



ANNUAL GENERAL ADMINISTRATION REPORT

*AS PER THE PROVISIONS OF SECTION 4(1) (B) OF THE
RIGHT TO INFORMATION ACT, 2005*

2010-2011

GOVERNMENT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH
DEPARTMENT OF HOME
DIRECTORATE OF PROSECUTION
HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA

Website: www.himachal.nic.in/home/prosecution
email: prosecution-hp@mail.nic.in

**Block No. 22, SDA Complex, Kasumpti,
Shimla 171 009 (HP)**

FOREWORD

The Constitution of India has granted the fundamental right of liberty to its citizen and the said right can not be curtailed or interfered with except by rule of law. No one can be punished without giving him an opportunity in accordance with rule of law and in this direction; procedure to conduct prosecution has been provided under the Criminal Procedure Code in order to achieve the aims and objects of law. Regular cadre of Prosecution Agency has been established in the State of Himachal Pradesh in the year 1974 on coming into force of the amended Criminal Procedure Code, 1973. Role of this agency is to assist the Administration of Justice and Criminal Justice System.

The laws, rules and procedures are, in the nature of things, general, and it is difficult to enact laws, incorporating, and provision for all conceivable eventualities. Fluidity of norms and lack of precision makes the lot of even the most diligent and conscientious Public servant difficult. The Public Servant must be flexible so as to be effective. However, flexibility turns out to be a double edged weapon and has often served to evade accountability. Strict adherence to the letter of the law on the other hand invites understandable criticism of rigidity, unresponsiveness, or at the very least, of an impersonal approach.

In these circumstances, limits and safeguards have to be provided enabling service delivery with sufficient creativity but without too much scope for misjudgments, doubts and suspicion. What is required perhaps is the framing of procedures with a far greater attention to detail, seeing that laws and rules are often also interpreted and implemented by public servants, who may not be attuned to the policy imperatives underlying the procedures.

Of course, the accountability of the supervisory and senior levels of the administration is the guarantor of the limits and safeguards. The mere prescription of procedures, however, does not mean that they will be followed. It is their proper enforcement and it is the ability of the system to change, modify or add to the procedures to keep up with the passage of time that will ensure flexibility within reasonable limits and safeguards.

In this context, the job of the Public Prosecutor is not always an enviable one. Presenting the results of investigation with all its complexities requires skill and some flair. To be able to convince the court requires not only that the investigation should have been fair, but also that the presentation should be compelling, and that the test of 'guilt beyond reasonable doubt' should be met in the process. Though it is not an easy test to pass, failure to do so is often followed by doubts and suspicions.

The prescription of procedures and the setting down of accountability and its enforcement has to address this central issue and put in place such checks and balances that minimize the scope for doubts regarding the diligence and fairness of the Prosecutor. In order to improve the working of the prosecution and to make them more accountable in the day-to-day working the Department has circulated the Prosecution Manual.

Efforts are afoot to provide for an Investigation manual which will be a step towards the process of imparting transparency into the system and restore and reinforce the confidence of the public in the essential fairness of the system of administration of criminal justice in this State.

(Narinder Chauhan, I.A.S.)
*Principal Secretary (Home) to the
Government of Himachal Pradesh*

August, 2011.

PREFACE

As a result of recent initiatives taken by the Supreme Court of India, the Government of India and State Government, attention is now being paid to the system of administration of criminal justice which has to a large extent got subordinated to the development imperatives inherent in a democratic set-up. It is now being realized that proper administration of Criminal Justice is a key ingredient in ensuring that the fruits of democracy reaches to all citizens. As a result, there has been a paradigm shift in planning and budgeting priorities; expenditures on the system of Administration of Justice are no longer seen as being at the cost of development; instead it is being seen as a worthwhile investment to ensure optimum utilization of funds earmarked for development.

It is, however, also a fact that this paradigm shift has so far focused mainly on the Courts and the Policing system, rather ignoring the role of the Prosecutor as an intermediary. The Prosecutor, on the one hand is tasked with presenting fairly and comprehensively the results of the police and investigative effort, and on the other hand, as an officer of the Court, is responsible to help prevent miscarriage of justice. Despite its crucial role in the system, so far the prosecution system is yet to get adequate attention in modernizing itself. Partly it is a result of lack of awareness, but mainly it is because the element of 'soft skill' or knowledge based inputs is very high and planning and budgeting in such matters is difficult unless there are adequate guidelines and monitoring mechanisms to ensure that financial investments are yielding the desired returns. This Manual is intended to fill this gap, in the expectation that planners will be able to adequately fund this sub-sector of the system of Administration of Justice.

Recently, the Department has brought out its Prosecution Manual, which is coinciding with major legislative reforms in criminal justice. The Criminal Procedure Code (Amendment) Act, 2005 has brought about several changes, including a statutory Directorate of Prosecution and the Criminal Procedure Code (Amendment) Bill, 2006 promises more major reforms, based mainly on the Mali-math Committee on reforms of the criminal justice system.

The Department is attending the various meetings with the Police Department, Law Department, and Forensic Science and taking the number of valuable comments and suggestions of all other departments into account for the improvement of the working of the Prosecution Department. The participation of the Police Department is particularly significant in the context of ongoing policing reforms and the recent enactment of the HP Police Act, 2007. The legislation, which is largely based on Govt. of India's Model Act, professionalizes investigation. The improvement in the standard of investigation and coordination between the police and prosecution will improve the rate of success in the trial courts and will provide substantial justice to the poor victims and downtrodden people.

All suggestions for improvement are most welcome and may be addressed either to Director of Prosecution or Principal Secretary (Home), Govt. of Himachal Pradesh. All out efforts will be made in due course to implement the valuable suggestions.

(H.S. Rana)
Director of Prosecution
Himachal Pradesh

August 2011.

CONTENTS

Chapter	Particulars	Page Nos.
I	Particulars of organization of Prosecution Department, Himachal Pradesh, functions & duties.	1 to 4
II	Powers and duties of officers and officials of Prosecution Department.	5-8
III	Procedure followed in decision making process, including channels of supervision and accountability.	9
IV	Norms set for discharge of functions.	10 to 11
V	Rules, regulations, instructions, manuals and records held by Department.	12 to 14
VI	Statement of categories of documents held by offices.	15
VII	Particulars of arrangement for consultation with members of public in relation to formulation of policy etc.	15
VIII	State of boards, councils, committees and other bodies etc.	15
IX	Directory of officers and officials.	16 to 20
X	Monthly remuneration received by each of officer and officials	21
XI	Budget allocated to each of its agency, indicating particulars of all plans etc.	22 to 24
XII	Manner of execution of subsidy programmes, including the amounts allocated and the details etc.	25
XIII	Particulars of recipients of concessions, permits or authorizations	25
XIV	Details in respect of information available in electronic form.	25
XV	Particulars of facilities available to citizens for obtaining information including the working hours of library or reading room etc.	25
XVI	Names, designations and other particulars of the Public Information Officers/ Assistant Public Information Officers.	26 to 28
XVII	Any other information	29 to 36
XVIII	Details regarding criminal cases (Annexure A-1)	37 to 44
XIX	Details regarding criminal appeals/revisions (Annexure A-2)	45 to 48
XX	Details regarding civil cases (Annexure A-3)	49 to 53
XXI	Details of cases under section 107/109/110/145 Cr. PC (Annexure A-4)	54 to 56
XXII	Budget Allocation (Annexure A-5) and Offence wise breakup of Criminal and civil cases. (Annexure A-6)	57 to 60 61 to 62

Chapter I

THE PARTICULARS OF ITS ORGANIZATION, FUNCTIONS AND DUTIES:

1. Historical Background:

Before the enactment of Criminal Procedure Code, 1974, Prosecution Agency of the State was part of Police organization in Himachal Pradesh. At that time prosecution in the State was being conducted by Police Prosecutors who were posted as Prosecuting Sub Inspector/ Prosecuting Inspectors/ Prosecuting Deputy Superintendent of Police. They were conducting prosecution in cases of the State. The Police Prosecutors were under the administrative control of the Superintendent of Police at District Level but over-all control rested with the Inspector General of Police of the State.


After coming into force the new Criminal Procedure Code, 1973, the provisions for the appointment of Assistant Public Prosecutors and Public Prosecutors were made under Section 24 & 25 of Cr.P.C., 1973. Regular cadre of Prosecutors came into existence in the year, 1974 as the earlier cadre of Police Prosecutors was abolished keeping in view of the provisions contained U/s 25(2) Cr.P.C., wherein it is provided that no Police Officer shall be eligible to be appointed as Assistant Public Prosecutor. The intention of the legislation while separating the Prosecution Department from the Police Department clearly indicates that the Prosecution Department of the State shall function independently without any undue pressure or favour from any corner including the Police Department.

Directorate of Prosecution:


As the Directorate of Prosecution came into existence in the year 1974 and the charge of the post of Director of Prosecution was initially given to Secretary (Law)-cum-Legal Remembrancer, who used to supervise the working of Prosecution Department and was also having the Administrative control through out the State. The Administrative control was transferred from Law Department to the Home Department in the year, 1996 and the post of the Director of Prosecution was manned by I.A.S. officers and thereafter for the first time, Director of Prosecution was appointed from the cadre of Prosecution Department in the year 1999. Presently Director of Prosecution has been appointed by the State Govt. from the cadre of Prosecution Department with the concurrence of Hon'ble Chief Justice of Himachal Pradesh High Court from amongst the Joint Directors of the Prosecution Department. The above appointment was made in consonance with the provisions of Section 25-A of Code of Criminal Procedure.

At present, the Directorate of Prosecution has the cadre strength of 169 Prosecutors which consists of 1 post of Director of Prosecution, 3 posts of Joint Directors, 15 posts of District Attorneys, 33 posts of Deputy District Attorneys and 88 posts of Assistant District Attorneys. Apart from it, there are 4 Joint Directors, 9 District Attorneys, 11 Deputy District Attorneys and 5 Assistant District Attorneys working in other Departments. The detail of which is mentioned at page No. 33 & 35 of this report.

ORGANIZATIONAL SET UP OF PROSECUTION DEPARTMENT



LAW OFFICERS POSTED IN OTHER DEPARTMENTS/BOARD



2. Main Functions of The Prosecution Department

1. Criminal Cases:

Prosecution of all type of criminal cases in the Courts of Sessions Judges, Additional Sessions Judges, Chief Judicial Magistrates and Judicial Magistrates is being conducted by the Public Prosecutors and Assistant Public Prosecutors of the Department.

2. Civil Cases:

(i) All types of civil suits or proceedings filed by or against the Government in the court of District Judges, Additional District Judges, Civil Judges (Senior Divisions) and Civil Judges (Junior Divisions), State Consumer Disputes Redressal Commission, District Consumer Disputes Redressal Forum and before Presiding Officer Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court, Motor Accident Claims Tribunal, Rent Controller are being conducted by the District Attorneys, Deputy District Attorneys and Assistant District Attorneys of the Department.

(ii) Drafting/vetting of plaints/written statements/replies including notices under Section 80 CPC on behalf of the State Government and Public servants.

3. Revenue cases:

All kind of revenue cases before the Financial Commissioner/ Divisional Commissioner/ Settlement Collectors, District Collectors and all revenue cases at District Head quarter/ Sub Divisional level by Government Pleaders.

4. Legal opinion/ advice:

- (a) Opinion to Government departments in legal, and service matters.
- (b) Planning, budgeting, human resource management and infrastructure, management of the Prosecution Department.
- (c) Scrutiny of police Challans and legal opinion to investigating agency.
- (d) Drafting/vetting/filing of applications in all type of cases including civil, criminal revenue matters, appeals and revisions etc.

Chapter II

POWERS AND DUTIES OF OFFICERS AND OFFICIALS

OFFICERS:

1. Director of Prosecution: The State Government has appointed Director of Prosecution as per provisions of Section 25-A of the Criminal Procedure Code with the concurrence of Hon'ble Chief Justice of H.P. High Court. The Director of Prosecution performs statutory functions as notified by the State Government as per notification under Sub Section (7) of Section 25-A of the Code of Criminal Procedure (Act No.2 of 1974) vide Notification No. Home (Prosecution) B (14)-2/04 dated 12.1.2007, which are reproduced as under:—

- (i) Director (Prosecution) shall be the Head of the prosecution agency of the State and exercise the control over Prosecution Department in the matters relating to planning, budgeting, human resource management and infrastructure management.
- (ii) He shall advise the Home Department on all the matters relating to prosecution of offences
- (iii) He shall effectively coordinate with the Police Department to ensure adequate synergy between investigation and prosecution.
- (iv) He shall be the Head of the Prosecution Department, while exercising the financial powers under the Himachal Pradesh Financial Rules.
- (v) He shall be the Appointing and Disciplinary Authority under the provisions of Central Civil Services (Classification, Control and Appeal) Rules, 1965 and Central Civil Services Conduct Rules, 1964 in respect of Class III and IV employees of the Prosecution Department.
- (vi) He shall be the reviewing authority in the case of Class-I (Gazetted Officers) and Accepting authority in the case of Class III employees posted in the Prosecution Department for the purpose of Annual Confidential Reports.
- (vii) He cause to be rendered, necessary guidance, advice and clarifications to all the prosecuting officers of the Department from time to time in relation to legal, financial and service matters in accordance with the orders & instructions issued by the Government from time to time.
- (viii) He shall cause the conduct of inspections of the subordinate offices of the Prosecution Department in the State from time to time and issue necessary guidelines to the officers and officials, as deemed necessary.
- (ix) He shall generally supervise the working of Directorate of Prosecution and shall perform such other functions in relation to the Directorate of Prosecution as may be assigned by Government from time to time.

2. Joint Directors (Prosecution) :In the Prosecution Department, 3 posts of Joint Director of Prosecution are sanctioned, out of which one post of Joint Director of Prosecution is in North Zone, Dharamshala and 2 Joint Director of Prosecution are working in the Directorate of Prosecution, Hqrs to aid and assist the Director of Prosecution in the day today functioning of the Department The Joint Directors (Prosecution) have been designated as Public Prosecutors vide Government notification dated 28.8.1988 who are also required to conduct trial and appear in the courts with in the State of Himachal Pradesh in important cases as and when ordered by the State Government/Director of Prosecution. The organizational chart of the department is given above at page-2 of the report. However, the work assigned to amongst the Joint Directors is as under:

II. Joint Director (Prosecution), NZ, Dharamshala:

- (i) Controlling Officer of all the Law Officers and their subordinate staff pertaining to Districts Chamba, Una, Kangra and Hamirpur.
- (ii) To look after the work both civil and criminal etc. pertaining to the offices of DAs/ADAs and APPs of the districts mentioned in para-1.
- (iii) To supervise the functioning of the law officers/ministerial staff working under his control as above and shall also be responsible for its smooth and efficient working.
- (iv) To inspect the subordinate offices as per directions of the Director of Prosecution.

- (v) To render the opinion on files referred to him by the In-charge of the District Prosecution Agency.
- (vi) Any other work is entrusted to him from time to time by the Director of Prosecution from time to time.

II. Joint Director (Prosecution)-I Hqrs., Shimla:

- (i) To look after the entire litigation work both civil & criminal including monthly diaries, counsel fee bills etc. at the Directorate Hqrs., Shimla-9.
- (ii) To inspect the subordinate offices as per directions and approval of Director of Prosecution.
- (iii) To tender opinion on the matters marked by the Director of Prosecution and any other legal matter i.e. including enactment/amendments of any Act and Rules.
- (iv) Supervision of the work relating to Litigation Cell, Directorate of Prosecution.
- (v) Subject regarding Right to Information Act, 2005.

III. Joint Director (Prosecution)-II Hqrs., Shimla:

- (i) Controlling Officer of the establishment of Directorate of Prosecution, Hqrs, Shimla-9.
- (ii) Budget & Accounts, Audit Paras, maintenance of Stores & Stationery and Library.
- (iii) To supervise the functioning of the Law officers and ministerial staff.
- (iv) Any other work including inspection of subordinate Offices as entrusted by the Director of Prosecution.
- (v) Controlling Officer of the maintenance of vehicles.

3. District Attorneys.—The District Attorney while functioning as Public Prosecutor and Government Pleader shall work as under:—

- (a) The District Attorney shall be the In-charge of the district prosecution agency and is responsible for ensuring conduct of State cases civil, revenue, criminal and other miscellaneous matters through-out the District including motor vehicle/labour/consumer matters.
- (b) He shall tender opinion on legal matters at district level when called upon by the District Magistrate or directed by the Director or Joint Director (Prosecution).
- (c) He shall facilitate scrutiny of challans in criminal cases by Law Officers/Prosecutors subject to general directions of the Director of Prosecution.
- (d) He shall cause the preparation of grounds of appeal in civil/criminal matters etc. after examining all cases of acquittal/inadequate sentence passed at Sessions level or in other cases where appeal is to be filed in the High Court.
- (e) He shall also perform any other duty assigned by the Director of Prosecution.
- (f) He shall further communicate all the instructions issued by the Director of Prosecution/ State Government to all the Law Officers posted under his control.
- (g) The District Attorney is the controlling officer of all the Law Officers and the Ministerial staff of his District. The District Attorney is the competent authority for sanctioning earned leave up to one month to the ministerial staff and sanctions casual leave of Deputy District Attorneys, Deputy .District Attorneys (FTC) and Assistant District Attorneys in the District. The Superintendent of Police is the Controlling Officers of the Law Officers posted in their respective offices.
- (h) The District Attorney is also required to review and supervise the working of Deputy District Attorneys, Additional District Attorneys and Assistant District Attorneys.
- (i) The District Attorney gives guidance to Law Officers posted in the Superintendent of Police offices for making them effective Legal Advisors to the said offices.

- (j) Quarterly meetings of all the Prosecutors posted in the District use to be called in the District headquarter by the District Attorney and date of such meeting is fixed in advance for the current year so that no case is fixed for hearing on those days and Presence of all prosecutors should to be ensured. Agenda of such meeting shall be finalized in advance. Endeavour is made in the quarterly meetings to apprise the Prosecutors about new developments regarding important legal issues/judgments/laws etc. and serious prosecution deficiencies noticed recently and remedial action for the future etc. Record of such meeting is being maintained and communicated to the Director of Prosecution.

