jr. Div.), Manali.	14	5	4	0	0	0	0	4	15	100%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>320</b>	<b>107</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>61</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>110</b>	<b>317</b>	<b>36%</b>
<b>5.</b>	<b>DISTRICT KINNAUR</b>										
1.	District Judge KNR at Rampur.	88	51	15	2	0	0	0	17	122	88%
2.	Civil Judge (Sr. Div.) KNR at Peo	18	4	7	0	0	0	0	7	15	100%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>106</b>	<b>55</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>137</b>	<b>92%</b>
<b>6.</b>	<b>DISTRICT KANGRA</b>										
1	District Judge D/Sala	31	22	7	2	1	6	0	16	37	78%
2	Addl. Distt. Judge I D/sala.	67	14	6	5	1	9	0	21	60	55%
3	Addl. Distt. Judge II D/sala.	46	3	1	1	0	0	0	2	47	50%
4	Addl. Distt. Judge(FTC)	18	3	5	0	0	0	0	5	16	100%
5	Civil Judge (Sr. Div.) D/sala	65	21	11	1	4	2	0	18	68	92%
6	Civil Judge (Jr.Div.) (I)D/Sala	0	31	5	1	0	0	0	6	25	83%
7	Civil Judge (Jr. Div.) (II) D/S.	34	8	2	0	2	22	0	26	16	100%
8	Civil Judge (Jr. Div.) I PLP	11	18	21	3	0	0	0	24	5	88%
9	Civil Judge (Jr. Div.) II, PLP	31	19	16	3	0	0	0	19	31	84%
10	Civil Judge (Jr. Div.) (I) NPR	28	9	2	0	0	5	0	7	30	100%
11	Civil Judge (Jr.Div.) (II) NPR	41	11	4	1	0	0	0	5	47	80%
12	Civil Judge (Jr.Div.) Baijnath	8	4	2	0	0	0	1	3	9	100%
13	Civil Judge (Jr.Div.) (I) Dehra	23	4	3	0	0	0	0	3	24	100%
14	Civil Judge (Jr.Div.)(II)Dehra	38	19	12	0	0	0	0	12	45	100%
15	Civil Judge (Jr.Div.) (I) KNG	26	31	3	0	3	0	0	6	51	100%
16	Civil Judge (Jr.Div.) (II)KNG	34	3	2	1	0	0	0	3	34	66%
17	Civil Judge (Jr. Div.) Jawali	71	13	13	3	1	0	0	17	67	81%
18	Civil Judge (Jr.Div.) Indora.	26	7	5	3	0	0	0	8	25	63%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>598</b>	<b>240</b>	<b>120</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>201</b>	<b>637</b>	<b>83%</b>
<b>7.</b>	<b>DISTRICT LAHUL &amp; SPITI AT KULLU</b>										
1	Sr. Civil Judge (Jr.Div.) L&S At Kullu	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	100%
	<b>Total :</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>100%</b>