Apart from the District Attorneys in the Districts, there is one post of District Attorney (Forest) who conducts the Forest cases under the Indian Forest Act, Forest (Conservation) Act or Wild Life (Protection) Act before the Special Judge (Forest) Himachal Pradesh. There is one post of District Attorney (Revenue), who conducts the revenue cases in the courts of Financial Commissioner (Appeals), Divisional Commissioners and Settlement Commissioner and before the State Consumer Disputes Redressal Commission, District Consumer Disputes Redressal Forum .The State Government has created a post of District Attorney at Delhi to keep liaison with the Advocates-on-Record/Additional Advocate General in pending litigation by or against the State of Himachal Pradesh before the Hon'ble Supreme Court, Central Administrative Tribunal, National Human Rights Commission etc.

4. Deputy District Attorneys:

- (a) The Government of Himachal Pradesh vide Notification No. LLR-B (1A)-2/89 dt. 18th February, 1994 has notified all the Deputy District Attorneys of Prosecution Department as Public Prosecutors for conducting prosecution in the State of Himachal Pradesh under the provisions of the Code of Criminal Procedure.
- (b) The Deputy District Attorneys shall draft plaints/written statements/replies and affidavits in cases relating to their court and in matters assigned to them by the District Attorney.
- (c) The Deputy District Attorneys will generally conduct civil, criminal, revenue and other miscellaneous work in the courts of Additional District & Sessions Judge. They will also look after the work which is assigned to them by the District Attorney and during the leave period of District Attorney, they will attend to court work of District & Sessions Judge and other administrative and miscellaneous work in addition to their own duties as may have been assigned by the District Attorney. They are also to examine decided cases relating to their court on the receipt of certified copies and submit proposals of appeals/revisions etc. to the District Attorney.
- (d) The Deputy District Attorneys are also to conduct scrutiny of police challans in respect of their court and such other challans as may be assigned to them by the District Attorney. As in the case of District Attorney, in cases of serious crime where the Law Officer of SP office has scrutinized the challan at an earlier stage, they will verify that the observations of the Law Officer on the scrutiny memo have been complied with by the Investigating Agency.
- (e) They will also render legal opinion on different matters and submit their opinion to the District Attorney concerned whenever asked in a case.

5. Assistant District Attorneys: Assistant District Attorneys at District Headquarters function as Assistant Public Prosecutors/ Government Pleaders and work under the overall control and supervision of the District Attorney in the district, and conduct cases in the courts of Chief Judicial Magistrates and other Judicial Magistrates as per work allocation or as per directions of the District Attorney from time to time. They also examine judgments of acquittals, discharge and cases of inadequate sentence and submit their opinion/reports to the District Attorney for taking further action. An Assistant District Attorney at District Headquarters may be asked by the District Attorney to conduct government cases in revenue/executive courts of Deputy Commissioner/Additional Deputy Commissioner/Additional District Magistrate and take further steps for appeals/revision in decided cases. They will, in respect of the criminal Court to which they are assigned, scrutinize the challans on the scrutiny memo format before the challan is put in the court. Scrutiny of the police challans relating to their court or as marked to them by the District Attorney before its submission to the concerned courts will also be carried out by them and they shall also look after the additional work, which is assigned by the District Attorney. They shall draft plaints/written statements/replies and affidavits relating to their court and matters assigned to them by the District Attorney. The Assistant District Attorney is the Officer in charge of the Prosecution Department at Sub-divisional level and while exercising the powers of Drawing and Disbursing Officer, he also looks after the establishment and accounts matters.

6. Superintendent Grade-I (Gazetted Class I): There is 1 post of Superintendent Grade-I in the Directorate of Prosecution, Headquarters, who shall be responsible for overall supervision of the working of Ministerial Staff posted in the Directorate of Prosecution, Headquarters.

MINISTERIAL STAFF (OFFICIALS):

- 1. Superintendent Grade-II:** There is **1** post of Superintendent Grade-II in the Directorate of Prosecution, Headquarters, who deals with the establishment of Class-I Gazetted Officers of the Department.
- 2. Personal Assistant:** The Personal Assistant is required to assist the Director of Prosecution in his day-to-day working.
- 3. Senior Assistants:** There are **18** posts of Senior Assistants in the Department. At the Directorate level, the Senior Assistants work as Dealing Hand in various branches as Litigation/Establishment/ Accounts/ Budget (Finance)/ vehicles. At the District level, the Senior Assistants are required to deal with the establishment/ budget/ correspondence/Service Books etc. of the concerned District.
- 4. Junior Scale Stenographer/Steno-typists:** There are **14** posts of Junior Scale Stenographers and **3** posts of Steno-typists in the Department. The District Attorneys in the Districts have been provided with Junior Scale Stenographers, who are required to take dictation from the officers and attend to the phone calls as well as personal management of said officers.
- 5. Junior Assistant/Clerks:** There are **93** posts of Junior Assistants and Clerks in the Department. The Junior Assistants/Clerks posted in Directorate, District and Sub-divisional level are assigned various duties like dealing and maintenance of office records including case files, Diary & Dispatch, preparation of bills, cash work, service records of the officials and miscellaneous registers pertaining to office working.
- 6. Peons:** There are **94** posts of various categories of Class IV regular employees including Peons, Chowkidars and Sweeper in the Department.

Chapter III

PROCEDURE FOLLOWED IN DECISION MAKING INCLUDING CHANNELS OF SUPERVISION AND ACCOUNTABILITY:

(a) **Decision making in Criminal Cases.**—This Department conducts the litigation work of the State both criminal and civil. In this process, the Prosecution Department plays pivotal role. After conclusion of the criminal cases in the court, the Prosecutor In charge of the case examines the decision on facts and law. In criminal cases, if the case ends in conviction and the punishment is adequate, the ends of justice is met but in case of acquittal and inadequate punishment in the case, the appeal/revision is proposed by the concerned Prosecutor and sent to the concerned quarter as deemed necessary. If the case is fit for appeal he submits the grounds of appeal to the District Attorney, who further examines the grounds/proposals for appeal and forward them to the concerned District Magistrate. The District Magistrate further submits the proposal for filing an appeal to the Home Department, where the decision, whether the appeal is to be preferred before the Hon'ble High court of Himachal Pradesh or not, is taken for filing appeal before the Sessions Court. D.M. directs the Public Prosecutor to prefer appeal or otherwise.

(b) **Decision making in Civil Matters.**—The Government Pleader, on the date of announcement of judgment/decreed in a civil case/Land reference case, applies for a copy of judgment/decreed. If the case has ended in favour of Department/State, the case is forwarded to the Department concerned for records. If the judgment/decreed is against the Department/State, the Government Pleader examines the judgment and decreed on facts as well as law. In case if the judgment is wrong and illegal, he prepares the grounds of appeal and submits the same along with his opinion to the Department concerned, who further examines the matter in consultation with the Law Department. In case the judgment is correct and legal, he gives his legal opinion and sends the matter as above for the decision and implementation of the judgment and decreed at the earliest. As such this department is a part and parcel in the decision making process of the Government relating to court matters regarding filing of appeal.

(c) **Decision Making in Administrative Matters.**—In the matters where a complaint/application etc. is made against some Officer/Official of the Department, the PUC (paper under consideration) is moved on file by a Dealing Hand of the Directorate. The file will be moved through Superintendent Grade-I to the Joint Director (Prosecution). The Joint Director (Prosecution) shall further examine the matter and submits the file to the Director of Prosecution, Himachal Pradesh, who will decide whether the case is fit for further inquiry or any action required to be taken.

Supervision and Accountability: The Directorate of Prosecution, Himachal Pradesh is under the administrative control of the Home Department of Himachal Pradesh and the Hon'ble Chief Minister of Himachal Pradesh is the Minister-in-Charge.

In the Department, working of Assistant District Attorneys/ Deputy District Attorneys is supervised by the District Attorney, concerned; further, the Joint Directors supervise and conduct inspection of the offices of District Attorneys/Assistant District Attorneys. The Director of Prosecution supervises the working of Joint Director of Prosecutions/District Attorneys/Assistant District Attorneys and submits inspection reports to the Government from time to time.

Government of Himachal Pradesh has sanctioned six posts of various categories i.e. one District Attorney, one Deputy District Attorney, one Senior Assistant, one Stenographer and two Clerks for a Litigation Branch in the Directorate of Prosecution for the purpose of examination of Judgments of acquittal passed by various Courts with a view to pinpoint the deficiencies in investigation or prosecution. In this Cell, the copies of judgment received from the District Attorneys are examined, analyzed and deficiencies pinpointed and remedial action are suggested to the investigating agency as well as the Prosecutors. This Branch functions under the supervision of Joint Director (Prosecution) Headquarters.

Chapter IV

NORMS SET BY IT FOR DISCHARGE OF ITS FUNCTIONS

Officers of the Directorate of Prosecution are required to discharge any of the following functions, depending on the nature of their posting:—

- (a) Prosecution of criminal cases in the court of Sessions Judge/ Special Judge/Additional Sessions Judge including Fast Track Courts, and Judicial Magistrates.
- (b) Institution and defence of suits or proceedings filed by or against the Government in the court of District Judge, Subordinate Courts, before the State Consumer Disputes Redressal Commission, Industrial Tribunal–cum-Labour Court, Motor Accident Claims Tribunal, Rent Controller and before Executive Magistrates.
- (c) Drafting/vetting of plaints/written statements/replies, including pleading/presentation on behalf of the Collectorate and such Government Departments where a Law Officer is provided from the Directorate.
- (d) Conduct of revenue cases before the Financial Commissioner /Divisional Commissioners / Settlement Collectors/ Collectors.
- (e) Legal Opinion in Government Departments where Law Officer is provided from the Directorate.
- (f) Scrutiny of police Challans and legal opinion to investigating agency through Law Officers provided from the Directorate and also through the Prosecutors.
- (g) Drafting/vetting/filing of civil, criminal and revenue appeals etc. in respect of Collectorates and in such departments where a Law Officer is provided.

Norms:

After a case is registered with the Police, same is investigated and challan under relevant sections is submitted to the APP for examination who examines the same satisfying himself that all mandatory provisions have been complied with and investigation has been done in a proper way and legal evidence has been collected, APP recommends it to be put up in the Court. In case of deficiency, same are pointed to be removed by the investigating agency.

After this, the case is entrusted to the APP/PP, who conducts the same before the Court till its final decision. After decision of the same, in case of acquittal or inadequate sentence, proposal for filing appeal is submitted to the District Attorney, who further submits the same to the District Magistrate for the approval of the competent authority to file an appeal/revision. etc.

Assistant District Attorneys at District Hqrs and those manning sub-division offices are required to submit monthly diary of decided cases conducted by them before the courts to the District Attorney concerned on the prescribed proforma on or before 5th day of each month. District Attorney is required to submit monthly diaries of civil, criminal, revenue, MACT, reference and miscellaneous cases decided by the different courts in the district on composite prescribed proforma to the Directorate of Prosecution on or before 10th of each month. Annual Administration report regarding all the cases conducted during the financial year are submitted by the District Attorneys, in charge of district prosecution agency, in the first week of April to the Director of Prosecution for perusal and reconciliation. District Attorney inspects the offices of the Prosecutors under his control in the District and hold meetings as per instructions issued by the Directorate and efforts are made in such meetings to develop and enhance personality, legal skill, acumen and effective presentation in the Courts by the Prosecutors. Each law officer is called to join, deliberate and air his independent legal opinion and not be a mere spectator. While holding meetings emphasis is to interact with the prosecutor and to apprise/guide them about the latest amendments/notifications/orders/laws/legal citations. The District Attorney submits inspection report and minutes of such meetings to the Director of Prosecution along-with remedial measures for strengthening the working of the Prosecution Department in the Districts with special measures required to be taken for avoiding such lapses/mistakes in future. Joint Directors inspect each and every office of the Prosecutor at District Hqrs and sub-divisional level and hold meetings, twice in a year. The register of such meetings is regularly maintained as per prescribed proforma and minutes communicated to the Directorate for perusal. The Joint Directors of Prosecution submit inspection report and minutes of such meetings to the Director of Prosecution along with remedial measures for strengthening the working enhancement of the performance and efficiency of each Prosecutor in their respective Districts. Personal Assistant attached to the Director of Prosecution on the receipt of e-mail regarding daily conducting of criminal cases from all the Prosecution offices in the State, submits the same before the Director of Prosecution on the same day for perusal and further action. Director of Prosecution holds inspection of

the Prosecution Offices throughout the State of Himachal Pradesh once a year and special emphasis is laid on the detailed legal discussion regarding pending criminal cases of different nature with the Prosecutors concerned. The Director of Prosecution submits detailed inspection reports to the Principal Secretary (Home) to the Government of Himachal Pradesh. The Director of Prosecution while submitting report suggests remedial measures for improving the working of each prosecutor and their efficiency and immediate steps required to be taken for removing difficulties or lacunae being noticed by the Prosecutors in the various enactments, while conducting prosecution of cases.

Chapter V

RULES, REGULATIONS, INSTRUCTIONS, MANUALS AND RECORDS, HELD BY IT OR UNDER ITS CONTROL OR USED BY ITS EMPLOYEES FOR DISCHARGING ITS FUNCTIONS.

1. Cr. P. C. SECTION 24

Public Prosecutors: For every High Court, the Central Government or the State Government shall, after consultation with the High Court, appoint a Public Prosecutor and may also appoint one or more Additional Public Prosecutor for conducting in such court, any prosecution, appeal or other proceeding on behalf of the Central Government or State Government, as the case may be.

(2) The Central Government may appoint one or more Public Prosecutors for the purpose of conducting any case or class of cases in any district, or local area.

(3) For every district the State Government shall appoint a Public Prosecutor and may also appoint one or more Additional Public Prosecutors for the district:

Provided that the Public Prosecutor or Additional Public Prosecutor appointed for one district may be appointed also to be a Public Prosecutor or an Additional Public Prosecutor, as the case may be, for another district.

(4) The District Magistrate shall, in consultation with the Sessions Judge, prepare, a panel of names of persons, who are, in his opinion fit to be appointed as Public Prosecutor or Additional Public Prosecutors for the district.

(5) No person shall be appointed by the State Government as the Public Prosecutor or Additional Public Prosecutor for the district unless his name appears in the panel of names prepared by the District Magistrate under sub-section (4).

(6) Notwithstanding anything contained in sub-section (5), where in a State there exists a regular Cadre of Prosecuting Officers, the State Government shall appoint a Public Prosecutor or an Additional Public Prosecutor only from among the persons constituting, such Cadre:

Provided that where, in the opinion of the State Government, no suitable person is available in such Cadre for such appointment that Government may appoint a person as Public Prosecutor or Additional Public Prosecutor, as the case may be, from the panel of names prepared by, the District Magistrate under sub-section (4).

Explanation.—For the purpose of this sub- section,—

(a) “regular Cadre of Prosecuting Officers” means a Cadre of Prosecuting Officers which includes therein the post of a Public Prosecutor, by whatever name called, and which provides for promotion of Assistant Public Prosecutors, by whatever name called, to that post;

(b) “Prosecuting Officer” means a person, by whatever name called, and appointed to perform the functions of a Public Prosecutor, an Additional Public Prosecutor or an Assistant Public Prosecutor under this Code.)

(7) A person shall be eligible to be appointed as a public Prosecutor under sub-section (1) or sub-section (2) or sub-section (3) or sub-section (6), only if he has been in practice as an advocate for not less than seven years.

(8) The Central Government or the State Government may appoint, for the purposes of any case or class of cases, a person who has been in practice as an advocate for not less than ten years as a Special Public Prosecutor.

(9) For the purposes of sub-section (7) and sub-section (8), the period during which a person has been in practice, is a pleader, or has rendered (whether before or after the commencement of this Code) service as a Public Prosecutor or as an Additional Public Prosecutor or Assistant Public Prosecutor or other Prosecuting Officer, by whatever name called, shall be deemed to be the period during which such person has been in practice as an advocate.]

Section 25. Assistant Public Prosecutors.

(1) The State Government shall appoint in every district one or more Assistant public Prosecutors for conducting prosecutions in the courts of Magistrates.

1[(1A) The Central Government may appoint one or more Assistant Public Prosecutors for the purpose of conducting any case or class of cases in the courts of Magistrates]

(2) Save as otherwise provided in sub-section (3), no police officer shall be eligible to be appointed as an Assistant Public Prosecutor.

(3) Where no Assistant Public Prosecutor is available for the purposes of any particular case, the District Magistrate may appoint any other person to be the Assistant Public Prosecutor in charge of that case:

Provided that a police officer shall not be so appointed—

- (a) If he has taken any part in the investigation into the offence with respect to which the accused is being prosecuted: or
- (b) If he is below the rank of Inspector.

SECTION “25- A”.

Directorate of Prosecution –

(1) The State Government may establish a Directorate of Prosecution consisting of a Director of Prosecution and as many Deputy Directors of Prosecution as it thinks fit.

(2) A person shall be eligible to be appointed as a Director of Prosecution or a Deputy Director of Prosecution, only if he has been in practice as an advocate for not less than ten years and such appointment shall be made with the concurrence of the Chief Justice of the High Court.

(3) The Head of the Directorate of Prosecution shall be the Director of Prosecution, who shall function under the administrative control of the Head of the Home Department in the State.

(4) Every Deputy Director of Prosecution shall be subordinate to the Director of Prosecution.

(5) Every Public Prosecutor, Additional Public Prosecutor and Special Public Prosecutor appointed by the State Government under sub-section (1), or as the case may be, sub section (8), of section 24 to conduct cases in the High Court shall be subordinate to the Director of Prosecution.

(6) Every Public Prosecutor, Additional Public Prosecutor and Special Public Prosecutor appointed by the State Government under sub-section (3), or as the case may be, sub section (8), of section 24 to conduct cases in District Courts and every Assistant Public Prosecutor appointed under sub-section (1) of section 25 shall be subordinate to the Deputy Director of Prosecution.

(7) The powers and functions of the Director of Prosecution and the Deputy Directors of Prosecution and the areas for which each of the Deputy Directors of Prosecution have been appointed shall be such as the State Government may, by notification, specify.

(8) The provisions of this section shall not apply to the Advocate General for the State while performing the functions of a Public Prosecutor.”

PROSECUTION MANUAL

In the year 2008 i.e. January, 2008, the Government of Himachal Pradesh has published its first Prosecution Manual, which will increase efficiency of Prosecutors in the State. The rules, procedure and guidelines will make the prosecutors/law officers more accountable and curb delays in day-today functioning of the Department. The Manual will also guide and improve the working of the Prosecution Department of Himachal Pradesh.