<b>8. DISTRICT MANDI</b>											
1.	District Judge MND	32	32	16	7	1	1	0	25	39	70%
2.	Addl. Distt. Judge Mandi	42	16	5	0	0	1	0	6	52	100%
3.	ADJ-FTC-MND	17	21	8	1	0	2	0	11	27	89%
4.	Civil Judge (Sr. Div.) MND	18	5	4	1	0	0	0	5	18	80%
5.	Civil Judge (Jr. Div.) (I) MND	15	11	3	1	0	1	0	5	21	75%
6.	Civil Judge (Jr. Div.) (II) MND	17	16	8	1	0	0	0	9	24	89%
7.	Civil Judge (Jr. Div.) (III) MND	34	11	9	4	0	0	0	13	32	69%
8.	Civil Judge (Jr. Div.) (IV) MND	16	14	6	1	0	0	0	7	23	86%
9.	Civil Judge (Jr. Div.) ,SNR-I	42	2	4	1	1	15	0	21	23	80%
10.	Civil Judge (Jr. Div.) SNR-II	27	6	3	2	1	0	4	10	23	60%
11.	Civil Judge (Jr. Div.)- J/Ngr.	37	34	14	2	0	0	0	16	55	88%
12.	Civil Judge (Jr. Div.) S/.Ghat	95	34	15	2	0	0	0	17	112	88%
13.	Civil Judge (Jr. Div.) Karsog	10	5	4	3	0	0	0	7	8	57%
14.	Civil Judge (Jr. Div.), Gohar	16	13	6	3	0	0	1	10	19	67%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>418</b>	<b>220</b>	<b>105</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>162</b>	<b>476</b>	<b>78%</b>
<b>9. DISTRICT SHIMLA</b>											
1.	District Judge SML	21	13	9	1	0	1	1	12	22	90%
2.	Distt. Judge (Forest) SML	6	0	4	0	0	0	0	4	2	100%
3.	Addl. Distt. Judge, SML	10	12	4	7	0	0	0	11	11	36%
4.	ADJ(FTC) Shimla	165	20	6	9	0	9	0	24	161	40%
5.	Civil Judge (Sr. Div.) SML	46	0	1	8	0	0	2	11	35	11%
6.	Civil Judge (Jr. Div.) (I) SML	42	8	8	1	0	4	0	13	37	89%
7.	Civil Judge (Jr. Div.) (II) SML	17	6	3	2	0	0	0	5	18	60%
8.	Civil Judge (Jr. Div.) (III)	29	14	5	1	2	5	0	13	30	83%
9.	Civil Judge (Jr. Div.) (IV)	34	7	3	1	0	0	2	6	35	75%
10.	Civil Judge (Jr. Div.) (V)	30	14	4	1	0	7	0	12	32	80%
11.	Civil Judge (Jr. Div.) (VI)	30	15	8	2	0	3	0	13	32	80%
12.	Civil Judge (Jr. Div.) (VII)	0	23	6	0	0	0	0	6	17	100%
12.	Civil Judge (Jr. Div.) (VIII)	43	0	4	0	0	0	0	4	39	100%
12.	Civil Judge (Jr. Div.) Theog	50	15	12	1	10	0	1	24	41	92%
13.	Civil Judge (Jr. Div.) (I) Rohru	43	28	1	2	0	28	2	33	38	33%
14.	Civil Judge (Jr. Div.) (II) -d0-	0	35	2	0	0	0	0	2	33	100%
15.	Civil Judge (Jr. Div.) Chopal	28	22	2	2	0	0	0	4	46	50%
16.	Civil Judge (Jr. Div.), Rampur	23	16	5	6	2	0	1	14	25	45%
17.	Civil Judge (Jr. Div.) Jubbal	17	5	9	0	0	0	0	9	13	100%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>634</b>	<b>253</b>	<b>96</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>220</b>	<b>667</b>	<b>69%</b>

<b>10. DISTRICT SIRMAUR AT NAHAN</b>											
1.	District Judge Nahan	3	12	1	0	0	0	0	1	14	100%
2.	Add. District Judge Nahan	15	9	3	0	0	0	0	3	21	100%
3.	Sr. Civil Judge (Sr. Div.) NHN	69	20	13	0	0	0	0	13	76	100%
4.	Civil Judge (Jr. Div.) NHN	62	3	6	1	0	32	1	40	25	86%
5.	Civil Judge (Jr. Div.) Poanta I	18	7	6	1	1	0	0	8	17	86%
6.	Civil Judge (Jr.Div.) Poanta II	19	8	6	1	0	0	0	7	20	86%
7.	Civil Judge (Jr. Div.) Rajgarh	12	52	17	1	1	0	0	19	45	94%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>198</b>	<b>111</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>91</b>	<b>218</b>	<b>93%</b>
<b>11. DISTRICT SOLAN</b>											
1.	District Judge, SLN	13	15	9	1	0	3	0	13	15	90%
2.	Addl. Distt. Judge SLN	11	9	6	1	1	2	0	10	10	86%
3.	ADJ-FTC-SLN	11	8	1	0	0	3	0	4	15	100%
4.	Civil Judge(Sr. Div.) SLN	37	23	5	0	0	3	0	8	52	100%
5.	Civil Judge (Jr. Div.) SLN	60	19	10	3	0	0	0	13	66	77%
6.	Civil Judge (Sr. Div.) Kasuali	37	20	11	8	0	2	0	21	36	58%
7.	Civil Judge (Jr. Div.) Kasauli	42	11	9	2	0	0	0	11	42	82%
8.	Civil judge (Jr. Div.) K/ghat	35	15	7	0	0	0	0	7	43	100%
9.	Civil Judge (Jr. Div.) Arki	65	29	23	0	1	1	1	26	68	100%
10.	Civil Judge (Jr.Div) I Nalagarh.	48	17	20	1	1	22	2	46	19	95%
11.	Civil Judge (Jr. Div.)II Nalagarh	0	27	1	0	0	0	1	2	25	100%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>359</b>	<b>193</b>	<b>102</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>161</b>	<b>391</b>	<b>86%</b>
<b>12. DISTRICT UNA</b>											
1.	District Judge, Una	57	83	10	18	0	0	0	28	112	36%
2.	Addl. Distt. Judge Una.	103	166	6	28	0	41	0	75	194	18%
3.	Addl. Distt. Judge(FTC)	113	126	3	11	0	2	2	18	221	21%
4.	Civil Judge (Sr.Div.) Una	31	12	8	1	0	5	0	14	29	89%
5.	Civil Judge (Jr. Div.) (I) Una	39	16	15	0	0	0	0	15	40	100%
6.	Civil Judge (Jr. Div.) (II) Una	40	10	7	0	0	0	0	7	43	100%
7.	Civil Judge (Jr. Div.) (III)Una	35	17	12	3	0	0	0	15	37	80%
8.	Civil Judge (Jr. Div.(IV) Una	37	12	10	2	0	2	0	14	35	83%
9.	Civil Judge (Jr. Div.), Barsar Camp	17	0	1	0	0	16	0	17	0	100%
10.	Civil Judge(Jr. Div) (I)Amb	45	5	12	2	1	0	0	15	35	86%
11.	Civil Judge(Jr. Div) (II) Amb	62	24	8	0	0	0	0	8	78	100%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>579</b>	<b>471</b>	<b>92</b>	<b>65</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>66</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>226</b>	<b>824</b>	<b>59%</b>

**Annexure A-3.****Annual Statement of Civil Cases for the year 2009-10 (w.e.f. 1.4.09 to 31.3.2010)**

Sr. No.	Name of the court	Pending at the beginning of the year (1.4.2009)	Instituted during the year (2009-10)	No. of cases decided in favour of the State	Decided against the State	Compromise d.	Transfers	Withdrawn	Total Disposal.	Pending at the close of the year (31.03.09)	Percentage of success during the year.
1	2.	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
1	Distt Bilaspur	341	171	103	7	0	60	0	170	342	94%
2	Distt. Chamba	86	55	42	6	0	8	0	56	85	88%
3	Distt. Hamirpur	479	224	134	23	0	69	0	226	477	85%
4	Distt. Kullu	320	107	17	30	2	61	0	110	317	36%
5	Distt. Kinnaur	106	55	22	2	0	0	0	24	137	92%
6	Distt. Kangra	598	240	120	24	12	44	1	201	637	83%
7	Distt. L & S	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	100%
8	Distt. Mandi	418	220	105	29	3	20	5	162	476	78%
9	Distt. Shimla	634	253	96	44	14	57	9	220	667	69%
10	Distt. Sirmaur	198	111	52	4	2	32	1	91	218	93%
11	Distt. Solan	359	193	102	16	3	36	4	161	391	86%
12	Distt. Una	579	471	92	65	1	66	2	226	824	59%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>4119</b>	<b>2101</b>	<b>886</b>	<b>250</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>453</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>1648</b>	<b>4572</b>	<b>78%</b>



## Annexure A-4

## ANNUAL ADMINISTRATION REPORT FOR THE YEAR 2009-2010 STATEMENT REGARDING CASES U/s 107/109/110/145 Cr PC

Sr. No.	Name of the court	Pending at The beginning of the year (1.4.2009).	No. of cases Instituted During the Year	No. of cases Bound down	Discharged	Transferred	Compromised	No. of cases pending at the close of the year (31.3.2010).
1.	2.	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
<b>1.</b>	<b>DISTRICT BILASPUR</b>							
1.	SDM BLP	49	20	0	5	0	10	54
2.	SDM Ghumarwin	12	15	0	8	0	5	14
	<b>Total</b>	<b>61</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>68</b>
<b>2.</b>	<b>DISTRICT CHAMBA</b>							
1.	SDM Chamba	50	57	0	54	0	8	45
2.	SDM Dalhausie	12	35	3	29	0	0	15
3.	SDM Pangi	28	0	0	28	0	0	0
	<b>Total</b>	<b>90</b>	<b>92</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>111</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>60</b>
<b>3.</b>	<b>DISTRICT HAMIRPUR</b>							
1.	SDM HMR	30	95	0	100	0	0	25
2.	SDM Naduan	32	55	0	50	0	0	37
3.	SDM Badsar	55	35	0	42	0	0	48
4.	TEHSILDAR HMR	61	80	0	80	0	0	61
5.	Tehs. Nadaun	34	35	0	30	0	0	39
6.	Tehs. Bhoranj	43	40	0	35	0	0	48
7.	Tehs. Sujampur	33	35	0	38	0	0	30
8.	Tehs. Badsar	10	20	0	15	0	0	15
	<b>Total</b>	<b>298</b>	<b>395</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>390</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>303</b>
<b>4.</b>	<b>DISTRICT KULLU</b>							
1.	SDM KLU	15	262	0	195	0	0	82
2.	SDM MNL	15	30	0	17	0	0	28
3.	SDM Anni	52	42	0	68	0	0	26
	<b>Total</b>	<b>82</b>	<b>334</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>280</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>136</b>
<b>5.</b>	<b>DISTRICT KINAUR</b>							
1.	SDM Kalpa	<b>30</b>	0	0	0	0	0	<b>30</b>
<b>6.</b>	<b>DISTRICT KANGRA</b>							
1.	SDM D/SHALA	230	129	0	78	0	0	281
2.	SDM Dehra	296	153	0	234	0	13	202
3.	SDM Kangra	270	139	0	0	0	223	186
4.	SDM Palampur	102	93	0	108	0	0	87
5.	SDM Nurpur	156	65	10	15	0	31	165