R&P Rules of following categories are available:

Category	Notification No. & date
Director of Prosecution	Home (Prose) B (2)13/99 dt. 22.5.2002 Home (Prose) B (2)13/99 dt. 22.5.2006
Joint Director of Prosecution	LLR-B (14)13/84 dt. 9.11.2000 LLR-B (14)13/84 dt. 20.10.2004

Dist. Attorney	LLR-B (1)1/89 dt. 30.9.1993 LLR-B (1)1/89 dt. 30.7.1997 LLR-B (1)1/89 dt. 10.12.98 LLR-B (1)1/89dt. 20.10.2003
Dy. Dist. Attorney	LLR-B (1)-2/89 dt. 7.5.1997 LLR-B (1)-2/89 dt. 19.3.1999
Asstt. Dist. Attorney	Home (Prosecution)B(2)1/2003 dt. 5.8.2003 Home (Pros)B(1)-1/2003 dated 21.5.2009
Supdt. Grade I	LLR-A (3)-2/95 dt. 30.1.1997 LLR-A (3)-2/2002 dt. 30.6.2003
Supdt. Grade II	LLR-B (2)4/87 dt. 12.7.1990 LLR-B (2)4/87 dt. 2.7.1993 notified LLR-B (14)1/95 dt. 15.3.1997 Home (Prose) B (2)7/99 dt. 4.8.1999 Home(Pros)A(3)1/2006 dated 16.04.2007
Personal Asstt.	LLR-B (14)1/95 dt. 15.3.1997
Sr. Asstt.	LLR-B (1)2/84 dt. 26.7.1993 LLR-B (1)2/84 dt. 20.10.1994 Home (Prose) B (2)9/99 dt. 6.5.2003
Librarian	LLR-B (2)1/91 dt. 29.4.1993 LLR-B (2)1/91 dt. 20.10.1994 Home (Prose) B (2)3/99 dt. 30.5.2005
Jr. Scale Stenographer	Home(Pros)B(2)11/99- dated 28.11.07 Home(Pros)B(2)11/99 dated 12.2.2009
Steno typist	LLR-B (2)4/91 dt. 7.6.1993 Home(Pros)B(2)4/99 dated 24.9.2009
Driver	LLR-B (2)3/91 dt. 24.10.1992 LLR-B (2)3/91 dt. 26.7.1993 Home (Prose) B (2)5/99 dt. 4.8.1999 Home (Prose) B (2)5/99 dt. 2.12.2005
Clerk	LLR-B (2)2/91 dt. 24.9.1993 LLR-B (2)2/91 dt. 4.12.1993 LLR-B (2)2/91 dt. 20.8.1994 Home (Prose) B (2)6/99 dt. 4.10.1999 Home (Prose) B (2)6/99 dt. 28.8.2000
Peon	LLR-B (1)4 /841 dt. 1.1.993 LLR-B (1)4 /841 dt. 10.7.1993 Home (Prose) B (14)8/97 dt. 7.6.2003
Chowkidar	LLR-B (1)4/84 dt. 10.7.1993 Home (prose) B (12)12/99 dt. 10.7.2003 Home(Pros)B(2)12/99 dated 12.7.07
Sweeper	Home (Prose) A (3)1/98 dt. 26.8.1999 Home (Prose) A (3)1/98 dt. 6.10.2005

There are no separate rules, regulations, instructions, manuals and records of this Department however it is governed by the CCS (CCA) conduct Rules as are applicable to other State Govt. employees. Moreover, the functions of the Prosecutors are governed by the provisions of Cr.P.C. in conduct of prosecution.

Chapter VI

A STATEMENT OF THE CATEGORIES OF DOCUMENTS THAT ARE HELD BY IT OR UNDER ITS CONTROL.

The Police files in various criminal cases containing zimni orders/FIR/MLCs/Statements of PWs/DWs etc. pending trial. Beside it, the civil files containing Copy of the plaint/written statements/copies of statements of PWs/ DWs /judgments/decree sheets/orders etc.

Other than the court files, there are other routine files like: Establishment files/Service Books of the employees/accounts/ Budget/bills/ Store purchase bills/pay bill etc.

Chapter VII

THE PARTICULARS OF ANY ARRANGEMENT THAT EXISTS FOR CONSULTATION WITH OR REPRESENTATION BY, THE MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC IN RELATION TO THE FORMULATION OF ITS POLICY OR IMPLEMENTATION THEREOF

The Prosecution Department does not have any direct public dealing as it works under the provisions of Cr.P.C. and represents State before various courts in the State. As such, presently, there is no such arrangement for consultation with, or representation by, the members of the public in relation to the formulation of its policy or implementation thereof.

Chapter VIII

A STATEMENT OF THE BOARDS, COUNCILS, COMMITTEES AND OTHER BODIES CONSISTING OF TWO OR MORE PERSONS CONSTITUTED AS ITS PART OR FOR THE PURPOSE OF ITS ADVICE, AND AS TO WHETHER MEETINGS OF THOSE BOARD, COUNCILS, COMMITTEES AND OTHER BODIES ARE OPEN TO THE PUBLIC, OR THE MINUTES OF SUCH MEETINGS ARE ACCESSIBLE FOR PUBLIC.

As stated earlier, this Department deals with the State cases criminal/civil/land reference/revenue/appeals in all such matters, before the judicial courts, revenue courts and consumer courts through-out the State. Therefore, no necessity to constitute any boards, councils, committees and other bodies to seek advice.

Chapter IX

A DIRECTORY OF ITS OFFICERS AND EMPLOYEES.

TELEPHONE DIRECTORY PROSECUTION DEPARTMENT

Sr. No.	Name of officer S/Sh.	Designation	Place of posting	Office	Fax	Mobile	Residence
1	2	3	4	5			6
1.	Narender Chauhan, IAS	Pr. Secy (Home)	HP Sectt.	2621907 2880624		94180 04604	2621006
2.	Akshay Sood, IAS	Special Secretary(Home)	HP Sectt. A- 424	2620757 2880649		94188 15217	2807444
3.	Vijay Kumar Sharma	Dy. Secy (Home)	HP Sectt. A- 311	2880551 2628491		94180 62926	2624420
4.	Smt. Meera Mahajan	SO(Prosecution)	HP Sectt. E- 219	2880-564		-	2774352
DIRECTORATE OF PROSECUTION SHIMLA SDA COMPLEX BLOCK NO. 22 171009							
5.	H.S. Rana	Director of Prosecution	Shimla-9	2620147 2623871 2002147	2623882	94184 54479	2656264
6.	Jawahar Sharma	Joint Director (Prosecution) I	Shimla-9	2624590	2623882	94180 19254	2620577
7.	B.S. Thakur	Joint Dir(Prosecution)II	Shimla-9	2621759	2623882	94180 81455	2628965
8.	R.C. Bakshi	DA(Revenue)	Shimla-9	2623882		98170 80839	-
9.	R.L. Saini	DA (Lit)	Shimla-9	2623882			-
10.	Giri Raj Singh	Dy. DA(Litigation)	Shimla-9	2623882		94180 76139	-
11.	Smt. Ritu Randeva	DDA(Deployed LC at Delhi)	-	-		099580 91042	
12.	Anoop Sharma	ADA Hqrs	Shimla-9	2623882		94184 82115	-
13.	Vinay Verma	ADA Hqrs	Shimla-9	2623382		98051 92007	
14.	Deep Ram Sharma	Supdt. Grade- I	Shimla-9	2623882		98164 64299	2835542
15.	Rustam S Patial	PA to Director(P)	Shimla-9	2623871		94180 75667	
DISTRICT SHIMLA 0 177							
16.	P.R. Patial	Distt. Attorney	Shimla-1	2657031 2800140	2653535 DC	94184 74425	2627449
17.	L.S. Negi.	Distt. Attorney (Forest)	Shimla-1	2652121		94180 38266	
18.	Purinder Sharma	Dy. DA, (FTC)	Shimla	2657031		94184 83414	
19.	Jagdish Kanwar	Dy. DA (L.C.)	Shimla	2657031		94184 57611	
20.	Suneel Kuthiala	Dy. DA	Shimla (Sectt.)	2880832		94184 94401	
21.	Dharamvir Singh Parmar	Dy. DA	Shimla	2657031		94180 78353	
22.	Sangeeta Justa	ADA	Shimla	do		94187 06685	
23.	Ms. Gulab Negi	ADA	Do	do		94184 50919	
24.	Randeep Singh Parmar	Dy. DA (SP Office)	Do	2656535		94184 93999	
25.	Ritu Malik	ADA	Do	2657031		94184 84006	
26.	Ms.Sheetal Bansal	ADA	Do	do		94180 05013	
27.	Manu Kalia	ADA	do	do		98172 78899	

28.	Reena Chauhan	ADA	Do	do		94180 22498	
29.	HCS Negi	ADA	Do	do		94181 40565	
30.	Jagdish Rajta	ADA	Do	do		94180 12639	
31.	Vikas Dhaulta	ADA	Rohru	01781-240134		94180 66481 98161 66481	
32.	Chander Sagar	ADA	Rohru	01781-240134		94187 01975	
33.	Vacant	ADA	Chopal	01783-260588		--	
34.	Atma Ram	ADA	Jubbal	01781 252743		98160 29830 94181 18658	
35.	Naveen Chander	ADA	Theog	01783 238570		94181 19753	
DISTRICT KINNAUR AT RAMPUR 0 1782							
36.	Ajeet Thakur	DA	Rampur	01782 233145	233002 DC	94180 60066	233476
37.	M.K. Sharma	Dy. DA	do	do		94180 57809	
38.	Digvijay Singh	ADA	Kinnaur at R/Peo	951786-223702		94181 36967	
39.	Bhagwan Singh Negi	ADA	Anni at Rampur	01782 233145		98161 35798	
DISRICT SOLAN 01792							
40.	C.B. Acharya	DA	Solan	223829	220086 DC	94180 74522	226250
41.	S.D. Vasudeva	Dy. DA (FTC)	Solan	226314		94188 42954	
42.	Umesh Mohan	Dy .DA office back- up	Solan	223829		94184 64929	
43.	N.L. Sen	Dy .DA	Solan	do		94180 70712	
44.	Rajinder Sharma	ADA	Solan	do		94181 32543	
45.	Sanjay Chauhan	ADA	Solan	do		94180 36120	
46.	Kapil Mohan Goutam	ADA	Kasauli	01792 272809		94184 56715	
47.	Yashpal Singh	ADA	do	-do-		94184 92277	
48.	Rajeshwar Dutt	ADA	Kandaghat	01792-256157		94182 03838	
49.	Sudeep Singh	ADA	Arki	01796-220717		94180 16674	
50.	Bhima Nand Shandil	ADA	Nalagarh	01795-223239		98160 79797	
51.	Rajender Chauhan	ADA SP office	SP Solan	223863		94186 86784	
DISTRICT SIRMAUR AT NAHAN 0 1702							
52.	Rajinder Sharma	DA	Nahan	222507	223175 DC	94186 48935	222506
53.	Ajmer Singh	Dy. DA (FTC)	Nahan	do		94181 60439	
54.		ADA SP office	Nahan	225002			
55.	Sandeep Sharma	ADA	Nahan	222507		98164 49059	
56.	Sanjay Pandit	ADA	Nahan	222507		94180 86259	
57.	Kamal Kishore	ADA	Rajgarh	0 1799 221205		94182 19432	
58.	Devender Chandel	ADA	Poanta	0 1704 222149		94182 99887	
59.	Hemant Singh	ADA	Poanta	do		94180 24006	
DISTRICT BILASPUR 0 1978							
60.	S.S. Kauhal	DA	Bilaspur	222597	223065 DC	94184 57890	222637
61.	Prakash Thakur	Dy. DA Backup- office	Bilaspur	do		94180 22108	

62.	Champa Devi	ADA	Bilaspur	222597		94184 71837	
63.	Suresh Kumar	ADA	Bilaspur	do		94183 98808	
64.	Ravinder Kumar Bharwal	Dy. DA FTC	Ghumarwin	254211		94181 63636	
65.	Joginder Singh	ADA	Ghumarwin	255251		94183 41656	
66.	Rahul Chopra	ADA	Ghumarwin	do		94184 58036	
DISTRICT MANDI 0 1905							
67.	Vinod Chaudhary	DA	Mandi	223358	225213 DC	94184 60442	237242
68.	Vacant	Dy.DA	Mandi				
69.	Yash Pal Negi	Dy. DA SP Office	Mandi	223019		94596 04901	
70.	J.K. Lakhanpal	DA adj as. DDA FTC	Mandi	222470		94180 20719	
71.	Kishan Singh	ADA	Mandi	223358		94180 20719	
72.	Kulbhushan Awasthi	ADA	Mandi	do		98161 54349	
73.	Ms. Raj Rani	ADA	Mandi	do		98160 33838	
74.	Ajay Thakur	ADA	Mandi	do		94184 00032	242509
75.	Shashi Kant	ADA	Karsog	0 1907 221219		94180 43515	
76.	NS Chauhan	ADA	J/nagar	0 1908 222003		94181 38112	
77.	Pankaj Dhiman	ADA	S/Nagar	0 1907 266413		94180 80435	
78.	Kulbhushan Goutam	ADA	S/Nagar	do		94180 57440	
79.	Vinod Bhardwaj	ADA	Sarkaghat	01905 230007		94180 23509	
80.	Jitender Goswami	ADA	Gohar	01907 250912		94184 83837	
DISTRICT HAMIRPUR 0 1972							
81.	Kashmir Singh Verma	DA	Hamirpur	222484		94180 59555	222485
82.	Dr. Dharam Singh Parmar	Dy. DA FTC	Hamirpur	225944		94180 15611	
83.	G.C. Rana	Dy. DA SP office	Hamirpur	224358		94186 73674	
84.	Vipul Sharma	Dy. DA ag. ADA	Hamirpur	222484		94182 79481	
85.	Kapil Sharma	ADA	Hamirpur	do		94180 87300	
86.	Anuj Sharma	ADA	Hamirpur	do		94184 96388	
87.	Rajinder Chauhan	ADA	Hamirpur	do		94180 88852	
88.	Sharad Lagwal	A.DA	Barsar	0 1972 289564		94180 35457	
89.	Eklabya	ADA	Nadaun	0 1972 233845		98051 21982	
DISTRICT UNA 0 1975							
90.	Ram Swaroop	Distt. Attorney	Una	223446	223781 DC	94180 87960	226150
91.	Krishan Kumar	Dy. DA SP Office	Una	225056		94181 02904	
92.	A.K. Nadda	Dy. DA	Una	223446		94181 25101	
93.	Ashok Kumar	Dy. DA FTC	Una	224305		94180 83399	
94.	S.K. Bharwal	ADA	Una	223446		94183 07129	
95.	Naveen Kumar	ADA	Una	223446		98163 16522	
96.	Nitin Kumar	ADA	Una	do		94181 90801	
97.	Nitin Sharma	ADA	Una	do		98160 07979	
98.	CS Bhatia	ADA	Amb	0 1976 260074		94181 51081	

99.	Sandeep Kumar	ADA	Amb	do		94180 39854	
DISTRICT KANGRA 0 1892							
100.	B.S. Pathania	Joint Director	D/sala	223103	222895	94180 45262	202105
101.	R.L. Saini	District Attorney	D/sala	222895		94180 01331	225441
102.	Kullbir Chauhan	Dy.DA	D/sala	222895		94180 44063	
103.	Kuldeep Sen	Dy. DA	D/sala	222895		94180 83233	
104.	Sanjeev Katoch	Dy. DA(Labour Court)	D/Sala	do		94181 21680	
105.	H.S. Dhiman	Dy. DA FTC	D/sala	223654		94181 22395	
106.	Jagdish Chandel	Dy .DA S.P. office	D/sala	222911		98177 84797	
107.	Satpal Garg	Dy .DA	D/sala	222895		94184 15600	
108.	Geetranjan Bhardwaj	ADA	D/sala	222895		94180 28282	
109.	Devender Kumar	ADA	D/sala	222895		94180 68756	
110.	Soham Kaushal	ADA	D/sala	222895		94184 84101	
111.	Umesh Sharma	ADA	Dehra	01970 233152		94181 82444	
112.	Karnail Singh	ADA	Dehra	do		91296 19009	
113.	Bisham J Badresh	ADA	Jawali	01893 262048		94181 22848	
114.	Anil Awasthi	ADA	Kangra	01892 265191		98059 54207	
115.	Anil Sharma	ADA	Kangra	do		98161 42412	
116.	Luddar Mani	ADA	Nurpur	01893 220139		98162 75088	
117.	Udey Singh	ADA	do	do		94188 93616	
118.	Navina Rahi	ADA	Palampur	01894 230478		94183 00827	
119.	Sanjeev Rana	ADA	do	do		94181 21124	
120.	Bhuvnesh Awasthi	ADA	Baijnath	0 1894 263874		94180 16474	
121.	Vijay Kumar	ADA	Indora	0 1893 241758		94182 15002	
DISTRICT CHAMBA 0 1899							
122.	Mohinder Chauhan	DA	Chamba	222469	225371 DC	94181 92495	224788
123.	S.S. Pathania	Dy. DA FTC	Chamba	222469		94180 60022	
124.	H.S. Dhiman	Dy. DA	Chamba	do		94181 22395	
125.	Praveen Kumar	ADA	Chamba	do		98162 36790	
126.	Bhuvnesh	ADA	Dalhausie	0 1899 242347		94182 88818	
DISTRICT KULLU 0 1902							
127.	N.S. Verma	DA	Kullu	222318	225396 DC	94180 45437	222353
128.	Mahesh Sen	Dy. DA (FTC)	Kullu	224757		94180 74002	
129.	N.S. Katoch	Dy. DA	Kullu	222318		94182 85817	
130.	Chanan Singh	A DA	Manali	222318		94183 60994	
131.	Khub Ram	ADA	L&S at Kullu	0 1902 226722		94181 00679	
132.	Prithvi Singh	ADA	Anni	0 1904 253227		94184 00065	
DELHI 011							
133.	Anil Sood	DA	H. Bhawan New, Delhi	011 23356776 FAX	23321072 M 23715087 RC	0997115698 6	22757936
134.	Smt. Ritu Randeva	ADA	do	do	-	099580 91042	

**TELEPHONE DIRECTORY OF PROSECUTION DEPARTMENT
OFFICERS ON SECONMENT WITH OTHER DEPARTMENTS
AS ON 31.03.2010**

Sr. No.	Name of officer S/Sh.	Designation	Place of posting	Office	Fax	Mobile	Residence
1	2	3	4	5			6
1	J.L. Sharma	Special PP SV & ACB Khalini	Shimla-2	2625287		94180 69111	
2	R.R. Thakur	Special PP SV & ACB Khalini	Shimla-2	2625287		98160 70887	
3	N.K. Sharma	JD(P) SV & ACB SR	Shimla-2	2623460		94184 62895	
4	M.R. Sharma	JD(P) SV & ACB SR	Shimla-2	2623460		98160 36250	
5	A.K. Pattarwal	PHQ Shimla	Shimla-2	2621714		94184 84374	2832262
6	Raghuvir Singh	Jt. Dir., PTC Daroh	Daroh Kangra	01894275138 275170		94181 79203	
7	Tara Singh	Jt. Dir.,BBMB	Chandigarh	0172 5014780		94639 98229	0172 4023436
8	Ravinder Chaudharty	DA SV&ACB D-sala	D/sala	224623		94592 79050	223932
9	RD Sharma	DA PTC	Daroh	01894275138 275170		94182 23307	
10	V.K. Behl	DA Excise Dept.	Shimla-9	2621268		98170 43270	
11	J.S. Rana	DA SV&ACB SR	Shimla-2	2623061		98160 92127	2831535
12	R.L. Bhardwaj	DA (Vig HQ)	Shimla-2	2622484		94181 58482	
13	Surinder Negi	DDA (Ele.Edn.)	Shimla	2657054		94180 85076	
14	Ashwani Dhiman	DA (Higher Edn.)	Shimla-1	2658434		94180 40405	
15	Naresh Ghai	DA Pr. CCF Shimla	Shimla	2624207		94181 14770	
16	Bipin Kumar Marwah	DA SJVNL, Shimla	Shimla	2670741		94181 57977	
17	J.K. Lakhanpal	DA SV&ACB	Mandi			94180 12111	
18	Ashok Sugyan	Dy. DA PWD	D-Sala	224948		94181 88327	
19	Sandeep Attri	Dy. DA SV&ACB	Shimla	2656535		94181 68163	
20	Mohinder Chauhan	Dy. DA Health	Shimla	2621892		94180 85084	
21	Vipul Sharma	Dy. DA SV&ACB	Mandi			94182 79481	
22	R.D. Chaudhary	Dy. DA SV&ACB D/sala	D/sala	224623		94180 48219	
23	Monika Malhotra	Dy. DA (PWD)	Mandi	223913		94180 55501	
24	Suresh Hetta	Dy. DA (PWD)	Shimla-2	2654968		94186 44243	
25	Mukta Kashyup	ADA TCP	Shimla	2623061		98165 94505	
26	Rajesh Kumar	ADA PTC	PTC Daroh	01894 275138 275170		94184 62456	
27	Parkash Thakur	ADA(Vig.HQ)	Shimla	2622484		94594 00919	
28	Sudhir Sharma	ADA, Prisons, Hq	Shimla- 9	2628850		94183 06255	

Chapter X

THE MONTHLY REMUNERATION RECEIVED BY EACH OF ITS OFFICERS AND EMPLOYEES INCLUDING THE SYSTEM OF COMPENSATION AS PROVIDED IN ITS REGULATIONS.

Sr. no.	Category of post	Pay scale + Grade pay and other allowances.
1.	Director of Prosecution	37400-67000
2.	Joint Director Prosecution	15600-39100
3.	Dist. Attorneys	15600-39100
4.	Deputy Dist. Attorneys	10300-34800
5.	Asstt District Attorneys	10300-34800
6.	Superintendent Grade I	10300-34800
7.	Superintendent Grade II	10300-34800
8.	Personal Assistant	10300-34800
9.	Sr. Assistant	10300-34800
10.	Librarian	10300-34800
11.	Jr. S. Stenographer	5910-20200
12.	Steno Typist	5910-20200
13.	Clerks	5910-20200
14.	Drivers	5910-20200
15.	Peons	4900-10680
14.	Chowkidars	4900-10680
15.	Sweeper	4900-10680

Chapter XI

THE BUDGET ALLOCATED TO EACH OF ITS AGENCY, INDICATING THE PARTICULARS OF ALL PLANS, PROPOSED EXPENDITURES AND REPORTS ON DISBURSEMENTS MADE.

ALLOCATION OF FUNDS UNDER DEMAND NO. 31 UNDER MAJOR HEAD 2014-ADMINISTRATION OF JUSTICE, 796-TRIBAL AREA SUB PLAN, 03-EXPENDITURE ON OTHER LAW OFFICERS (NON PLAN) FOR THE YEAR 2011-12.

Sr. No.	Name of DDO	SALARY	WAGES	TE	LIVERY	O.E.	MR	Training	TTE
1.	District Attorney, Kinnaur at Rampur	23,09,000	25,000	20,000	5,000	47,000	34,000	0	2,000
2.	Assistant District Attorney, Kinnaur at Rekong Peo	9,00,000	28,000	15,000	0	20,000	10,000	0	0
3.	Assistant District Attorney, L&S at Kullu	8,00,000	0	10,000	5,000	20,000	10,000	0	0
4.	ADA (DDO)(Hq.)	0	0	0	0	0	0	15,000	0
	TOTAL	40,09,000	53,000	45,000	10,000	87,000	54,000	15,000	42,75,000

**DETAILS OF BUDGET SANCTIONED UNDER DEMAND NO. 3 & 31 FOR THE YEAR 2010-11
BUDGETARY PROVISIONS**

DEMAND NO. 3.

STATEMENT SHOWING THE SANCTIONED BUDGET AND ACTUAL EXPENDITURE (EXCESS & SURRENDER) UNDER MAJOR HEAD 2014-ADMINISTRATION OF JUSTICE, 114-LEGAL ADVISORS AND COUNSELS, 02-OTHER LAW OFFICERS (NON PLAN) FOR THE YEAR 2010-11 PERTAINING TO THE PROSECUTION DEPARTMENT, HIMACHAL PRADESH.

Sr. No.	O/C	SOE	Sanctioned budget	Supplementary /additionally	Total	Actual expenditure Up-to 31.03.11	Excess (-)	Surrender (+)
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
1	01	SALARY	80161000	38415000	118576000	100088693	18487307	-
2	02	WAGES	2255000	0	2255000	1085558	1169442	-
3	03	TE	481000	400000	881000	727444	153556	-
4	04	LIVERY	55000	210000	265000	265000	0	-
5	05	OE	2646000	200000	2846000	2811550	34450	-
6	06	MR	765000	2750000	3515000	2605085	909915	-
7	07	RRT	450000	0	450000	244327	205673	-
8	08	PUBLICATION	11000	9000	20000	11358	8642	-
9	12	PP & SS	1526000	6000000	7526000	7523000	3000	-
10	15	Training	809000	0	809000	344158	464842	-
10	20	OTHER Charges	1000	0	1000	0	1000	-
11	30	MV	286000	200000	486000	291926	194074	-
12	64	TTE	60000	0	60000	44868	15132	-
13	2059 Public Works	Maintenance	100000	0	100000	100000	0	-
		Total	8,96,06,000	4,81,84,000	137790000	116142967 Or say Rs. 116143000/-	(-) 2,16,47,033 Or say Rs. 2,16,47,000/-	-

DEMAND NO. 31

STATEMENT SHOWING THE SANCTIONED BUDGET AND ACTUAL EXPENDITURE (EXCESS & SURRENDER) UNDER MAJOR HEAD 2014-ADMINISTRATION OF JUSTICE,(796)-TRIBAL AREA SUB PLAN-03- EXPENDITURE ON OTHER LAW OFFICERS (Non Plan) FOR THE YEAR 2010-11 PERTAINING TO THE PROSECUTION DEPARTMENT, HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA.

Sr. No.	O/C	SOE	Sanctioned budget	Additionally/Supplementar y.	Total	Expen diture 31.03.11	Excess (-)	Surren der (+)
1.	2	3.	4	5	6	7	8	9
1.	10	SALARY	2970000	0	2970000	3204887	0	234887
2.	02	Wages	48000	65000	113000	71826	41174	0
3.	03	TE	25000	30000	55000	36580	18420	0
4.	04	Livery	10000	0	10000	10000	0	0
5.	05	OE	79000	100000	179000	180186	0	1186
6.	06	MR	18000	80000	98000	88261	9739	0
7.	15	Training	30000	0	30000	0	30000	0
8.	64	T.T.E.	3000	10000	13000	11766	1234	0
		Total:-	3183000	285000	3468000	3603506 Or say Rs. 3604000	100567	236073

Budget sanctioned and Exp. Incurred under Demand No. 3(Plan/Non Plan for the year 2010-11

Sr. No.	Major head	Sanctioned	Expenditure	Total
1	Major head 4059-Outlay on Public Works, 01-Office Building, 051-Works, 25-construction of offices for District Attorneys(Plan)	3,00,00,000	3,00,00,000	3,00,00,000
	Total	3,00,00,000/-	3,00,00,000	3,00,00,000

Chapter XII

THE MANNER OF EXECUTION OF SUBSIDY PROGRAMMES, INCLUDING THE AMOUNTS ALLOCATED AND THE DETAILS OF BENEFICIARIES OF SUCH PROGRAMMES.

No such subsidy programme is undertaken by this Department.

Chapter XIII

PARTICULARS OF RECIPIENTS OF CONCESSIONS, PERMITS OR AUTHORISATIONS GRANTED BY IT.

Nil.

Chapter XIV

DETAILS IN RESPECT OF THE INFORMATION, AVAILABLE TO OR HELD BY IT, REDUCED IN ANY ELECTRONIC FORM.

- a) Annual Administrative Report.
- b) Yearly Budget.

Chapter XV

THE PARTICULARS OF FACILITIES AVAILABLE TO CITIZENS FOR OBTAINING INFORMATION INCLUDING THE WORKING HOURS OF LIBRARY OR READING ROOM, IF MAINTAINED FOR PUBLIC USE.

The information is available on the official website of Home Department, Govt. of Himachal Pradesh for obtaining information under the Act.

Chapter XVI

THE NAMES, DESIGNATIONS AND OTHER PARTICULARS OF THE PUBLIC INFORMATION OFFICERS.

NAME, DESIGNATION AND LIST OF THE PUBLIC INFORMATION OFFICERS OF PROSECUTION DEPARTMENT, HP

As on 31.03.2011

Sr. No.	Name of the officer & designation	DISTRICT/ SUB DIVISION	Tel. Office
1.	Shri H.S. Rana, Director of Prosecution	Appellate Authority in respect of the of Public Information Officers of the Prosecution Department, HP	0177 2623871 0177 2620147 94184 54479
2.	Shri Jawahar Sharma, Joint Director of Prosecution-II	PIO Directorate of Prosecution, Hqrs, Shimla-9.	2624590 94180 19254
3.	Sh. Deep Ram Sharma, Supdt. Grade I	APIO Directorate of Prosecution, Hqrs, Shimla-9.	2623882 9816464299
PUBLIC INFORMATION OFFICERS AT DISTRICT LEVEL			
4.	Sh.S.S. Kaushal, Dist. Attorney	Bilaspur	0 1978 222597 94184 57890
5.	Sh. Vinod Chaudhary, District Attorney	Mandi	0 1905 223358 94184 60442
6.	Sh. Rajinder Sharma, Dist. Attorney	Sirmour at Nahan	0 1702 222507 94186 48935
7.	Sh. R.S. Sharma, Dist. Attorney	Una	01975 223446 94181 22395
8.	Sh. Mohinder Singh Chauhan, Dist. Attorney	Chamba	0 1899 222469 94181 92495
9.	Sh. C.B. Acharya, Dist. Attorney	Dharamshala	0 1892 223103 94180 74522
10.	Sh. R.C. Bakswahi, Dist. Attorney	Solan	0 1792 223829 98170 80839
11.	Sh. P.R. Patial, District Attorney	Shimla	0 177 2657031 94184 74425
12.	Sh. N.S. Verma, Dist. Attorney	Kullu	0 1902 222318 94186 75200
13.	Sh. K.S. Verma, District Attorney	Hamirpur	0 1972 222484 94180 51555
14.	Sh. Ajeet Thakur, District Attorney	Kinnaur at Rampur	0 1782 233145 94180 60066
15.	Sh. Anil Sood, DA, HP LC New Delhi	District Attorney, Office, Himachal Bhawan, New Delhi	011 23356776 094180 75158
16.	Sh. L.S. Negi, Distt. Attorney.	(Forest) Shimla	0177 265211 94180 38266
ASSISTANT PUBLIC INFORMATION OFFICERS AT SUB DIVISIONAL/DISTRICT LEVEL IN HP			
17.	Sh. Khub Ram , ADA	Lahul & Spiti	0 1902 226722 94181 00679
18.	Sh. Jagdish Kanwar, ADA	Shimla	0177 2657031 94184 57611
19.	Sh. Vikas Doultta, ADA	Rohru	0 1781 240134 94180 66481

20.	Sh. Naveen Chander, ADA	Theog	0 1783 238570 94184 57611
21.	Sh. Atma Ram, ADA	Jubbal	01781 252743 98163 29825
22.	Sh. Atma Ram till joining of ADA at Chopal	Chopal	0 1783 260588 98163 29825
23.	Sh. Digvijay Singh, ADA	Reckong Peo	0 1786 223702 94181 36967
24.	Sh. Umesh Mohan, Dy.DA	Solan	0 1792 223829 94184 64929
25.	Sh. Kapil Mohan Goutam, ADA	Kasauli	0 1792 272809 94184 56715
26.	Sh. Rajeshwar Dutt, ADA	Kandaghat	0 1792 256157 94182 03838
27.	Sh. Sudeep Singh, ADA	Arki	0 1796 220717 94180 16674
28.	Sh. Bhima Nand Shandil, ADA	Nalagarh	0 1795 223239 98160 79797
29.	Sh. Sanjay Pandit , ADA	Nahan	0 1702 222507 94180 86259
30.	Sh. Kamal Kishore, ADA	Rajgarh	0 1799 221205 94182 19432
31.	Sh. Hemant Singh, ADA	Paonta	0 1704 222149 94180 24006
32.	Ms. Champa Devi, ADA	Bilaspur	0 1978 222597 94184 71837
33.	Sh. Jioginder Singh, ADA.	Ghumarwin	0 1978 254211 94183 41656
34.	Sh. Kishan Singh, ADA	Mandi	0 1905 223358 94180 20719
35.	Sh. Pankaj Dhiman, ADA	Sundernagar	0 1907 266413 94180 80435
36.	Sh. Shashi Kant, ADA	Karsog	0 1907 221219 94180 43515
37.	Sh. NS Chauhan, ADA	Joginder Nagar	0 1908 222003 94181 38112
38.	Sh. Vinod Bhardwaj, ADA	Sarkaghat	0 1905 230007 94180 94369
39.	Sh. Jitender Goswami , ADA	Gohar	0 1907 250912 94184 83837
40.	Sh. DS Parmar, DDA	Hamirpur	01972 222484 98161 15611
41.	Sh. Sharad Lagwal, ADA	Barsar	0 1972 289564 94180 35457
42.	Sh. Eklabya, ADA	Nadaun	0 1972 233845 98173 74759
43.	Sh. SK Bharwal, ADA	Una	0 1975 223446 94183 07129
44.	Sh. C.S.Bhatia, ADA	Amb	0 1976 260074 94181 51081
45.	Sh. Geetranjan Bhardwaj, ADA	Kangra at Dharamshala	0 1892 222895 98180 28282
46.	Sh. Bhuvnesh Awasthi, ADA	Baijnath	0 1894 263874 94180 16474
47.	Sh. Umesh Sharma, ADA	Dehra	0 1970 223152 94181 82444
48.	Sh. Bhishm Badresh Jawal, ADA	Jawali	0 1893 262048 94181 22848
49.	Sh. Sanjeev Rana, ADA	Palampur	0 1894 230478 94181 21124

50.	Sh. Uday Singh ADA,	Nurpur	0 1893 220139 94188 93616
51.	Sh. Anil Awasthi, ADA	Kangra	0 1892 265191 98059 54207
52.	Sh. Vijay Kumar, ADA	Indora	01893 241758 94182 15002
53.	Sh. S.S.Pathania, DA	Chamba	0 1899 222469 94180 60022
54.	Sh. Bhuvnesh, ADA	Dalhausie	0 1899 242347 94182 88818
55.	Sh. Mahesh Sen, Dy. DA	Kullu	0 1902 224757 94180 74002
56.	Sh. Chanan Singh, ADA	Manali	0 1902 250200 94183 60994
57.	Sh. Prithvi Singh, ADA.	Anni	0 1904 253227 94184 00065

OTHER INFORMATION

(i) DETAIL OF SANCTIONED STRENGTH OF DIRECTORATE OF PROSECUTION

Demand No.3 (Non-Plan)					
Sr. No.	Post	Sanctioned post	Filled up	Vacant	Remarks.
1.	2.				6.
1	Director (Prosecution)	1	1	0	
2	Joint Director of Prosecution	3	3	0	
3	District. Attorneys	14	13	1	
4	Deputy Distt. Attorneys	32	28	4	
5	Asstt. District .Attorneys	86	70	16	
6	Superintendent, Grade-I	1	1	0	
7	Superintendent, Grade-II	1	1	0	
8	Personal Assistant	1	1	0	
9	Senior Assistants	17	17	0	
10	Librarian	1	0	1	
11	Junior Scale Stenographer	13	11	2	
12	Steno Typist	3	3	0	
13	Clerks	90	79	11	
14	Drivers	3	3	0	
15	Peons	86	83	3	
16	Chowkidars	3	3	0	
17	Sweeper	1	1	0	
	Total	356	318	38	

Demand No.31 (NON PLAN)					
1	Distt. Attorneys	1	1	-	
2	Deputy .Distt. Attorneys	1	1	-	
3	Asstt .Distt. Attorneys	2	2	-	
4	Senior Assistants	1	1	-	
5	Junior Scale Stenographer	1	1	-	
6	Clerks	3	2	1	
7	Peons	3	3	-	
8	Chowkidar	1	1	-	
	Total	13	12	1	

Detail of sanctioned strength of daily wages staff/Part time worker (Demand No. 3)

1	Sweeper(Daily wage)	18	18	-	
2	Part time workers	22	22	-	
	Total	40	40	-	

Total 356+13+40 = 409

LAW OFFICER OF PROSECUTION DEPARTMENT WHO ARE WORKING IN OTHER DEPARTMENT

(A) Officers on secondment basis:

	1. STATE VIGILANCE & ANTICORRUPTION BUREAU, H.P. SHIMLA.
1.	Sh. M.R. Sharma, Joint Director of Prosecution, Shimla.
2.	Sh. N.K. Sharma, Joint Director of Prosecution, Shimla.
3.	Sh. Ravinder Chaudhary, Distt. Attorney, North Zone, Dharamshala.
4.	Sh. J.S. Rana, Distt. Attorney, South Zone, Shimla.
5.	Sh. R.L. Bhardwaj, District Attorney (Vigilance), Hqrs, Shimla-2.
6.	Sh. J.K. Lakhanpal, District Attorney, Central Zone, Mandi.
7.	Sh. Sandeep Attri, Deputy District Attorney, South Zone, Shimla.
8.	Sh. R.D. Chaudhary, Dy. Distt. Attorney, D/shala.
9.	Sh. Vipul Sharma, Deputy District Attorney, Central Zone, Mandi.
10.	Sh. Prakash Thakur, Asstt. Distt. Attorney, Vigilance Hqrs. Shimla.
	2. DIRECTORATE OF EDUCATION
11.	Sh. Ashwani Dhiman, Distt. Attorney (Higher Education)
12.	Sh. Surinder Negi, Deputy Distt. Attorney (Elementary Education)
	3. POLICE TRAINING COLLEGE, DAROH (PALAMPUR)
13.	Sh. Raghubir Singh, Joint Director of Prosecution.
14.	Sh. R.D. Sharma, Distt. Attorney
15.	Sh. Rajesh Kumar, Assistant Distt. Attorney
	4. HEALTH & FAMILY WELFARE DEPARTMENT, HP, SHIMLA-9
16.	Sh. Mohinder Chauhan, Dy. Distt. Attorney.
	5. DIRECTORATE OF ENERGY, H.P. SHIMLA
17.	Sh. Kulvir Chauhan, Deputy. Distt. Attorney
	6. EXCISE AND TAXATION DEPARTMENT:
18.	Sh. Vinod Kumar Behl, Distt. Attorney.
	7. HP PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT:
19.	Suresh Hetta, Dy. Distt. Attorney, Shimla.
20.	Sh. Ashok Sugyan, Dy. Distt. Attorney, PWD NZ, D/Shala.
21.	Smt. Monika Malhotra, Dy. Distt. Attorney, PWD Mandi.
	8. TOWN AND COUNTRY PLANNING DEPARTMENT
22.	Smt Mukta Kashyup, Asstt. District Attorney.
	9. HP PRISON DEPARTMENT, SHIMLA.
23.	Sh. Sudhir Sharma, Asstt. District Attorney,
	10. POLICE HEADQUARTER:
24.	Sh. A.K. Pattarwal, Joint Director of Prosecution, Police Hqrs

11. INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL -CUM- LABOUR COURT.	
25.	Sh. Jagdish Kanwar, Deputy Distt. Attorney, Shimla.
26.	Sh. Sanjeev Katoch, Deputy District Attorney, Dharamshala.
12. BEAS BHAKRA MANAGEMENT BOARD, NANGAL.	
27.	Sh. Tara Chand, Joint Director(Prosecution)
13. Satluj Jal Vidudh Nigam, Shimla.	
28.	Sh. Bipin Kumar Marwah, Distt. Attorney
29.	Sh. Tara Chand, Kainthla., Dy. Distt. Attorney
30.	Sh. Chander Mohan, Asstt. Distt. Attorney
14. Pr. Chief Conservator of Forests, Shimla.	
31.	Sh. Naresh Chand Ghai, District Attorney.

(B) VACANT POSTS

At the end of the year **2009-10**, **64** posts under different categories were vacant, wherein **1** post of District Attorney, **10** posts of Deputy District Attorneys, **20** posts of Assistant District Attorneys, **2** posts of Junior Scale Stenographer, **one** post of Librarian, **20** posts of Clerks (18 regular & 2 contract basis) and **9** posts of Peons (**6** regular, **1** contract & **2** daily wages) were lying vacant.

Out of aforesaid vacant posts during the year **2010-11**, **6** posts of Deputy District Attorneys, **13** posts of Assistant District Attorneys, 8 posts of Clerks and 6 posts of peons have been filled up. At the end of the year **2010-11.**, the following posts are lying vacant:

Sr. No.	Post	Number of posts
1	District Attorney	1
2	Deputy District Attorney	4
3	Assistant District Attorney	16
4	Senior Assistants.	0
5	Junior Scale Stenographer	2
6	Steno-Typist	0
7	Librarian	1
8	Driver	0
8	Clerks (Regular)	12
9	Clerks(Contract basis)	0
10	Peon(Regular 2, Contract 1)	3
11	Part time	0
Total		39

The posts of Librarian, Junior Scale Stenographers and Clerks are to be filled-up by direct recruitment as per the Govt. instructions. These posts cannot be filled without the prior approval of the Finance Department, which is still awaited. However, requisition has been sent to the H.P. Subordinate Services Selection Board, Hamirpur, for filling up the posts of Steno typist and Clerks, but so far no name has been sponsored.

(C) JUDICIAL WORK

1. CRIMINAL CASES:

During the year **2010-11** in the beginning as on **1.4.2010** there were **37664** cases under Indian Penal Code and Special/other laws in various courts in the State of H.P., were pending. **14248** new cases were instituted during the year **2010-11** and in **1855** cases accused were convicted and in **6002** cases accused were acquitted. **36** cases were discharged. **243** Cases were withdrawn. In **242** cases proceedings have been abated /stopped due to the death of the

accused persons and in **231** cases accused were declared as proclaimed offenders. **4388** Cases were transferred to different courts. **1081** cases were compromised & compounded. Hence, at the end of the year **2010-11** as on **31.3.2011**, **37726** cases were pending in the different courts. The rate of conviction during the year **2010-11** is **33%**, which is quite satisfactory. Detailed statement of criminal cases is given in **annexure A-I**.

(i) **Murder cases:**

During the year **2010-11** in the beginning as on **1.4.2010** there were **191 murder** cases under Indian Penal Code in various courts in the State of H.P., were pending. **270 new cases** were instituted during the year **2010-11** and in **50** cases accused were convicted and in **47** cases accused were acquitted. In **one** case proceedings have been abated /stopped. **199** cases were transferred to different courts. **No** Case was discharged. Hence, at the end of the year **2010-11** as on **31.3.2011**, **164** cases were pending in the different courts. The rate of conviction during the year **2010-11** is **52%**, which is quite satisfactory.

(ii) **Rape cases:**

During the year **2010-11** in the beginning as on **1.4.2010** there were **365 rape** cases under Indian Penal Code in various courts in the State of H.P., were pending. **381** new cases were instituted during the year **2010-11** and in **40** cases accused were convicted and in **122** cases accused were acquitted. **258** Cases were transferred to different courts. In **one** case accused was declared as proclaimed offender. **3** cases were **withdrawn**. In **one** case proceedings were dropped. Hence, at the end of the year **2010-11** as on **31.3.2011**, **319** cases were pending in the different courts. The rate of conviction during the year **2010-11** is **25 %**.

(iii) **N.D.P.S. Cases:**

During the year **2010-11** in the beginning there were **847 NDPS Act** cases in various courts in the State of H.P., were pending. **838** new cases were instituted during the year **2010-11** and in **90** cases accused were convicted and in **176** cases accused were acquitted. **273** Cases were transferred to different courts. In **12** cases proceedings were dropped. In **8** cases accused were declared as proclaimed offenders. One case was withdrawn. Hence, at the end of the year, **2010-11** as on **31.3.2011**, **1122** cases were pending in the different courts. The rate of conviction during the year **2010-11** is **34%**.

(iv) **Forest cases:**

During the year **2010-11** in the beginning as on **1.4.2010** there were **776 Forest** cases under Forest Act in various courts in the State of H.P., were pending. **197 new cases** were instituted during the year **2010-11** and in **15** cases accused were convicted and in **128** cases accused were acquitted. **67** Cases were transferred to different courts. In **one** case proceedings were dropped. One case was withdrawn. Hence, at the end of the year **2010-11** as on **31.3.2011**, **754** cases were pending in the different courts. The rate of conviction during the year **2010-11** is **11%**.

(v) **Excise Cases:**

During the year **2010-11** in the beginning as on **1.4.2010** there were **6172** cases under Excise Act in various courts in the State of H.P., were pending. **1723 new cases** were instituted during the year **2010-11** and in **273** cases accused were convicted and in **1248** cases accused were acquitted. **106** Cases were transferred to different courts. **332** cases were compounded/ compromised. In **91** cases proceedings were dropped. **63** accused persons were declared as proclaimed offender. **An amount of Rupees 18, 92,800 /- of fine has been imposed thereon during the period under reference.** Hence, at the end of the year **2010-11** as on **31.3.2011**, **5770** cases were pending in the different courts. The rate of conviction during the year **2010-11** is **33%**.

2. DETAIL RELATING TO CRIMINAL APPEALS/REVISIONS:

During the year, **2010-11** in the beginning there were **1607** Criminal appeals/ revision pending in different courts of the State. **1089** Criminal Appeals/revisions were instituted during the year **2010-01**, **229** Cr. Appeals/revisions were decided against the State and in **316** Cr. Appeals/revisions convictions of the accused persons were maintained and as such they were decided in favour of the State. **287** Cases of appeals/revisions were transferred to other courts. **23** Cases were compromised and as such percentage of success to the State during the year **2010-11** is **58%**, which is quite good. Thus the number of appeals/revisions at the end of the year **2010-11** is **1831**. Detailed statement of Criminal Appeals/revisions is attached as Annex.-A 2.

3. JUDICIAL WORK OF CIVIL CASES

During the year, **2010-11** in the beginning as on **1.4.2010**, there were **4572** civil cases pending in various courts of the State. **1605 cases** were instituted during the year, **2010-11**, **920 cases** were decided in favour of the State. **186 cases** were decided against the State and **63 cases** were compromised. **18 Cases** were withdrawn from the courts. **1121 cases** were transferred to other courts. Hence, at the close of the year as on **31.3.2011** number of cases pending is **3869**. The percentage of success in civil cases during the year under reference is **83%** which is quite encouraging. The detailed statement of civil cases during the year under reference is given in Annexure A-3.

4. HP LEGAL CELL, NEW DELHI (SUPREME COURT CASES)

(a) CIVIL MATTERS:

There were **442** cases pending in the beginning of the year, **2010-11**. **480 civil cases** were instituted during the year **2010-11**. **05 cases** were decided in favour of the State and **17 cases** were decided against the State. Hence at the end of the year **2010-11**, **900 cases** remained pending. The percentage of success in favour of the State comes to **23%**.

(b) CRIMINAL & OTHER MATTERS:

In beginning of the year **2010-11**, **237 cases** were pending in the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India and **61 cases** were presented in the year **2010-11**. **13 cases** were decided in favour of State and **20 cases** were decided against the State. Hence, **265 cases** remained pending at the end of the year **2010-11**. The success rate comes to **39%**.

5. PROCEEDINGS UNDER PREVENTIVE SECTIONS OF CR.P.C.

At the beginning of the year **2010-11**, **3963 cases** under the preventive sections of Cr. PC were pending. **4357 cases** were instituted during the year **2010-11** in the courts of different Executive Magistrates. In **4 cases** persons were bounded down. **3958 cases** were discharged, **10 cases** were transferred and cases were **122** compromised. Hence, at the end of the year **2010-11**, **4226 cases** were pending in different preventive sections of Cr. PC. Detailed Statement of security cases is attached as **Annexure A-4**. Proceedings under sections 107/109/145 Cr. PC were instituted directly by the Police before the Executive Magistrates as preventive measure to maintain the law and order.

6. REVENUE CASES:-

At the beginning of the year **2010-11 (1.4.2010)**, **393 cases** were pending. **125 new cases** were instituted during the year, **2010-11**. **97 cases** were decided in favour of the State and **33 cases** were decided against the State. **54 cases** were transferred. **45 cases** were remand back. Hence, at the end of year **2010-11 (31.03.2011)**, **289 cases** were remained pending. The success rate in favour of the State comes to **75%**.

7. FOREST CASES AT SHIMLA

At the beginning of the year, **2010-11**, **4 cases** were pending before the Special Judge (Forest) Shimla. **5 cases** were instituted during the year. **One case** was convicted and no case was acquitted. One case was transferred. Hence, **7 cases** were pending at the close of the year **2010-11**. Rate of conviction comes to **100%**

8. (i) Labour Court, Shimla.

At the beginning of the year, **2010-11**, **109 cases** were pending before Industrial Tribunal- cum- Labour court, Shimla. **30 cases** were instituted during the year **2010-11**. **31 cases** were decided in favour of the state and **32 cases** were decided against the state of H.P. Hence, **76 cases** were remained pending at the end of the year **2010-11**. The success rate comes to **49%**.

8. (ii) Labour Court, Kangra at Dharamshala.

There were **849 cases** pending at the beginning of the year. **354 new cases** were instituted. **258 cases** were decided in favour of the State and **255 cases** were decided against the State. **690 cases** were remained pending. The success rate comes to **50%**.

9. Consumer Court Cases.

At the beginning of the year **2010-11**, **54 cases** were pending before District Consumer Forum and H.P. State Consumer Redressal Commission, Shimla. **43 cases** were instituted during the year **2010-11**. **24 cases** were decided in

favour of the State and 5 cases were decided against the State. 2 cases were compromised and 3 cases were remanded back. Hence, 62 cases were remained pending at the end of the year 2010-11. The success rate comes to 83% in favour of the State.

(D) OTHER WORK

1. TRAINING PROGRAMME:

As regards training programmes are concerned, the law officers were sent for refresher courses on criminal law in the National Institute of Criminology and Forensic Science at Delhi as well as H.P. Institute of Public Administration Fairlawn's, Shimla and training in respect of office procedure and Financial Management and basis computer course/ Advance computer Course provided to officers/ officials at HIPA. Besides it Workshop on Training Needs Analysis for providing training to Prosecutors conducted at Fairlawn's, HIPA during the current financial year for smooth and effective functioning of the Department. There is also special achievement during the current financial year 2010-11 that an amount of Rs. 24,00,000/- for providing training and training material to the prosecutors under 13th Finance Commission under Training to defend state cases efficiently before the various courts in the State of H.P.

2. PUBLIC ACCOUNTS COMMITTEE/AUDIT PARAS/INSPECTIONS

During the opening of the financial year 2010-11 w.e.f. 1.4.2010 there was no Para pending with Public Accounts Committee pertaining to this Department and also during this financial year there is no PAC para pending at the end as on 31.03.2011. At the end of the year 2009-10, there were 8 Audit reports involving 9 audit paras were pending for settlement/ compliance. During the year 2010-11, 2 audit report involving 4 audit para raised by the audit. Out of above 8 audit reports involving 11 paras have been settled during the period 1.4.2010 to 31.3.2011. Hence, at the end of the year 2010-11 only 2 audit reports involving 2 audit paras remained pending for settlement. During the year 2010-11 steps were taken by the department for the settlement of the paras stated in the audit reports.

3. REGARDING RULES AND REGULATIONS:

The recruitment and promotion rules for all the categories of employees have been finalised.

4. MEETING OF DEAPRTMENTAL PROMOTION COMMITTEE:

During the year 2010-11, one meeting of Departmental Promotion Committee was held for giving benefits under Assured Career Progression Scheme to eligible Class IV employee.

5. SENIORITY LISTS:

This department has released the seniority lists of all the Class III and IV employees' up-to 31.03.2011.

6. CONFIDENTIAL REPORTS:

The confidential reports for the year 2009-10 of Class III employees of this department have been completed.

7. USE OF HINDI

Almost all the work of this department except legal work is being done in Hindi. However, some legal work was also done in Hindi.

8. BUDGET

The detail of the amount, which is received from the Government on different sub Heads for the year 2010-11 under demand No. 3 and 31 is attached as Annexure A-5 for perusal. Under this department, except Directorate other 39 offices are included under demand No. 3 and 31 offices fall under the demand No. 31.

During the year 2009-10 under the sub head Office Expenses less amount was allotted and the amount allotted was not sufficient to cope up with the pending bills of this Directorate. It is, therefore necessary that sufficient funds be provided under the above mentioned sub head for the smooth functioning of this Directorate.

9. DIFFICULTIES:

This department is not having sufficient ministerial staff to cope up with the day to day work. At the Head quarter level only one Sr. Asstt. 2 Clerks, one Junior Scale Stenographer, 2 peons are posted with the Distt. Attorneys, whereas at Dharamshala, Chamba, Hamirpur, Una, Bilaspur, Solan, Nahan, Shimla, Kullu and Mandi, the number of Law Officers are more than 5 and 6 and require more supporting staff. Thus the Law Officers are facing great hardship and work of the department is also suffering. The private advocates always have sufficient staff to assist them, but as compared to the private

advocates, the law officers of this department have no sufficient manpower to assist them. In this department one post of Junior Scale Stenographer had been sanctioned for each district head quarter level. However, at the sub divisional level, there is no post of stenographer and as such the work of this department is also suffering at sub divisional level in the absence of Stenos. The post of Steno is very much essential for the smooth and effective functioning of the offices at the sub divisional level.

It is, therefore, necessary that for the proper functioning of the work of this department, one post of clerk with each law officer at District Level and one post of Steno at each Sub Divisional level should be sanctioned.

At the District HQ level the District Attorney is the office-in-charge. He looks after the work of establishment/financial matters etc. In addition to legal works and mostly remains busy in the courts. Hence, the post of Superintendent Grade II is very essential for the smooth working of office of District Attorneys at the District Level. At the District level, law officers have been attached with the judicial courts and they remained busy in the judicial work for whole day and as such the cases before the Revenue courts/Executive Magistrate are not attended to. It is essential that in each district HQ and sub divisional level additional post of Asstt. District Attorney is created so that work may not suffer. This department has taken steps for the creation of above posts in the past, many times with the Government and is still under consideration with the Govt.

In addition to this, it is pointed out that presently law officers/officials at the District level/sub divisional level have not been provided suitable official/residential accommodation. This matter also requires urgent attention.

10. ACHIEVEMENTS OF THE DEPARTMENT

(i) This Department has provided computers at Distt. Hqrs. and Sub Divisional Level which will help in monitoring of court cases and will increase the efficiency of Law Officers as the computers has become most indispensable accessory in the modern office working. FAX machines have also been provided to DAs.