6.	SDM Baijnath	123	66	0	121	0	0	68
7.	SDM Jawali	29	62	19	10	2	14	46
	<b>Total</b>	<b>1206</b>	<b>707</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>566</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>281</b>	<b>1035</b>
<b>7.</b>	<b>DISTRICT SHIMLA</b>							
1.	SDM(U) SML	160	218	0	231	0	0	147
2.	SDM (R) SML	0	330	0	234	0	0	96
3.	SDM Theog	61	282	0	162	0	0	181
4.	SDM Rohru	187	102	0	91	0	0	198
5.	SDM Chopal	21	34	0	21	0	0	34
6.	SDM Rampur	76	0	25	15	6	5	25
7.	SDM Jubbal	27	0	0	0	0	0	27
	<b>Total</b>	<b>532</b>	<b>966</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>754</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>708</b>
<b>8.</b>	<b>DISTRICT MANDI</b>							
1.	SDM MND Sadar	316	251	0	142	0	0	425
2.	SDM S/NGR	59	44	18	71	0	0	14
3.	SDM J/NGR	187	140	0	175	0	0	152
4.	SDM S/GHAT	77	128	0	91	0	0	114
5.	SDM Gohar	88	100	0	91	0	0	97
6.	SDM Karsog	28	7	0	13	0	0	22
	<b>Total</b>	<b>755</b>	<b>670</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>583</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>824</b>
<b>9.</b>	<b>DISTRICT SIRMAUR AT NAHAN</b>							
1.	SDM Nahan	112	96	0	144	0	0	64
2.	SDM Poanta	372	43	0	162	0	0	253
3.	SDM Rajgarh	29	52	0	51	0	0	30
	<b>Total</b>	<b>513</b>	<b>191</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>357</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>347</b>
<b>10.</b>	<b>DISTRICT SOLAN</b>							
1.	SDM Solan	74	92	0	72	0	0	94
2.	SDM K/GHAT	16	20	0	25	0	0	11
3.	SDM Arki	50	116	8	80	0	13	65
4.	SDM Nalagarh	98	10	0	0	0	14	94
	<b>Total</b>	<b>238</b>	<b>238</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>177</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>264</b>
<b>11.</b>	<b>DISTRICT LAHUL &amp; SPITI AT KULLU</b>							
1.	SDM L& S	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>12.</b>	<b>DISTRICT UNA</b>							
1.	SDM Una	118	95	0	193	0	0	20
2.	SDM Amb	82	121	0	35	0	0	168
	<b>Total</b>	<b>200</b>	<b>216</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>228</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>188</b>