(ii) Special Achievement:-

During the financial year **2010-11** an amount of Rupees **3, 00, 00,000/-** has been sanctioned for the construction of office as well as residential accommodation of ADA, Theog, DA Bilaspur, ADA Amb, ADA Anni, ADA Jawali, DA Chamba, DA Hamirpur, DA Nahan and ADA Paonta. In addition to above, budget amounting to Rs. 1, 00, 00,000/- (Rs. One crore) allotted for the financial year 2011-2012 for the construction of office accommodation of this department.

11. PROSPECTIVE PLAN OF THE DEPARTMENT.

The Department has provided Law Officers in the office of Superintendent of Police to tender legal advice at the stage of investigation which will improve the quality of investigation and increase the rate of conviction in criminal cases.

Efforts are afoot to increase the rate of conviction by applying latest technology i.e. Forensic science etc., in the prosecution of criminal cases.

12. INSPECTION:

Periodic inspections of the offices of District Prosecution agency and sub divisional level prosecution agency are carried out by the Director of Prosecution & Joint Director of Prosecution. The heads of the District Prosecution Agency have been instructed to inspect the office of Prosecution agencies in the Sub Division level within their jurisdiction.

2. RIGHT TO INFORMATION ACT.

During the year **2010-11**, **19** applications and **2** appeals under the Right to Information Act have been received in the department, and were disposed off accordingly well in time in accordance with the Act and Rules.

13. CONVICTION RATE

a. IN TRIAL COURTS:

Conviction rate in criminal cases conducted before different Trial Courts in H.P. w.e.f. **1.4.2010 to 31.3.2010** figured at **33%**, which is quite satisfactory and further steps are being taken to enhance the same by issuing directions to the prosecutors of this Directorate to increase their performance and efficiency accordingly.

b. IN APPELLATE COURTS

In criminal appeals/revisions preferred/instituted by the State of H.P. before the different appellate Courts of Ld. Sessions Judge/Additional Sessions Judge/Fast Track Courts respectively against the judgment of acquittals/orders passed by the Judicial Magistrates, the success rate of acceptance of appeal/revision in favour of State of H.P., comes to **58%** which is quite encouraging and shows the effective performance by the Prosecution agency.

14. GENERAL

On the whole, the work of the prosecution agency in the State during the year **2010-11** has been found satisfactory. Efforts are being made to streamline the functioning of the Prosecution Department with a view to achieve a higher level of conviction rate so that HP becomes number one State in the field.

Director of Prosecution,

STATEMENT OF CRIMINAL CASES W.E.F. 1.4.2010 TO 31.03.2011 SHOWING RATE OF CONVICTION & PERFORMANCE OF LAW OFFICERS

Sr No	Name of the court	Name of the Law Officer	No. of cases pending at the beginning of year. (1.4.2010)	Instituted during the year 2010-11	Convicted during the year.	Acquitted during the year	Discharged during the year	Compromised / compounded during year.	Transferred during the year.	Proceedings dropped	Withdrawn during the year	Proclaimed offender.	Others	Total disposal during the year.	Cases pending at the close of year.	Rate of conviction. (2010-11).
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17
1.	DISTRICT BILASPUR HP															
1.	Session Judge	S.S. Kaushal DA	84	53	4	14	0	0	32	0	0	0	0	50	87	22%
2.	CJM BLP	Champa Devi, ADA	1470	333	23	93	1	12	172	7	8	0	13	329	1474	27%
3.	JMIC BLP	Suresh Kumar, ADA	1629	235	34	205	0	9	21	0	4	1	31	305	1559	17%
4.	JMIC-I Ghumarwin	Joginder Singh, ADA	1077	50	21	88	0	16	7	0	5	0	1	138	989	30%
5.	JMIC-II, Ghumarwin	Rahul Chopra, ADA	336	155	9	79	0	6	9	2	5	0	0	110	381	16%
6.	ASJ FTC Ghumarwin	R.K. Bharwal, DDA	43	37	4	28	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	33	47	13%
	Total		4639	863	95	507	1	43	242	9	22	1	45	965	4537	21%
2.	DISTRICT CHAMBA HP															
1.	Session Judge.	M.S. Chauhan, DA	30	56	21	31	0	0	3	1	0	0	0	56	30	40%
2.	CJM Chamba	Parveen Thakur, ADA	1114	371	92	108	0	52	28	3	2	4	0	289	1196	57%
3.	JMIC Chamba	Parveen Thakur, ADA	644	182	19	102	0	16	23	4	4	5	0	173	653	26%
4.	SDJM Dalhousie.	Bhuvesh, ADA	654	162	20	94	0	12	10	3	20	0	0	159	657	25%
5.	FTC	S.S. Pathania, DDA	34	32	06	28	0	0	1	0	2	0	0	37	29	18%
	Total		2476	803	158	363	0	80	65	11	28	9	0	714	2565	40%
3.	DISTRICT HAMIRPUR															
1.	Sessions Judge	K.S. Verma, DA	8	41	1	21	0	0	19	0	0	0	0	41	8	05%
2.	FTC	D.S. Parmar, DDA	9	17	5	14	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	20	6	30%
3.	CJM	K.D. Sharma, ADA	137	181	6	50	0	12	11	1	3	0	0	83	235	26%
4.	JMIC I	Anuj Sharma, ADA	189	75	3	27	0	0	26	4	1	0	0	61	203	10%
5.	JMIC II	K.D. Sharma,	225	125	1	22	0	6	44	3	7	0	0	83	267	24%

6.	JMIC III	ADA Rajender Chauhan, ADA	200	87	4	13	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	21	266	24%
7.	JMIC-IV	Rajinder Chauhan, ADA (Vacant)	213	70	10	29	0	9	8	0	3	0	0	59	224	40%
8.	JMIC Badsar	Shard Lagwal, ADA	123	121	20	34	0	18	11	1	10	0	0	94	150	53%
9.	JMIC Nadaun	Eklabya, ADA	363	125	31	74	0	17	11	4	15	0	0	152	336	39%
	Total		1467	842	81	284	0	63	134	13	39	0	0	614	1695	34%
4.	DISTRICT KANGRA AT DHARAMSHALA															
1.	Sessions Judge	R.L. Saini, DA	67	157	19	11	0	0	101	0	0	0	0	131	93	63%
2.	ASJ- I	Kuldeep Sen, DDA	68	78	2	8	0	0	82	0	0	0	0	92	54	20%
3.	ASJ- II	Satpal Garg, DDA	64	80	4	16	1	0	68	0	0	0	0	89	55	20%
4.	ASJ-FTC	H.S. Dhiman, DDA.	36	94	4	29	0	0	55	0	0	0	0	88	42	12%
5.	CJM	Geetranjan, ADA,	270	265	24	46	0	9	24	3	0	1	0	107	428	42%
6.	JMIC I	Devender Chaudhary, ADA	247	226	5	18	0	1	11	4	2	0	2	43	430	25%
7.	JMIC II	Soham Kaushal ADA	138	23	1	13	0	2	142	0	0	1	2	161	0	19%
8.	ACJM Palampur	Sanjeev Rana, ADA	241	249	32	73	0	12	21	1	4	0	15	158	332	38%
9.	JMIC Palampur	Navina Rahi, ADA.	183	33	10	83	0	3	0	2	3	0	3	104	112	14%
10.	ACJM Nurpur	Udhay Singh, ADA	829	205	12	109	0	7	2	5	1	0	9	145	889	15%
11.	JMIC Nurpur	L.M. Sharma ADA	678	79	10	85	0	4	0	13	3	2	1	118	639	14%
12.	ACJM Dehra	Umesh Sharma, ADA	444	712	41	138	0	72	483	10	7	1	0	752	404	45%
13.	JMIC Dehra	Karnail, ADA	433	539	11	11	0	9	449	1	0	0	0	481	491	65%
14.	ACJM Kangra	Anil K Awasthi, ADA	689	131	17	231	0	17	7	6	3	0	0	281	539	13%
15.	JMIC Kangra	Anil K Sharma ADA	805	133	34	117	0	14	8	2	3	1	0	179	759	29%
16.	ACJM Baijnath	B. Awahthi, ADA	127	79	15	44	0	20	2	0	1	4	8	94	112	44%
17.	ACJM Jawali	Bhisham Jamwal, ADA	883	158	11	67	1	13	0	6	1	0	0	99	942	26%
18.	JMIC Indora	Vijay K.	1211	223	17	202	0	18	7	15	4	14	8	285	1149	15%

	Total	Rehalia, ADA	7413	3464	269	1301	2	201	1462	68	32	24	48	3407	7470	27%
5.	DISTRICT KINNAUR															
1.	Session judge	Ajit Thakur, Distt. Attorney	171	63	11	20	0	0	38	0	1	3	0	73	161	35%
2.	CJM KNR at Peo	D.S. Rana, Asstt. Distt. Attorney	212	191	21	67	0	48	7	1	9	6	0	159	244	51%
3.	FTC Solan at Rampur	M.K. Sharma	41	33	13	20	0	0	4	0	0	6	0	43	31	39%
	Total		424	287	45	107	0	48	49	1	10	15	0	275	436	47%
6.	DISTRICT KULLU															
1.	Session Judge	N.S. verma, DA	124	75	15	26	0	0	15	7	5	0	1	69	130	37%
2.	FTC	Mahesh Sen, DDA	63	64	19	38	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	59	68	33%
3.	CJM Kullu	N.S. Katoch, DDA	693	181	36	59	0	16	41	7	10	0	0	169	705	47%
4.	ACJM L&S at Kullu	Khush Ram Sharma, ADA	474	197	43	105	0	24	16	4	1	0	0	193	478	39%
5.	JMIC Manali	Chanan Singh, ADA	103	114	36	23	0	4	0	6	2	1	0	72	145	63%
6.	JMIC, Ani	P.S. Negi, AD A	455	141	38	74	0	11	8	9	0	0	0	140	456	40%
	Total		1912	772	187	325	0	55	80	33	18	3	1	702	1982	43%
7.	DISTRICT LAHOUL & SPITI AT KULLU															
1.	CJML & S at Keylong.	Khush Ram, ADA	34	62	11	4	0	54	0	0	0	0	0	69	27	94%
8.	DISTRICT MANDI															
1.	Sessions Judge.	V.K. Chaudhary, DA	158	207	6	20	0	1	128	0	0	0	0	155	210	26%
2.	ASJ	S.S. Koundal, DDA	154	73	5	14	0	0	8	0	0	0	0	27	200	26%
3.	FTC	J.K. Lakhanpal, DDA	97	65	10	32	0	3	4	0	0	0	0	49	113	29%
4.	CJM Mandi	K.S. Verma, ADA	447	118	31	74	0	16	6	1	0	4	0	132	433	39%
5.	JMIC I	Sh. Ajay Thakur, ADA	444	98	16	75	0	12	5	0	0	4	0	112	430	27%
6.	P.M. (Juvenile) Court	Sh. Ajay Thakur ADA	0	143	16	6	0	1	9	0	0	0	0	32	111	74%
6.	JMIC II	Raj Rani, ADA	442	82	11	55	0	8	0	2	0	2	0	78	446	26%

7.	JMIC III	-do- , ADA	517	56	15	63	0	7	10	6	3	6	0	110	463	26%
8.	JMIC- IV	Kulbhushan Awasthi, ADA	358	76	13	21	0	7	0	1	0	3	0	45	389	49%
9.	JMIC-I Sunder Ngr	Kulbhushan, ADA	873	70	31	79	2	5	5	3	0	10	0	135	808	31%
10.	JMI-II, SNR	Pankaj Dhiman, ADA	607	161	35	77	1	26	0	7	0	2	0	148	620	44%
10.	JMIC Karsog	Shashi Kant Sharma, ADA	212	124	17	88	0	31	06	0	0	0	0	142	194	35%
11.	JMIC Gohar	Jitender Goswami, ADA	210	113	20	54	0	23	7	1	2	0	0	107	216	44%
12.	JMIC Sarkaghat	Vinod K. Bhardwaj, ADA	964	265	35	159	4	58	19	0	7	3	0	285	944	37%
13.	JJMIC, J. Nagar.	NS Chauhan, ADA	592	233	38	94	0	35	5	0	3	5	0	180	645	44%
	Total		6075	1884	299	911	7	233	212	21	15	39	0	1737	6222	37%
9.	DISTRICT SHIMLA															
1.	Session Judge.	P.R. Patiyal, DA	23	21	8	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	14	30	57%
2.	ASJ	D.S. Parmar, DDA	35	17	7	7	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	17	35	50%
3.	SJ Forest	L.S. Negi, ADA	4	5	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	2	7	100%
4.	CJM	Smt.Gulab Negi, ADA	112	269	10	31	5	4	1	9	1	0	0	61	320	31%
5	JMIC I	Harish Negi, ADA	181	89	17	23	2	3	1	4	3	3	0	56	214	47%
6	JMIC II	Sheetal Bansal, ADA	270	90	16	39	0	0	33	0	2	0	0	90	270	29%
7	JMIC III	Ms. Sangeeta, ADA	326	54	12	16	0	3	349	0	0	0	0	380	0	48%
8.	JMIC IV	Manu Kalia, ADA	168	183	12	45	1	2	23	2	2	3	0	90	261	24%
9.	JMIC V	Reena Chauhan, ADA.	186	76	7	18	1	3	142	5	0	2	0	178	84	36%
10.	JMIC VI	Ritu Malik, ADA	63	383	0	10	0	0	123	0	1	0	0	134	312	0%
11.	JMIC-VII	Sangeeta , ADA	217	135	5	17	1	7	83	0	0	6	0	119	233	41%
12.	JMIC-VIII	--	182	0	0	0	0	0	182	0	0	0	0	182	0	-
13.	Juvenile Court	Sheetal Bansal, ADA	116	34	3	14	4	1	48	0	0	5	0	75	75	22%
14.	JMIC-I Rohru.	Vikas Doultla , ADA	127	82	15	34	0	7	7	0	0	0	2	65	144	39%

15.	JMIC Rohru	Chander Sagar, ADA	118	69	49	55	0	7	5	0	0	0	2	118	69	50%
16.	JMIC Theog	Naveen Chander, ADA	381	152	34	69	0	24	16	1	0	0	0	144	389	46%
17.	JMIC Chopal	Vacant ADA.	263	92	20	45	2	14	5	2	1	3	0	92	263	43%
18.	JMIC Jubbal	Atma Ram, ADA.	88	49	12	24	0	2	1	3	1	5	1	49	88	37%
19.	SDJM Rampur	Bhagwan Dass Negi, DDA.	432	268	16	86	0	37	12	0	10	6	0	167	533	38%
20.	FTC-SML	Purinder Sharma, DDA	13	32	8	3	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	12	33	73%
	Total		3305	2100	252	542	18	114	1034	26	21	33	5	2045	3360	40%
10.	DISTRICT SIRMAUR AT NAHAN															
1.	Session Judge	Rajinder Sharma, DA	70	40	5	14	0	0	44	0	0	0	0	63	47	26%
2.	ASJ Nahan	Ajmer Singh, DDA	111	17	4	2	0	0	65	1	1	0	0	73	55	67%
3.	CJM -Nahan	Sanjay Pandit, ADA	352	179	8	90	0	10	6	0	5	0	0	119	412	17%
4.	JMIC I Nahan	Sandeep Sharma, ADA	160	238	16	88	0	10	32	4	1	0	0	151	247	23%
5.	JMIC Paonta	Hemant Singh ADA	702	232	51	63	0	19	12	3	9	3	4	164	770	53%
6.	JMIC II Paonta	Devender Singh, ADA	647	111	13	114	0	8	14	5	3	8	3	168	590	16%
7.	ACJM Rajgarh	Kamal Kishore ADA	173	124	18	74	0	12	110	0	0	0	1	215	82	29%
	Total		2215	941	115	445	0	59	283	13	19	11	8	953	2203	28%
11.	DISTRIC SOLAN															
1.	Sessions Judge	C.B. Acharya, DA	32	75	4	11	0	0	50	0	0	0	0	65	42	27%
2.	ASJ Solan	NL Sen, ADA	31	31	8	10	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	20	42	44%
3.	ASJ FTC	S.D. Vasudeva, Dy. DA	30	23	3	8	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	13	40	27%
4.	CJM Solan	Sanjay Chauhan, ADA	710	202	13	91	0	11	53	5	4	18	0	195	717	21%
5.	JMIC Solan	Rajender K Sharma ADA	291	83	7	18	0	3	1	0	0	9	0	38	336	36%
6.	Juvenile Court	Sanjay Chauhan, ADA	0	34	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	34	-
6.	ACJM KASAULI	Yashpal Singh, ADA	264	100	23	36	0	6	16	1	2	1	0	85	279	45%
7.	JMIC KSL	Kapil	275	88	36	49	0	3	7	1	2	14	0	112	251	44%

8.	ACJM K/ghat.	Mohan, ADA R.D. Sharma, ADA	198	86	10	41	0	8	10	6	2	10	0	87	197	31%
9	ACJM ARKI	Sudeep Singh, ADA	403	161	2	85	0	6	10	4	7	1	0	115	449	9%
10	SDJM NALAGARH	B.N. Shandil, ADA	586	170	64	101	0	13	11	0	0	20	0	209	547	43%
11	JMIC-II Nalagarh	-do-	481	76	18	65	0	10	12	0	3	9	0	117	440	30%
	Total		3301	1129	188	515	0	60	173	17	20	83	0	1056	3374	33%
12.	DISTRICT UNA															
1.	Sessions Judge.	Sh. A.K. Nadda, DDA	24	49	8	9	0	0	45	0	0	0	0	62	11	47%
2.	ASJ	R.S. Sharma, DA	13	22	12	8	0	0	5	5	0	0	0	25	10	60%
3.	ASJ FTC	A.K. Dhiman, DDA	13	24	9	11	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	21	16	45%
4.	CJM	Sanjeev Bharwal, ADA	480	121	55	151	0	9	3	0	1	4	0	228	373	30%
5.	ACJM I	Naveen Kumar, ADA	701	119	6	91	0	7	3	1	5	0	0	115	705	13%
6.	PMJJB, Una	Sanjeev Bharwal, ADA	578	86	2	10	0	3	524	1	1	0	0	540	124	33%
7.	JMIC II	Naveen Kumar, ADA	753	143	19	113	3	9	38	6	3	0	0	190	706	20%
8.	JMIC III	Nitin Sharma	498	125	22	94	0	10	5	4	2	5	0	143	480	25%
9.	JMIC-IV	-Do-	527	132	9	75	0	9	10	0	3	1	1	114	545	19%
10.	ACJM AMB	CS Bhatia, ADA	525	180	9	85	5	18	11	0	3	3	0	135	570	24%
11.	JMIC II Amb	Sandeep ADA	291	100	4	51	0	6	9	5	1	0	0	76	315	16%
	Total		4403	1101	155	698	8	71	654	30	19	13	1	1649	3855	24%

STATEMENT OF CRIMINAL CASES FOR THE YEAR 2010-2011 (1.4.2010 TO 31.3.2011)

Sr No	Name Of The Court	Pending at the Beginning of the Year (1.4.10)	No. of Cases Instituted (2010-11)	Convicted.	Acquitted.	Discharged.	Compromise/ compounded	Transferred	Proceeding Dropped	Withdrawn.	Proclaimed offenders	Others.	Total Disposal.	Balance at the end of Year (31.3.11)	Rate Of Conviction.
1.	2.	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
1	Bilaspur	4639	863	95	507	1	43	242	9	22	1	45	965	4537	21%
2	Chamba	2476	803	158	363	0	80	65	11	28	9	0	714	2565	40%
3	Hamirpur	1467	842	81	284	0	63	134	13	39	0	0	614	1695	34%
4	Kangra	7413	3464	269	1301	2	201	1462	68	32	24	48	3407	7470	27%
5	Kinnaur	424	287	45	107	0	48	49	1	10	15	0	275	436	47%
6	Kullu	1912	772	187	325	0	55	80	33	18	3	1	702	1982	43%
7	Lahul & Spiti	34	62	11	4	0	54	0	0	0	0	0	69	27	94%
8	Mandi	6075	1884	299	911	7	233	212	21	15	39	0	1737	6222	37%
9	Shimla	3305	2100	252	542	18	114	1034	26	21	33	5	2045	3360	40%
10	Sirmour	2215	941	115	445	0	59	283	13	19	11	8	953	2203	28%
11	Solan	3301	1129	188	515	0	60	173	17	20	83	0	1056	3374	33%
12	Una	4403	1101	155	698	8	71	654	30	19	13	1	1649	3855	24%
	TOTAL	37664	14248	1855	6002	36	1081	4388	242	243	231	108	14186	37726	33%

Age wise breakup of pending Criminal cases in the courts as on 31.03.2011 pertaining to State of Himachal Pradesh.

Sr. No.	Age wise breakup of pending Criminal cases as on 31.03.2011.	Name of Districts.											Total Cases (Pending)	
		Shimla	Kangra	Mandi	Una	NHN	HMR	SOLAN	BLP	Chamba	Kullu	L & S		KNR
		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11		12
1	0-6 months	910	2018	1058	1110	713	282	802	679	508	600	12	70	8762
2	6-12 months	608	1471	1370	655	337	374	676	745	474	430	13	152	7305
3	12-18 months	604	1336	1176	657	299	308	552	487	356	407	0	125	6307
4	18-24 months	436	967	474	473	228	283	477	526	307	329	2	45	4547
5	2 years	362	934	962	326	202	244	339	483	310	138	0	30	4330
6	3 years	205	296	465	222	144	146	248	382	263	52	0	6	2429
7	4 years	120	125	372	68	122	30	136	421	191	26	0	2	1613
8	5 years	71	321	200	189	103	15	85	421	100	0	0	5	1510
9	6-8 years	29	1	103	122	47	8	53	393	56	0	0	1	813
10	8-10 years	15	1	42	25	8	5	6	0	0	0	0	0	102
11	10-12 years	0	0	0	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8
12	12-15 years	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
13	More than 15 years.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Total pending cases.	3360	7470	6222	3855	2203	1695	3374	4537	2565	1982	27	436	37726

Annexure- A-2

STATEMENT REGARDING CRIMINAL APPEALS/REVISIONS FOR THE YEAR 2010-11 (1.4.2010 to 31.03.2011).

Sr. No.	Name of the court	Pending at beginning Of the Year (1.4.2010)	Instituted during the Year 2009-2010	In favour of the State	Against the State	Compromised	Transfer	Ors.	Total Disposal	Pending at the Close of the Year (31.3.11)	Percentage of Success
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
1.	DISTRICT BILASPUR										
1.	Session Judge BLP	20	4	9	0	-	-	-	9	15	100%
2.	FTC Ghumarwin	25	6	9	9	-	-	-	18	13	50%
	Total	45	10	18	9	-	-	-	27	28	67%
2.	DISTRICT CHAMBA										
1.	Session Judge Chamba	2	6	4	3	-	-	-	7	1	57%
2.	FTC Chamba	3	12	2	5	-	-	-	7	8	29%
	Total	5	18	6	8	-	-	-	14	9	43%
3.	DISTRICT HAMIRPUR										
1.	Session Judge HMR	16	55	13	27	0	7	0	47	24	33%
2.	ASJ-FTC	54	27	17	22	4	1	1	45	36	44%
	Total	70	82	30	49	4	8	1	92	60	38%
4.	DISTRICT KANGRA										
1.	Session Judge KNG	137	84	6	3	0	26	0	35	186	67%
2.	ASJ -I KNG	175	57	8	7	0	103	0	118	114	53%
3.	ASJ- II KNG	87	128	1	3	0	84	0	88	127	25%
4.	ASJ- FTC	49	66	4	4	2	0	0	10	105	50%

	Total	448	335	19	17	2	213	0	251	532	53%
5.	DISTRICT KINNAUR AT RAMPUR										
1.	Session Judge RMP	65	27	6	0	0	0	0	6	86	100%
2.	FTC SLN at RMP	0	9	0	5	0	4	0	9	0	0%
	Total:-	65	36	6	5	0	4	0	15	86	55%
6.	DISTRICT KULLU										
1.	Session Judge KLU	11	26	1	7	2	10	7	27	10	13%
2.	ASJ-FTC	8	30	9	15	0	0	1	25	13	38%
	Total	19	56	10	22	2	10	8	52	23	31%
7.	DISTRICT SHIMLA										
1.	Session Judge SML	9	42	25	6	0	0	0	31	105	81%
2.	Session Judge, Fts. SML	4	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	3	100%
2.	ASJ SML	125	42	20	11	13	0	0	44	123	65%
3.	ADJ(FTC)	58	41	8	5	0	0	0	13	86	62%
	Total	281	125	54	22	13	0	0	89	317	71%
8.	District Mandi										
1.	Session Judge MND	164	75	20	6	0	9	0	35	204	77%
2.	ASJ MND	163	85	42	25	0	7	0	74	174	63%
3.	ASJ(FTC)	115	14	17	13	0	2	0	32	97	57%
	Total	442	174	79	44	0	18	0	141	475	64%
9.	DISTRICT SIRMAUR AT NAHAN										
1.	Session Judge NHN	43	31	9	7	2	--	1	19	55	56%

STATEMENT REGARDING CRIMINAL APPEALS/REVISIONS FOR THE YEAR 2010-11 (1.4.2010 to 31.03.2011).

Annexure A-2

Sr. No.	Name of the court	Pending at beginning Of the Year (1.4.2010)	Instituted during the Year 2010-11.	In favour of the State	Against the State	Compromised	Transfer	Ors.	Total Disposal	Pending at the Close of the Year (31.3.11)	Percentage of Success
1.	2.	3.	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
1.	Distt. Bilaspur	45	10	18	9	0	0	0	27	28	67%
2.	Distt. Chamba	5	18	6	8	0	0	0	14	9	43%
3.	Distt. Hamirpur	70	82	30	49	4	8	1	92	60	38%
4.	Distt. Kangra	448	335	19	17	2	213	0	251	532	53%
5.	Distt. Kinnaur at Rampur	65	36	6	5	0	4	0	15	86	55%
6.	Distt. Kullu	19	56	10	22	2	10	8	52	23	31%
7.	Distt. Shimla	281	125	54	22	13	0	0	89	317	71%
8.	Distt. Mandi	442	174	79	44	0	18	0	141	475	64%
9.	Distt. Sirmaur	105	63	10	7	2	0	1	20	148	59%
10.	Distt. Solan	50	91	20	23	0	20	0	63	78	47%
11.	Distt. Una	77	99	64	23	0	14	0	101	75	74%
12.	Distt. L & S.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-
	Total	1607	1089	316	229	23	287	10	865	1831	58%

Annexure- A-3

ANNUAL ADMINISTRATION REPORT FOR THE YEAR 2010-2011 REGARDING CIVIL CASES W.E.F. 1.4.2010 TO 31.03.2011

Sr. No.	Name of the court	Pending at the beginning of the year (1.4.2010)	Instituted during the year (2010-11)	No. of cases decided in favour of the State during the year 2010-11.	Decided against the State during the year 2010-11	Compromise.	Transfer.	Withdrawal.	Total Disposal	Pending at the close of the Year	Percentage of success during the year (31.3.11)
1.	2.	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12.
1.	DISTRICT BILASPUR										
1.	District Judge BLP	43	2	13	0	0	6	0	19	26	100%
2	ASJ-FTC, BLP	19	5	9	2	0	0	0	11	13	82%
3	Civil Judge (Sr. Div.) BLP	38	7	9	3	0	0	0	12	33	75%
4.	Civil Judge (Jr. Div.) BLP	56	8	12	0	0	0	0	12	52	100%
5	Civil Judge(JD)Ghumarwin.-I	85	38	13	0	0	0	0	13	110	100%
6	Civil Judge (Jr.Div.) Ghumarwin-II	101	27	26	1	1	0	2	30	98	96%
	Total	342	87	82	6	1	6	2	97	332	93%
2.	DISTRICT CHAMBA										
1.	District Judge Chamba	0	7	2	1	0	1	0	4	3	67%
2.	ASJ-FTC. Chamba	1	9	5	2	0	0	0	7	3	71%
3.	Sr. Civil Judge (Jr.Div.)	32	25	16	2	0	1	0	19	38	89%
4.	Civil Judge (Jr.Div.) Chamba	32	11	11	0	0	9	0	20	23	100%
5.	Civil Judge (Jr.Div.), Dalhousie.	20	20	9	0	0	0	0	9	31	100%
	Total	85	72	43	5	0	11	0	59	98	90%
3.	DISTRICT HAMIRPUR										
1.	District Judge HMR	40	31	29	01	0	1	0	31	40	97%
2.	ADJ(FTC) Hamirpur	11	09	04	07	0	0	0	11	09	36%
3.	Sr. Civil Judge (Jr. Div.) HMR	54	43	09	07	0	0	0	16	81	56%
4.	Civil Judge (Jr. Div.) I HMR	72	22	14	02	0	3	0	19	75	88%
5.	Civil Judge (Jr. Div.) II HMR	62	23	08	01	0	2	0	11	74	89%
6.	Civil Judge (Jr. Div.) III HMR	67	15	10	01	0	0	0	11	71	91%
7.	Civil Judge(Jr. Div) IV HMR	66	16	01	0	0	0	0	01	81	100%
8.	Civil Judge (Jr. Div.) ,Barsar	41	26	25	01	8	2	0	36	31	96%
9.	Civil Judge (Jr. Div.) Nadaun	64	29	16	06	0	0	0	22	71	73%
	Total	477	214	116	26	8	8	0	158	533	82%
4.	DISTRICT KULLU										
1.	District Judge KLU	159	2	3	3	0	153	0	159	2	50%

2.	ADJ-FTC, Kullu.	89	3	2	0	0	88	0	90	2	100%
3.	Civil Judge (Sr. Div.) KLU	26	8	5	1	2	0	1	9	25	83%
4.	Civil Judge (Jr. Div.) KLU	25	24	26	0	0	1	0	27	22	100%
5.	Civil Judge (Jr. Div.), Anni	3	5	1	1	0	0	0	2	6	50%
6.	Civil Judge (Jr. Div.), Manali.	15	11	3	1	0	4	0	8	18	75%
	Total	317	53	40	6	2	246	1	295	75	87%
5.	DISTRICT KINNAUR										
1.	District Judge KNR at Rampur.	122	24	5	2	0	7	0	14	132	71%
2.	Civil Judge (Sr. Div.) KNR at Peo	15	4	2	0	4	0	0	6	13	100%
	Total	137	28	7	2	4	7	0	20	145	78%
6.	DISTRICT KANGRA										
1	District Judge D/Sala	37	14	9	2	0	5	0	16	35	82%
2	Addl. Distt. Judge I D/sala.	60	24	9	4	0	39	0	52	32	69%
3	Addl. Distt. Judge II D/sala.	47	19	0	0	0	47	0	47	19	-
4	Addl. Distt. Judge(FTC)	16	13	4	4	1	3	0	12	17	50%
5	Civil Judge (Sr. Div.) D/sala	68	18	14	0	0	5	0	19	67	100%
6	Civil Judge (Jr.Div.) (I)D/Sala	25	6	3	1	0	0	0	4	27	75%
7	Civil Judge (Jr. Div.) (II) D/S.	16	10	0	0	1	25	0	26	0	-
8	Civil Judge (Jr. Div.) I PLP	5	15	6	0	0	1	0	7	13	100%
9	Civil Judge (Jr. Div.) II, PLP	31	16	9	1	0	9	0	19	28	90%
10	Civil Judge (Jr. Div.) (I) NPR	30	2	8	1	0	0	0	9	23	89%
11	Civil Judge (Jr.Div.) (II) NPR	47	1	12	0	0	0	0	12	36	100%
12	Civil Judge (Jr.Div.) (I) Dehra	24	81	27	0	0	41	0	68	37	100%
13	Civil Judge (Jr.Div.)(II)Dehra	45	52	5	0	0	48	0	53	44	100%
14	Civil Judge (Jr.Div.) (I) KNG	51	12	7	1	2	0	0	10	53	88%
15	Civil Judge (Jr.Div.) (II)KNG	34	8	3	4	1	0	0	8	34	43%
16	Civil Judge (Jr Div) Baijnath	9	9	4	0	1	0	0	5	13	100%
17	Civil Judge (Jr. Div.) Jawali	67	14	9	0	1	0	0	10	71	100%
18	Civil Judge (Jr.Div.) Indora.	25	16	5	0	0	0	0	5	36	100%
	Total	637	330	134	18	7	223	0	382	585	88%
7.	DISTRICT LAHUL & SPITI AT KULLU										
1	Sr. Civil Judge (Jr.Div.) L&S At Kullu	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	100%
	Total :	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	100%
8.	DISTRICT MANDI										
1.	District Judge MND	39	14	9	2	1	2	0	14	39	82%
2.	Addl. Distt. Judge Mandi	52	20	13	8	0	1	0	22	50	62%
3.	ADJ-FTC-MND	27	9	3	4	0	4	0	11	25	43%
4.	Civil Judge (Sr..Div.) MND	18	6	4	0	0	5	0	9	15	100%
5.	Civil Judge (Jr.Div.) (I) MND	21	13	6	5	0	0	0	11	23	55%
6.	Civil Judge (Jr.Div.) (II)MND	24	11	7	1	0	0	0	8	27	88%
7.	Civil Judge (Jr.Div.)(III)MND	32	2	6	1	0	0	0	7	27	86%
8.	Civil Judge (Jr.Div.)(IV)MND	23	2	6	2	0	0	0	8	17	75%
9.	Civil Judge(Jr.Div.) .SNR-1	23	10	3	2	0	0	2	7	26	60%
10	Civil Judge (Jr.Div.) SNR-II	23	15	5	0	1	0	2	8	30	100%

11	Civil Judge (Jr. Div.)- J/Ngr.	55	40	20	7	0	0	0	27	68	74%
12	Civil Judge (Jr.Div.) S/Ghat	112	30	29	0	0	0	0	29	113	100%
13	Civil Judge (Jr.Div.) Karsog	8	10	9	0	0	0	0	09	9	100%
14	Civil Judge (Jr. Div.), Gohar	19	18	6	1	3	0	1	11	26	86%
	Total	476	200	126	33	5	12	5	181	495	79%
9.	DISTRICT SHIMLA										
1.	District Judge SML	22	8	4	2	0	1	0	7	23	67%
2.	Distt. Judge (Forest) SML	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	100%
3.	Addl. Distt. Judge, SML	11	3	3	1	0	9	1	14	0	75%
4.	ADJ(FTC) Shimla	161	16	6	1	0	3	0	10	167	86%
5.	Civil Judge (Sr. Div.) SML	35	10	13	2	0	0	0	15	30	87%
6.	Civil Judge (Jr. Div.) (I) SML	37	10	6	1	0	0	0	7	40	86%
7.	Civil Judge (Jr. Div.) (II)SML	18	15	0	0	0	0	0	0	33	-
8.	Civil Judge (Jr. Div.) (III)	30	4	0	0	1	33	0	34	0	-
9.	Civil Judge (Jr. Div.) (IV)	35	8	5	0	1	0	0	6	37	100%
10	Civil Judge (Jr. Div.) (V)	32	12	2	1	1	0	0	4	40	67%
11	Civil Judge (Jr. Div.) (VI)	32	34	3	0	0	0	0	3	63	100%
12	Civil Judge (Jr. Div.) (VII)	17	19	4	2	0	0	1	7	29	67%
12	Civil Judge (Jr. Div.) (VIII)	39	1	0	0	0	40	0	40	0	-
12	Civil Judge (Jr. Div.) Theog	41	18	9	5	19	0	2	35	24	64%
13	Civil Judge (Jr.Div.) (I)Rohru	38	10	4	7	0	0	0	11	37	36%
14	Civil Judge (Jr.Div.) (II) –d0-	33	14	12	3	1	0	0	16	31	80%
15	Civil Judge (Jr. Div.) Chopal	46	13	12	2	3	0	3	20	39	86%
16	Civil Judge(Jr. Div.), Rampur	25	8	8	0	0	0	0	8	25	100%
17	Civil Judge (Jr. Div.) Jubbal	13	6	5	0	0	0	0	5	14	100%
	Total		209	97	27	26	86	7	243	633	78%
10.	DISTRICT SIRMAUR AT NAHAN										
1.	District Judge Nahan	14	8	6	0	0	0	0	6	16	100%
2.	Add. District Judge Nahan	21	6	6	0	0	6	0	12	15	100%
3.	Sr. Civil Judge (Sr. Div.) NHN	76	0	21	0	0	0	0	21	55	100%
4.	Civil Judge (Jr. Div.) NHN	25	48	10	2	4	0	0	16	57	83%
5.	Civil Judge (Jr. Div.) Poanta I	17	5	5	0	0	0	0	5	17	100%
6.	Civil Judge (Jr.Div.) Poanta II	20	9	8	1	0	0	0	9	20	89%
7.	Civil Judge (Jr. Div.) Rajgarh	45	10	16	1	1	24	0	42	13	94%
	Total	218	86	72	4	5	30	0	111	193	95%
11.	DISTRICT SOLAN										
1.	District Judge, SLN	15	12	16	0	0	0	0	16	11	100%
2.	Addl. Distt. Judge SLN	10	20	5	4	0	0	0	9	21	56%
3.	ADJ-FTC-SLN	15	5	5	3	0	0	0	8	12	63%
4.	Civil Judge(Sr. Div), SLN	52	21	7	1	0	4	0	12	61	88%
5.	Civil Judge (Jr. Div.) SLN	66	9	6	1	0	0	0	7	68	86%
6.	Civil Judge (Sr. Div.) Kasuali	36	21	14	5	2	0	1	22	35	74%
7.	Civil Judge (Jr. Div.) Kasuali	42	10	9	4	0	0	1	14	38	69%

8.	Civil judge (Jr. Div.) K/ghat	43	12	7	2	2	1	0	12	43	78%
9.	Civil Judge (Jr. Div) Arki	68	24	20	3	1	0	1	25	67	87%
10.	Civil Judge (Jr.Div) I Nalagarh.	19	12	16	2	0	0	0	18	19	89%
11.	Civil Judge (Jr. Div.)II Nalagarh	25	11	6	4	0	0	0	10	20	60%
	Total	391	157	111	29	5	5	3	153	395	79%
12	DISTRICT UNA										
1.	District Judge, Una	112	36	10	7	0	113	0	130	18	59%
2.	Addl. Distt. Judge Una.	194	20	21	2	0	172	0	195	19	91%
3.	Addl. Distt. Judge(FTC)	221	23	11	9	0	200	0	220	24	55%
4.	Civil Judge (Sr.Div.) Una	29	14	10	2	0	0	0	12	31	83%
5.	Civil Judge (Jr. Div.) (I) Una	40	15	6	3	0	0	0	9	46	67%
6.	Civil Judge (Jr. Div.) (II) Una	43	13	5	2	0	1	0	8	48	71%
7.	Civil Judge (Jr. Div.) (III)Una	37	15	11	0	0	0	0	11	41	100%
8.	Civil Judge (Jr. Div.(IV) Una	35	12	2	2	0	0	0	4	43	50%
9	Civil Judge(Jr. Div) (I)Amb	35	5	6	1	0	0	0	7	33	86%
10	Civil Judge(Jr. Div) (II) Amb	78	16	9	2	0	1	0	12	82	82%
	Total	824	169	91	30	0	487	0	608	385	75%

Annexure A-3.