**Annexure A-4****STATEMENT REGARDING CASES U/s 107/109/110/145 CRIMINAL PROCEDURE CODE FOR THE YEAR 2009-10.**

<b>Sr. No.</b>	<b>Name of the court</b>	<b>Pending at the beginning of the year ( 1.4.2009)</b>	<b>No. of cases Instituted During the Year</b>	<b>No. of cases Bound down</b>	<b>Discharged</b>	<b>Transferred</b>	<b>Compromised</b>	<b>No. of cases pending at the close of the year (31.3.2010)</b>
<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>9</b>
<b>1.</b>	District Bilaspur	61	<b>35</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>68</b>
<b>2.</b>	District Chamba	90	92	3	111	0	8	60
<b>3.</b>	District Hamirpur	298	<b>395</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>390</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>303</b>
<b>4.</b>	District Kullu	82	<b>334</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>280</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>136</b>
<b>5.</b>	District Kinnaur	30	0	0	0	0	0	30
<b>6.</b>	District Kangra	1206	<b>707</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>566</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>281</b>	<b>1035</b>
<b>7.</b>	District Shimla	532	<b>966</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>754</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>708</b>
<b>8.</b>	District Mandi	755	<b>670</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>583</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>824</b>
<b>9.</b>	District Sirmaur	513	<b>191</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>357</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>347</b>
<b>10.</b>	District Solan	238	<b>238</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>177</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>264</b>
<b>11.</b>	District L & S	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>12.</b>	District Una	200	<b>216</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>228</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>188</b>
	<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>4005</b>	<b>3844</b>	<b>83</b>	<b>3459</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>336</b>	<b>3963</b>

Annexure-A-5.DEMAND NO. 3.

STATEMENT SHOWING THE SANCTIONED BUDGET AND ACTUAL EXPENDITURE (EXCESS & SURRENDER) UNDER MAJOR HEAD  
2014- ADMN. OF JUSTICE, 114-LEGAL ADVISORS AND COUNSELS, 02-OTHER LAW OFFICERS (NON PLAN) FOR THE YEAR  
2009-10 PERTAINING TO PROSECUTION DEPARTMENT, HIMACHAL PRADESH.

Sr. No.	SOE	Sanctioned budget	Supplementary /additionally	Total	Actual expenditure Up-to 31.3. 2010	Excess & Surrender	Reasons
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
1	SALARY	66917000	0	66917000	81250886	(+) 14333886	Due to revision of pay scale, grant of arrear etc.
2	WAGES	2050000	0	2050000	1739244	(-) 310756	Due to regularization of daily waged Class IV Employees.
3	TE	384000	200000	584000	555450	(-) 28550	Due to less tour of circuit courts
4	LIVERY	55000	250000	305000	85000	(-) 220000	Due to non eligibility of court dress allowance. F.D. agreed for re-appropriation vide No. Fin-G-C(2)-3/09-51371713 dt. 23.3.2010.
5	OE	2405000	0	2405000	2462069	(+) 57069	Due to payment of telephone, water, electricity bills.
6	MR	612000	600000	1212000	1524979	(+) 312979	FD agreed for re-appropriation vide UO No. Fin-G-C (2)-3/09-51371713 dt. 23.3.2010
7	RRT	450000	0	450000	243162	(-) 206838	-do-
8	PUBLICATION	11000	0	11000	10456	(-) 544	Minor variation.
9	PP & SS	1526000	1400000	2926000	3092688	(+) 166688	Due to listing of suit No 2/96 (Bhakra Dam Cess) case before the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India.
10	OTHERS Charges	1000	0	1000	1000	0	-
11	MV	229000	100000	329000	372760	(+) 43760	FD agreed for re-appropriation vide UO No Fin-G-C(2)-3/09-51371713 dt 23.3.2010 for Rs. 50,000/-
12	TTE	60000	0	60000	43543	(-) 16457	Due to non receipt of TTE bills.
	Total	74700000	2550000	77250000	91381237	(+) 14131237	(+) 58,84,643 & (-) 6,98,321

**DEMAND NO. 31**

**ALLOCATION OF FUNDS UNDER DEMAND NO. 31  
UNDER MAJOR HEAD 2014-ADMINISTRATION OF JUSTICE, 796-TRIBAL AREA SUB PLAN-03- EXPENDITURE  
ON OTHER LAW OFFICERS (Non Plan) FOR THE YEAR 2010-11 PERTAINING TO PROSECUTION DEPARTMENT,**

Sr. No.	Name of DDO	Salary	Wages	TE	Livery	O.E.	MR	Training	TTE	Total
1.	2.	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
1.	Dist. Attorney. Kinnour at Rampur	1670000	18000	12000	5000	40000	9000	15000	3000	1772000
2.	Asstt. Dist. Attorney, Kinnour at Recong Peo	800000	15000	5000	0	25000	5000	5000	0	855000
3.	Asstt. Dist. Attorney L&S at Kullu.	500000	15000	8000	5000	14000	4000	5000	0	551000
	<b>Total:-</b>	<b>2970000</b>	<b>48000</b>	<b>25000</b>	<b>10000</b>	<b>79000</b>	<b>18000</b>	<b>25000</b>	<b>3000</b>	<b>3178000</b>