Annual Statement of Civil Cases for the year 2010-11 (w.e.f. 1.4.10 to 31.3.2011)

Sr. No.	Name of the court	Pending at the beginning of the year (1.4.2010)	Instituted during the year (2009-10)	No. of cases decided in favour of the State	Decided against the State	Compromised	Transfers	Withdrawn	Total Disposal.	Pending at the close of the year (31.03.09)	Percentage of success during the year.
1	2.	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
1	Distt Bilaspur	342	87	82	6	1	6	2	97	332	93%
2	Distt. Chamba	85	72	43	5	0	11	0	59	98	90%
3	Distt. Hamirpur	477	214	116	26	8	8	0	158	533	82%
4	Distt. Kullu	317	53	40	6	2	246	1	295	75	87%
5	Distt. Kinnaur	137	28	7	2	4	7	0	20	145	78%
6	Distt. Kangra	637	330	134	18	7	223	0	382	585	88%
7	Distt. L & S	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	100%
8	Distt. Mandi	476	200	126	33	5	12	5	181	495	79%
9	Distt. Shimla	667	209	97	27	26	86	7	243	633	78%
10	Distt. Sirmaur	218	86	72	4	5	30	0	111	193	95%
11	Distt. Solan	391	157	111	29	5	5	3	153	395	79%
12	Distt. Una	824	169	91	30	0	487	0	608	385	75%
	Total	4572	1605	920	186	63	1121	18	2308	3869	83%

Annexure A-4

ANNUAL ADMINISTRATION REPORT FOR THE YEAR 2010-2011 STATEMENT REGARDING CASES U/s 107/109/110/145 Cr PC

Sr. No.	Name of the court	Pending at The beginning of the year (1.4.2010)	No. of cases Instituted During the Year	No. of cases Bound down	Discharge d	Transferred	Compromised	No. of cases pending at the close of the year (31.3.2011).
1.	2.	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
1.	DISTRICT BILASPUR							
1.	SDM BLP	54	10	0	20	0	0	44
2.	SDM Ghumarwin	14	20	0	10	0	0	24
	Total	68	30	0	30	0	0	68
2.	DISTRICT CHAMBA							
1.	SDM Chamba	45	129	0	119	0	5	50
2.	SDM Dalhausie	15	31	0	36	0	6	4
	Total	60	160	0	155	0	11	54
3.	DISTRICT HAMIRPUR							
1.	SDM HMR	25	80	0	71	0	0	34
2.	SDM Naduan	37	65	0	85	0	0	17
3.	SDM Badsar	48	45	0	42	0	0	51
4.	TEHSILDAR HMR	61	80	0	80	0	0	61
5.	Tehs. Nadaun	39	40	0	30	0	0	49
6.	Tehs. Bhoranj	48	40	0	35	0	0	53
7.	Tehs. Sujampur	30	32	0	38	0	0	24
8.	Tehs. Badsar	15	35	0	30	0	0	20
	Total	303	417	0	411	0	0	309
4.	DISTRICT KULLU							
1.	SDM KLU	82	171	0	122	0	0	131
2.	SDM MNL	28	41	0	51	0	0	18
3.	SDM Anni	26	37	0	33	0	0	30
	Total	136	249	0	206	0	0	179
5.	DISTRICT KINNAUR							
1.	SDM Kalpa	30	0	0	30	0	0	0
6.	DISTRICT KANGRA							
1.	SDM D/SHALA	281	42	0	41	0	0	282
2.	SDM Dehra	202	198	0	160	0	63	177
3.	SDM Kangra	186	135	0	206	0	0	115
4.	SDM Palampur	87	56	0	89	0	0	54
5.	SDM Nurpur	165	32	2	16	3	18	158
6.	SDM Baijnath	68	53	0	72	0	0	49
7.	SDM Jawali	46	75	0	54	7	25	35

	Total	1035	591	2	638	10	106	870
7.	DISTRICT SHIMLA							
1.	SDM(U) SML	147	328	0	358	0	0	117
2.	SDM (R) SML	96	208	0	189	0	0	115
3.	SDM Theog	181	104	0	202	0	0	83
4.	SDM Rohru	198	76	0	54	0	0	220
5.	SDM Chopal	34	20	0	20	0	0	34
6.	SDM Rampur	25	263	0	175	0	0	113
7.	SDM Jubbal	27	0	0	0	0	0	27
	Total	708	999	0	998	0	0	709
8.	DISTRICT MANDI							
1.	SDM MND Sadar	425	759	0	329	0	0	855
2.	SDM S/NGR	14	142	2	98	0	0	56
3.	SDM J/NGR	152	45	0	72	0	0	125
4.	SDM S/GHAT	114	192	0	221	0	0	85
5.	SDM Gohar	97	96	0	101	0	0	92
6.	SDM Karsog	22	6	0	9	0	5	14
	Total	824	1240	2	830	0	5	1227
9.	DISTRICT SIRMAUR AT NAHAN							
1.	SDM Nahan	64	187	0	35	0	0	216
2.	SDM Poanta	253	36	0	192	0	0	97
3.	SDM Rajgarh	30	14	0	33	0	0	11
	Total	347	237	0	260	0	0	324
10.	DISTRICT SOLAN							
1.	SDM Solan	94	115	0	76	0	0	133
2.	SDM K/GHAT	11	25	0	20	0	0	16
3.	SDM Arki	65	88	0	92	0	0	61
4.	SDM Nalagarh	94	26	0	56	0	0	64
	Total	264	254	0	244	0	0	274
11.	DISTRICT LAHUL & SPITI AT KULLU							
1.	SDM L& S	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
12.	DISTRICT UNA							
1.	SDM Una	20	113	0	105	0	0	28
2.	SDM Amb	168	67	0	51	0	0	184
	Total	188	180	0	156	0	0	212

Annexure A-4**STATEMENT REGARDING CASES U/s 107/109/110/145 CRIMINAL PROCEDURE CODE FOR THE YEAR 2010-11.**

Sr. No.	Name of the court	Pending at the beginning of the year (1.4.2010)	No. of cases Instituted During the Year	No. of cases Bound down	Discharged	Transferred	Compromised	No. of cases pending at the close of the year (31.-03.2011)
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
1.	District Bilaspur	68	30	0	30	0	0	68
2.	District Chamba	60	160	0	155	0	11	54
3.	District Hamirpur	303	417	0	411	0	0	309
4.	District Kullu	136	249	0	206	0	0	179
5.	District Kinnaur	30	0	0	30	0	0	0
6.	District Kangra	1035	591	2	638	10	106	870
7.	District Shimla	708	999	0	998	0	0	709
8.	District Mandi	824	1240	2	830	0	5	1227
9.	District Sirmaur	347	237	0	260	0	0	324
10.	District Solan	264	254	0	244	0	0	274
11.	District L & S	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
12.	District Una	188	180	0	156	0	0	212
	TOTAL	3963	4357	4	3958	10	122	4226

DEMAND NO. 3.

**STATEMENT SHOWING THE SANCTIONED BUDGET AND ACTUAL EXPENDITURE (EXCESS & SURRENDER) UNDER MAJOR HEAD
2014- ADMN. OF JUSTICE, 114-LEGAL ADVISORS AND COUNSELS, 02-OTHER LAW OFFICERS (NON PLAN) FOR THE YEAR
2010-11 PERTAINING TO PROSECUTION DEPARTMENT, HIMACHAL PRADESH.**

Sr. No.	O/C	SOE	Sanctioned budget	Supplementary /additionally	Total	Actual expenditure Up-to 31.03. 2011	Excess & Surrender	Reasons
1		2	3	4	5	6	7	8
1	01	SALARY	80161000	38415000	118576000	100088693	(-) 18487307	Due to revision of pay scale, grant of arrear etc.
2	02	WAGES	2255000	0	2255000	1085558	(-) 1169442	Due to payment of salary for contract employees from SOE-01-“Salary”.
3	03	TE	481000	400000	881000	727444	(-) 153556	Due to less tour of circuit courts
4	04	LIVERY	55000	210000	265000	265000	0	Due to non eligibility of court dress allowance. F.D. agreed for re-appropriation vide No. Fin-G-C(2)-3/09-51371713 dt. 23.3.2010.
5	05	OE	2646000	200000	2846000	2811550	(-) 34450	Minor variation.
6	06	MR	765000	2750000	3515000	2605085	(-) 909915	Proposal was submitted to the FD through AD for re- appropriation.
7	07	RRT	450000	0	450000	244327	(-) 205673	-do-
8	08	PUBLICATION	11000	9000	20000	11358	(-) 8642	Minor variation.
9	12	PP & SS	1526000	6000000	7526000	7523000	(-) 3000	-do-
10	15	Training	809000	0	809000	344158	(-) 464842	-do-
11	20	OTHERS Charges	1000	0	1000	0	(-) 1000	-do-
12	30	MV	286000	200000	486000	291926	(-) 194074	
13	64	TTE	60000	0	60000	44868	(-) 15132	Proposal was submitted to the FD through AD for re-appropriation.
14	2050 Public Works	Maintenance	100000	0	100000	100000	0	-
		Total	8,96,06,000	4,81,84,000	13,77,90,000	11,61,42,967 Or say Rs. 11,61,43,000/-	(-) 2,16,47,033 Or say Rs. 2,16,47,000/-	-

DEMAND NO. 31

**ALLOCATION OF FUNDS UNDER DEMAND NO. 31
UNDER MAJOR HEAD 2014-ADMINISTRATION OF JUSTICE, 796-TRIBAL AREA SUB PLAN-03- EXPENDITURE
ON OTHER LAW OFFICERS (Non Plan) FOR THE YEAR 2011-12 PERTAINING TO PROSECUTION DEPARTMENT,**

Sr. No.	Name of DDO	Salary	Wages	TE	Livery	O.E.	MR	Training	TTE	Total
1.	2.	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
1.	Distt. Attorney. Kinnour at Rampur	23,09,000	25,000	20,000	5,000	47,000	34,000	0	2000	24,42,000
2.	Asstt. Distt. Attorney, Kinnour at Recong Peo	9,00,000	28,000	15,000	0	20,000	10,000	0	0	9,73,000
3.	Asstt. Distt. Attorney L&S at Kullu.	8,00,000	0	10,000	5,000	20,000	10,000	0	0	8,45,000
4.	A.D.A.(DDO)(Hq).	0	0	0	0	0	0	15,000	0	15,000
	Total:-	40,09,000	53,000	45,000	10,000	87,000	54,000	15,000	2000	42,75,000

**Sanctioned budget under demand No. 3(Non-Plan) for the year 2011-12 under Head 2014- Administration of Justice,114 Legal Advisors and Counsels ,
02 Other Law Offices (Non Plan) pertaining to Prosecution Department.**

Quarter	Percentage	Salary 01	Wages 02	TE 03	Livery 04	OE 05	MR 06	RRT 07	Publication 08	PP & SS 12	Training 15	O/C- 20	M.V. 30	TTE 64	Total
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
	SAND.	10,82,17,000	24,80,000	5,56,000	55,000	29,11,000	15,24,000	2,43,000	10,000	15,26,000	4,04,000	1,000	2,86,000	43,000	11,82,56,000
1st	20%	21643400	496000	111200	11000	582200	304800	48600	2000	305200	80800	200	57200	8600	23651200
2nd	25%	27054250	620000	139000	13750	727750	381000	60750	2500	381500	101000	250	71500	10750	29564000
Total	45%	48697650	1116000	250200	24750	1309950	685800	109350	4500	686700	181800	450	128700	19350	53215200
3rd	30%	32465100	744000	166800	16500	873300	457200	72900	3000	457800	121200	300	85800	12900	35476800
4th	25%	27054250	620000	139000	13750	727750	381000	60750	2500	381500	101000	250	71500	10750	29564000
Total	55%	59519350	1364000	305800	30250	1601050	838200	133650	5500	839300	222200	550	157300	23650	65040800
Grand Total	100%	10,82,17,000	24,80,000	5,56,000	55,000	29,11,000	15,24,000	2,43,000	10,000	15,26,000	4,04,000	1,000	2,86,000	43,000	11,82,56,000

1. 2014-02	=	11,82,56,000=00
2. 2014-04	=	24,00,000=00
2. 2059-01-051-48	=	1,00,000=00
3. 4059-01-051-25	=	1,00,00,000=00
Total:-	=	13,07,56,000=00

Demand No. 31.

**Statement showing the sanctioned budget and actual expenditure (Excess & Surrender) under Major Head 2014-
Administration of Justice, 796-Tribal Areas Sub Plan, 03- Expenditure on Other Law Officers (Non-Plan) for the year
2010-11 pertaining to Prosecution Department, Himachal Pradesh, Shimla.**

Sr. No.	O/C	SOE	Sanctioned budget	Additionally	Total	Actual Expenditure up-to 31.03.2011	Excess & surrender	Reasons
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
1	10	Salary	29,70,000	0	29,70,000	32,04,887	(+) 2,34,887	Due to revision of pay scale and grant of arrear
2	02	Wages	48,000	65,000	1,13,000	71,826	(-) 41,174	Rs. 43,000/- has already been surrendered vide letter No. DPR-C(1)/2010-9496-93 dt. 15.12.2011
3	03	TE	25,000	30,000	55,000	36,580	(+) 18,420	Due to less touring Law officers with regular circuit court.
4	04	Livery	10,000	0	10,000	10,000	0	-
5	05	OE	79,000	1,00,000	1,79,000	1,80,186	(+) 1,186	Minor variation
6	06	MR	18,000	80,000	98,000	88,261	(-) 9,739	Due to less receipt of M.R. bills.
7	15	Training	30,000	0	30,000	0	(-) 30,000	The expenditure incurred U/D. No.-3 due to joint training courses for Law Officers at HIPA.
8	64	T.T.E	3000	10,000	13,000	11,766	(-)1,234	Due to less payment of TTE bill.
		Total	31,83,000	2,85,000	34,68,000	36,03,506 Or say Rs. 36,04,000=00	(+) 2,36,073=00 (-) 1,00,567=00 (+) Rs. 1,35,506 Or say Rs. 1,35,000=00	

Annexure A-6

Offence wise breakup of pending criminal cases as on 31.05.2011 pending before District Courts.

Sr. No.	Special Laws	Pending cases	Indian Penal Code	Pending cases	Total pending cases.
1	Excise Act	5654	Accident 279/337304 -A IPC	9266	14920
2	N.D.P.S. Act	1133	Hurt 323/325 IPC	6439	7572
3	Forest Act	742	Theft 379/380 IPC	2279	3021
4	Gambling Act	509	Rioting 160 IPC	2121	2630
5	S.C./S.T.(POA)Act	111	Forgery/Cr. Mis-appropriation 420/427 IPC	971	1082
6	Prevention of Corruption Act	21	Cruelty to women 498-A IPC	846	867
7	Protection of Civil Rights Act	18	Rape 376 IPC	311	329
8	Arms Act	125	Attempt to Murder 307 IPC	177	302
9	Immoral Trafficking Prevention Act.	11	Murder 302 IPC	153	164
10	Other cases (Copy Right Act, Foreigners Act, Disfigurement Act., Essential Commodities Act, Mining Act, Police Act, Wild Life Protection Act etc.)	48	Kidnapping 363/366 IPC	125	173
11	--	-	Dowry death 304-B IPC	134	134
12	--	-	Dacoity 390/391 IPC	106	106
13	--	-	Suicide 309 IPC	66	66
14	--	--	Other cases under IPC	6561	6561
	Grand Total:-	8372	-	29555	37927

Annexure A-6.

Category wise breakup of pending civil cases as on 30.6.2011 pending before District Courts.

Sr. No.	Nature of case	Pending cases
1	Injunctions	2140
2	Declaration	356
3	Damages	360
4	Revenue/ownership of land etc.	210
5	Recovery cases against the Govt.	146
6	Possession	65
7	Encroachment on Govt. Land etc.	13
8	Rent cases	14
9	Civil Misc. Applications	25
10	Motor Accident Claims cases	57
11	Other pending cases (Executive petitions, Contempt petitions etc.)	368
	Grand Total:-	3754