**Sanctioned budget under demand No. 3(Non-Plan) for the year 2010-11 under Head 2014- Administration of Justice,114 Legal Advisors and Counsels ,  
02 Other Law Offices(Non Plan) pertaining to Prosecution Department.**

Quarter	Percentage	Salary 01	Wages 02	TE 03	Livery 04	OE 05	MR 06	RRT 07	Publication 08	PP & SS 12	Training 15	O/C- 20	M.V. 30	TTE 64	Total
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
	<b>SAND.</b>	<b>80161000</b>	<b>2255000</b>	<b>481000</b>	<b>55000</b>	<b>2646000</b>	<b>765000</b>	<b>450000</b>	<b>11000</b>	<b>1526000</b>	<b>809000</b>	<b>1000</b>	<b>286000</b>	<b>60000</b>	<b>89506000</b>
1 <sup>st</sup>	20%	16032200	451000	96200	11000	529200	153000	90000	2200	305200	161800	200	57200	12000	17901200
2 <sup>nd</sup>	25%	20040250	563750	120250	13750	661500	191250	112500	2750	381500	202250	250	71500	15000	22376500
<b>Total</b>	<b>45%</b>	<b>36072450</b>	<b>1014750</b>	<b>216450</b>	<b>24750</b>	<b>1190700</b>	<b>344250</b>	<b>202500</b>	<b>4950</b>	<b>686700</b>	<b>364050</b>	<b>450</b>	<b>128700</b>	<b>27000</b>	<b>40277700</b>
3 <sup>rd</sup>	30%	24048300	676500	144300	16500	793800	229500	135000	3300	457800	242700	300	85800	18000	26851800
4 <sup>th</sup>	25%	20040250	563750	120250	13750	661500	191250	112500	2750	381500	202250	250	71500	15000	22376500
<b>Total</b>	<b>55%</b>	<b>44088550</b>	<b>1240250</b>	<b>264550</b>	<b>30250</b>	<b>1455300</b>	<b>420750</b>	<b>247500</b>	<b>6050</b>	<b>839300</b>	<b>444950</b>	<b>550</b>	<b>157300</b>	<b>33000</b>	<b>49228300</b>
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>80161000</b>	<b>2255000</b>	<b>481000</b>	<b>55000</b>	<b>2646000</b>	<b>765000</b>	<b>450000</b>	<b>11000</b>	<b>1526000</b>	<b>809000</b>	<b>1000</b>	<b>286000</b>	<b>60000</b>	<b>89506000</b>

1. 2014-02 = 8,95,06,000=00

2. 2059-01-051-48 = 1,00,000=00

3. 4059-01-051-25 = 3,00,00,000=00

**Total:- = 11,96,06,000=00**

## Demand No. 31.

## Annexure A-5.

**Statement showing the sanctioned budget and actual expenditure (Excess & Surrender) under Major Head 2014-  
Administration of Justice, 796-Tribal Areas Sub Plan, 03- Expenditure on Other Law Officers (Non-Plan) for the year  
2009-10 pertaining to Prosecution Department, Himachal Pradesh, Shimla.**

Sr. No.	SOE	Sanctioned budget	Additionally	Total	Actual Expenditure up-to 31.03.2010	Excess & surrender	Reasons
1	2	3	4	6	7	8	9
1	Salary	24,79,000	0	24,79,000	24,92,795	(+) 13,795	Due to revision of pay scale and grant of arrear
2	Wages	44,000	20,000	64,000	54,491	(-) 9,509	Minor variation.
3	TE	20,000	25,000	45,000	45,433	(+) 433	-do-
4	Livery	10,000	5,000	15,000	0	(-) 15,000	F.D. agreed for re-appropriation Vide UO No. Fin-G-C (2)-11/2007-08-15371634 dt. 19.3.2010 for Rs. 15,000/-
5	OE	72,000	1,50,000	2,22,000	2,16,924	(-) 5,076	Minor variation
6	MR	14,000	30,000	44,000	53,895	(+) 9,895	F.D. agreed for re-appropriation Vide UO No. Fin-G-C (2)-11/2007-08-15371634 dt. 19.3.2010 for Rs. 15,000/-.
7	TTE	3,000	0	3,000	0	(-) 3,000	Due to non receipt of TTE bills
	<b>Total</b>	<b>26,42,000</b>	<b>2,30,000</b>	<b>28,72,000</b>	<b>28,63,538</b>	(-) 32,585 (+) 24,123 (-) 8,462 Or say Rs. (-) 8,000	

